ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 6| June 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

EQUITY IN EDUCATION: A SOCIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY (NEP) 2020

Ms. Maitreyee Padhi[1], Dr.Nibedita Kar[2]
[1]*Research Scholar, Berhampur University*
[2]*Assistant Professor in Teacher Education, D.P.I.A.S.E, Berhampur*

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra28253
DOI No: 10.36713/epra28253

ABSTRACT

This article explores the concept of equity in education within the context of India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. Equity, defined as the fair distribution of resources and opportunities, is crucial for fostering an inclusive society. The NEP 2020, a comprehensive framework for educational development in India, is analysed through a sociological lens to understand its provisions and impact on various demographic groups. The NEP 2020 focuses on inclusive education, multilingualism, gender sensitivity, and addressing socio-economic disparities. A critical analysis acknowledges positive aspects, such as an emphasis on early childhood care and foundational literacy, while also highlighting implementation challenges and the potential exacerbation of social and economic disparities. The sociological framework applied includes Functionalism, Conflict Theory, and Symbolic Interactionism. It delves into how social structures and cultural factors contribute to educational disparities, with a focus on the NEP 2020's efforts to address the structural issues that perpetuate inequities. Challenges and critiques are discussed, encompassing socioeconomic and regional disparities, caste-based discrimination, and gender imbalances. A sociological analysis of resistance identifies traditional mindsets, political opposition, and religious/cultural influences as potential hurdles in policy implementation. The article concludes with recommendations to enhance the effectiveness of NEP 2020, considering sociological insights. Suggestions include inclusive curriculum design, teacher training on cultural competence, targeted financial aid, community engagement, inclusive classrooms, language accessibility, and a monitoring framework with a social lens.

In summary, the article emphasises the multifaceted nature of equity in education and the importance of continuous efforts, monitoring, and evaluation to bridge gaps and ensure inclusive, high-quality education for all in the evolving 21st-century educational landscape of India.

KEYWORDS: *Equity in Education, Inclusive Education, Sociological Analysis,Socio-economic Disparities, Critical Analysis, Multilingualism, Gender Sensitivity, Implementation Challenges, and Inclusive Curriculum Design.*

INTRODUCTION

Equity in education refers to the fair and just distribution of resources, opportunities, and support to ensure that all students, regardless of their background, have an equal chance to succeed. It emphasises removing barriers that may hinder certain groups from accessing high-quality education. Achieving educational equity is crucial for fostering a just and inclusive society. Equity ensures that every student has access to the same opportunities, irrespective of their socioeconomic status, race, gender, or other characteristics. Addressing inequities helps narrow the achievement gaps among different student groups. It focuses on providing additional support to those who need it the most.

An equitable education system promotes diversity and inclusion by recognising and valuing the unique strengths and perspectives that students from diverse backgrounds bring to the learning environment.

Education is a powerful tool for promoting social and economic justice. Equity in education helps break the cycle of poverty and contributes to building a more egalitarian society. When educational resources are distributed equitably, it positively impacts the overall quality of education. This includes teacher quality, facilities, instructional materials, and extracurricular opportunities.

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a comprehensive framework for guiding the development of education in India. The Union Cabinet of India approved it in July 2020 and replaced the previous National Policy on Education (NPE) of 1986. The NEP 2020 aims to provide an inclusive and holistic approach to education by integrating different stages, from early childhood to higher education, and promoting a multi-disciplinary approach. The policy places strong emphasis on foundational learning in the early years, focusing on literacy and numeracy to ensure students have a solid foundation for further education.NEP 2020 advocates for flexibility in the education system, allowing students to choose a variety of subjects and pursue their interests. It also promotes the integration of vocational education with mainstream education. The policy recognises the importance of technology in education and encourages the use of digital tools to enhance learning outcomes. It also emphasises the development of critical thinking and

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 6| June 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

problem-solving skills.NEP 2020 underscores the importance of equity and inclusion in education, aiming to address disparities among different social and economic groups. It promotes special measures to ensure education reaches marginalised and disadvantaged communities. The policy emphasises the need for continuous professional development for teachers and for creating a supportive and inclusive environment for their growth.NEP 2020 encourages the use and promotion of regional languages as the medium of instruction in schools to preserve and promote linguistic diversity.

REVIEW OF SOME PREVIOUS EDUCATION POLICIES IN INDIA

The Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan (SSA) was launched in 2001 to universalise elementary education and address gender and social category gaps. The Right to Education (RTE) Act 2009: Ensured free and compulsory education for all children aged 6-14, addressing issues of access and retention. The Rashtriya Madhyamik Shiksha Abhiyan (RMSA) was initiated in 2009 to enhance access to secondary education and improve its quality.

EDUCATION EQUITY IN PRACTICE

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 is a comprehensive document that outlines the vision and roadmap for reforming India's education system. Key provisions related to equity in education have been incorporated to ensure inclusivity and accessibility for all demographic groups. Here's an overview of some key provisions and their potential impact on various demographic groups:

1. Equitable Access and Inclusion:

Provision: NEP 2020 emphasises providing equitable and inclusive education to all, irrespective of background or circumstances.

Implementation Example: The implementation involves creating infrastructure and policies that ensure access for children with disabilities, those from marginalised communities, and those in remote areas.

Sociological Impact: This provision aims to bridge the gap between different demographic groups by providing equal opportunities. A sociological analysis would assess the extent to which this goal has been achieved across various demographics.

2. Multilingual Education:

Provision: NEP encourages a multilingual approach in education, aiming to promote the use of regional languages in early education.

Implementation Example: Schools adopting multilingual teaching methods and incorporating local languages into the curriculum.

Sociological Impact: This provision addresses linguistic diversity, potentially benefiting students from different linguistic backgrounds. A sociological analysis would explore how these impacts students based on their linguistic identity.

3. Gender Inclusion and Sensitisation:

Provision: NEP 2020 focuses on promoting gender inclusion and sensitivity in education.

Implementation Example: Introduction of gender-sensitive curricula, awareness programs, and support systems for girls in schools.

Sociological Impact: A sociological analysis would assess the impact on gender dynamics within educational institutions and in broader society, considering factors such as dropout rates, career choices, and societal attitudes.

4. Special Education Zones:

Provision: NEP advocates for the creation of Special Education Zones for disadvantaged regions.

Implementation Example: Establishing dedicated educational zones in economically disadvantaged areas and providing additional resources and support.

Sociological Impact: This provision aims to address socio-economic disparities in educational outcomes. A sociological analysis would examine whether these zones effectively address the unique challenges faced by different demographic groups in disadvantaged regions.

5. Caste-Based and Socio-Economic Quotas:

Provision: NEP recognises the need for affirmative action through caste-based and socio-economic quotas in admissions.

Implementation Example: Reservation policies in educational institutions to ensure representation from marginalised communities.

Sociological Impact: A sociological analysis would assess the impact of these quotas on social mobility and the representation of different demographic groups, examining whether they lead to greater inclusivity.

6. Vocational Education and Skill Development:

Provision: NEP emphasises integrating vocational education and skill development into the mainstream curriculum.

Implementation Example: Introduction of skill-based courses and apprenticeship programs within schools and colleges.

Sociological Impact: This provision aims to bridge the employability gap. A sociological analysis would investigate how it influences career choices, especially among students from different socio-economic backgrounds.

CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY (NEP) 2020 IN THE CONTEXT OF EQUITY

The Positive Aspects of NEP 2020 include an emphasis on early childhood care and education, recognition of the need for foundational literacy and numeracy, and promotion of multiple entry and exit points in education. But there are some Concerns and criticisms, such as implementation challenges and resource constraints, as well as the potential exacerbation of existing social and economic disparities. Moreover, critics argue that the emphasis on autonomy may lead to privatisation, further marginalising certain groups.

SOCIOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 in India provides a comprehensive framework for transforming the education system. Analysing educational equity through a

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 6| June 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

sociological framework involves examining how social structures, institutions, and cultural factors contribute to disparities in access, quality, and educational outcomes. Here are key sociological perspectives and concepts to consider when analysing educational equity in the context of NEP 2020:

Functionalism: Emphasises the role of educational institutions and education in maintaining social order and stability, and the functioning of society. Critics argue that it can perpetuate inequality by reinforcing existing social hierarchies. It also analyses the role of schools in reproducing or challenging social inequalities. NEP 2020 addresses structural issues that perpetuate educational inequities, such as disparities in resource allocation.

Conflict Theory: Highlights the role of education in reproducing and perpetuating social inequalities. It suggests that educational systems may favour certain social groups over others. It explores how NEP 2020 addresses class, caste, and gender-based disparities in educational access. One will be able to analyse policies related to marginalised groups and their effectiveness in reducing educational disparities.

Symbolic Interactionism: Focuses on micro-level interactions in educational settings, exploring how labels and stereotypes can affect students' self-perceptions and educational outcomes. This assesses how NEP 2020 addresses issues related to cultural inclusivity and diversity in curriculum and teaching practices.

CHALLENGES AND CRITIQUES

1. Socioeconomic Disparities: India has significant socioeconomic disparities, leading to unequal access to quality education. Students from marginalised communities face financial constraints, a lack of resources, and limited educational opportunities.
Implication: Implementing equitable policies requires addressing economic disparities, providing financial aid, and ensuring equal access to educational resources.
2. Regional Disparities: Educational infrastructure varies across regions, with urban areas having better facilities than rural counterparts. This leads to a regional imbalance in educational opportunities.
Implication: Policymakers need to focus on equitable resource distribution, infrastructure development in rural areas, and initiatives to bridge the urban-rural education gap.
3. Caste-Based Discrimination: The caste system in India has historically led to discrimination, with marginalised castes facing challenges in accessing education.
Implication: Equitable policies must address caste-based discrimination, ensuring that educational opportunities are accessible to all, irrespective of caste.
4. Gender Disparities: Despite progress, gender disparities persist in access to education, especially in certain regions and communities.

SOCIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF RESISTANCE

1.Traditional Mindsets:
Resistance: Traditional societal norms may resist changes in educational policies that challenge existing hierarchies and power structures.
Analysis: Societal attitudes must be addressed through awareness campaigns, community engagement, and changes in cultural perceptions of education.

2.Political Opposition:
Resistance: Political factors may lead to opposition, especially if the policies challenge established interests.
Analysis: Understanding political dynamics and building consensus among stakeholders can help mitigate political resistance.

3.Religious and Cultural Influences:
Resistance: Religious or cultural beliefs may clash with certain aspects of equitable educational policies.
Analysis: Sensitivity to cultural diversity, dialogue, and inclusive policymaking can help overcome resistance arising from cultural or religious factors

RECOMMENDATIONS

NEP 2020 emphasises inclusive education, but implementation challenges remain, particularly in ensuring quality education for all. Continuous monitoring, assessment, and adaptation of policies to address emerging challenges.NEP 2020 focuses on skill development, but the effectiveness of skill-oriented education needs thorough evaluation. Regular assessments and feedback mechanisms to enhance the relevance and impact of skill development initiatives.NEP 2020 promotes technology integration, but the digital divide poses challenges in its implementation. Strategies to bridge the digital divide, promote digital literacy, and ensure equal access to technology. Improving equity in education is a complex task that requires a comprehensive understanding of the sociological factors influencing educational outcomes. Here are some recommendations for modifications or additions to the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, taking into consideration sociological insights:
1. Inclusive Curriculum Design: Integrate diverse perspectives, histories, and cultures into the curriculum to reflect the socio-cultural diversity of the country. This would provide a more inclusive and relatable educational experience for students from various social backgrounds, fostering a sense of belonging and cultural awareness.

2. Teacher Training and Diversity: Develop and implement training programs for teachers that focus on cultural competence, sensitivity, and understanding diverse learning styles. Teachers with enhanced cultural competence are better equipped to engage with students from different social groups, reducing disparities in educational outcomes.

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 6| June 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

3. Addressing Socioeconomic Disparities: Implement targeted financial aid programs and scholarships for students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds. This would help level the playing field for students facing economic challenges, ensuring that financial constraints do not hinder their access to quality education.

4. Community Engagement and Parental Involvement: Promote community involvement in schools and encourage parental participation in the education process. Increased community and parental engagement can positively impact students' academic performance, especially benefiting marginalised groups who may face additional barriers.

5. Special Education and Inclusive Classrooms: Develop strategies to mainstream students with disabilities into regular classrooms with appropriate support. Inclusive education ensures that students with disabilities have equal access to educational opportunities, fostering a more inclusive and tolerant society.

6. Language Accessibility: Recognize and accommodate linguistic diversity by providing education in regional languages and ensuring adequate language support for students with different linguistic backgrounds. Language barriers can disproportionately affect certain social groups; addressing this issue promotes equitable access to education for all students.

7. Monitoring and Evaluation with a Social Lens: Establish a monitoring and evaluation framework that specifically tracks the progress and outcomes of students from different social groups. This ensures accountability in addressing equity issues and allows for timely interventions to mitigate disparities in educational achievement.

8. Counselling and Mental Health Support: Integrate counselling services within schools to address socio-emotional challenges faced by students, with a focus on understanding diverse cultural contexts. This supports students' mental well-being across diverse social backgrounds, enhancing their overall academic performance and life outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Ensuring adequate resources for implementation, especially in underprivileged areas. Monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to address potential biases in the implementation process. Continuous efforts to bridge gaps in access, quality, and outcomes across diverse student populations. In summary, the concept of equity in education is multifaceted, and historical perspectives, sociological theories, past policies, and the current NEP 2020 in India provide a comprehensive understanding of the challenges and opportunities in achieving equitable education. Critical analysis and ongoing evaluation are essential to ensure that policies effectively address disparities and promote inclusive, high-quality education for all. In summary, the sociological analysis of the NEP 2020's provisions related to equity would involve examining the real-world impact on diverse demographic groups, ensuring that the policy effectively addresses the unique challenges faced by individuals based on gender, socioeconomic status, caste, and other factors. Overall, the National Education Policy 2020 seeks to transform the Indian education system to meet the needs of the 21st century and to prepare students for a rapidly changing global landscape.

REFERENCES

1. *Tiwari, A. (2024). Urban Educator Preparation Program: Assessing Preservice Teachers' Preparedness for Inclusive Education. Education and Urban Society, 00131245231220899.*
2. *Patra, S., & Upadhyay, P. Teachers' Attitude towards Inclusion in Schools: A Panoramic Study.*
3. *Shahzad, S., Ali, N., Hussain, I., & Educational, T. W. Nurturing Diversity: A Critical Analysis of Inclusive Education in Early Childhood. EDUCATION JOURNAL MAGAZINE, 110(1), 28.*
4. *Singal, N. (2019). Challenges and opportunities in efforts towards inclusive education: Reflections from India. International journal of inclusive education, 23(7-8), 827-840.*
5. *Bhan, S., & Panshikar, A. (2023). School Readiness for Inclusive Education in Tripura, India. Disability, CBR & Inclusive Development, 34(1), 46-63.*
6. *Jana, S., & Halder, T. INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN INDIA: PRINCIPLES AND BENEFITS. Educatum, 52.*
7. *Paul, S., & Chatterjee, B. (2023). INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN 21 st CENTURY OF INDIA.*
8. *Singh, D. Vision of Inclusive Education: Some Reflections. RESEARCHES AND STUDIES, 44.*
9. *Sudhakar, K., & Mareesh, K. (2023). Creating an inclusivity in education-the real challenge of nep 2020 in Swaraj India. GRT Journal of Education, Science and Technology, 1(2), 52-58.*
10. *Hussain, A. NATIONAL EDUCATION POLICY 2020 AND INCLUSIVE EDUCATION: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS.*
11. *Bhatnagar, A., & Madan, M. N. Inclusive Education: NEP-2020 Perspective. International Journal of Teacher Education and Teaching.*
12. *Nale (Satarkar), G.S. (2026). Sociology of education and the national education policy (NEP) 2020: A sociological analysis of inclusion, functionality, and social transformation in India. World Journal on Educational Technology: Current Issues.*
13. *Sil, M., & Dutta, A. (2025). "A Comparative Theoretical Review of Indian and Western Educational Philosophers: Tracing Their Impact on Contemporary Pedagogy". International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research.*
14. *Hamid, D., & Sharma, S.K. (2024). An Analysis of National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 for Transforming Infrastructure Development and Research Excellence in Higher Educational Institutions in India: A Comparative Study. INTERANTIONAL JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH IN ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT.*
15. *Beroš, I. (2020). Digital Citizenship in Croatia: Analysis of Strategic Documents of the National Education Policy and the Citizenship Education Curricula. Proceedings of The 2nd International Academic Conference on Education, Teaching and Learning.*
16. *Reuben, G., Odey, S.O., & Egodi, U. (2012). Nigeria's Universal Basic Education (U.B.E.) Policy: A Sociological Analysis.*

ISSN (Online): 2455-3662
EPRA International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research (IJMR) - Peer Reviewed Journal
Volume: 12| Issue: 6| June 2026|| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2013 || SJIF Impact Factor 2026: 8.753 || ISI Value: 1.188

17. *Alexiadou, N., Fink-Hafner, D., & Lange, B. (2010). Education Policy Convergence through the Open Method of Coordination: Theoretical Reflections and Implementation in 'old' and 'new' National Contexts. European Educational Research Journal, 9, 345 - 358.*
18. *Hartono, R., Arnyana, I.B., & Dantes, N. (2023). Analysis of Basic Education policies are based on philosophical, sociological, political and economic foundations. International Journal of Contemporary Studies in Education (IJ-CSE).*
19. *Hodge, E.M., Childs, J., & Au, W. (2020). Power, brokers, and agendas: New directions for the use of social network analysis in education policy. Education Policy Analysis Archives, 28, 117.*
20. *Narbut, N.P., Aleshkovski, I.A., Gasparishvili, A.T., Krukhmaleva, O.V., & Savina, N.E. (2023). Students' engagement in research at the university: A sociological analysis. RUDN Journal of Sociology.*
21. *Green, M.J., & Mierzwinski, M.F. (2025). 'It is a grey area in sport, not just in school': A figurational analysis of banter in secondary physical education in England. International Review for the Sociology of Sport, 60, 1395 - 1412.*
22. *Babuç, Z.T. (2019). A RELATIONAL SOCIOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF SYRIAN STUDENTS' EXPERIENCES IN OSMANIYE P. International Journal Of Eurasia Social Sciences.*
23. *Adhikary, R.W., & Lingard, B. (2019). Global–local imbrications in education policy: Methodological reflections on researching the sociology of Teach for Bangladesh. London Review of Education.*
24. *Somekh, B. (2004). Taking the sociological imagination to school: an analysis of the (lack of) impact of information and communication technologies on education systems. Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 13, 163 - 179.*
25. *Hart, C.S. (2018). Education, inequality and social justice: A critical analysis applying the Sen-Bourdieu Analytical Framework. Policy Futures in Education, 17, 582 - 598.*
26. *Vanderstraeten, R. (1999). Educational Expansion in Belgium: A Sociological Analysis Using Systems Theory. Journal of Education Policy, 14, 507-522.*
27. *Lam, C., & Park, J. (2016). Sociological and philosophical perspectives on education in the Asia-Pacific region.*
28. *Ball, S.J. (2005). Education Policy and Social Class: The Selected Works of Stephen J. Ball.*
29. *Alexiadou, N., Fink-Hafner, D., & Lange, B. (2010). Education Policy Convergence through the Open Method of Coordination: Theoretical Reflections and Implementation in 'old' and 'new' National Contexts. European Educational Research Journal, 9, 345 - 358.*
30. *Dijkstra, A.B., Geijsel, F.P., Ledoux, G., van der Veen, I., & ten Dam, G. (2015). Effects of school quality, school citizenship policy, and student body composition on the acquisition of citizenship competences in the final year of primary education. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 26, 524 - 553.*
31. *Schneider, B., Grogan, E., & Maier, A.E. (2011). Improving Teacher Quality: A Sociological Presage.*
32. *Vickers, E., & Lin, T. (2022). Introduction: Education, Identity, and Development in Contemporary Taiwan. International Journal of Taiwan Studies.*
33. *Beeman, M., Chowdhry, G., & Todd, K. (2000). EDUCATING STUDENTS ABOUT AFFIRMATIVE ACTION: AN ANALYSIS OF UNIVERSITY SOCIOLOGY TEXTS*. Teaching Sociology, 28, 98-115.*
34. *Astiz, M.F. (2006). Policy Enactment and Adaptation of Community Participation in Education: The Case of Argentina.*
35. *Soudien, C., & McKinney, C. (2016). The Character of the Multicultural Education Discussion in South Africa.*
36. *David, M.E. (2002). From Keighley to Keele: Personal reflections on a circuitous journey through education, family, feminism and policy sociology. British Journal of Sociology of Education, 23, 249 - 269.*