



WEAPONS AND WAR TECHNIQUES OF RAJPUT WARRIORS: SWORD, SPEAR, BOW AND CAVALRY TRADITIONS

Dr. Shivendra Shahi

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Defence and Strategic Studies, Shri Varshney College, Aligarh

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra30843>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra30843

ABSTRACT

Rajput warfare is an important part of the military history of medieval India. Rajputs of the region of today's Rajasthan and its adjacent borders developed a tradition of warfare characterized by valor, loyalty to clan, defence of territory, mobility of cavalry, fortification of forts and honor. Weapons were symbols of power, sovereignty, worship and chivalry. Swords, spears, bows, shields, daggers and horses were the symbols of Rajput battlefield culture and the weapons were considered the most prestigious element of it. It describes Rajput arms and tactics: hand-to-hand combat (sword), spear and lance tactics, archery, cavalry, fortification and field defense, guerrilla warfare, and Rajput martial traditions. The author argues that Rajput warfare has a chivalric martial tradition and practical warfare, was influenced with geographic and tactical choices, and shaped by the political needs and culture. The Rajput armies, whose clan rivalries and uneven adaptation to gunpowder and their over-reliance on deeds of valor in frontal assaults, meant that their military tradition of defence of fortresses, of cavalry warfare, of personal gallantry and of resistance would live on, if only in memory.

KEYWORDS: Rajput warfare, Rajput weapons, sword, spear, bow, cavalry, Rajputana, fort warfare, medieval India, martial culture, military history.

INTRODUCTION

The history of Rajputana is the history of war. This is the land that formed the warrior. In Rajasthan desert terrain, hills and rocky passes, forts and semi-arid plains, it was endurance, speed, and courage that ruled. A warrior had to know weapons, geography, water, movement, fort, and the martial code of honour. Although the Rajput warriors are remembered mainly for their martial use of honour, sovereignty and clan identity, the Rajput code of military conduct derived from their lifestyle as well as their conduct on the battlefield. A sword was not simply a weapon. While horses were then employed only for transportation, the fort was never simply a stone structure. Each had emotional, political and cultural importance. The Rajput warrior was a mixture of military profession and virtue. He was considered a valiant warrior, loyal to his ruler, respectful of his clan institutions, and willing to die for the cause of prestige, land and dharma. This warrior value system is reflected in the Rajput character.

Yet, there was not only the romance of gallantry in Rajput warfare, but also a much more practical side to it. Rajput rulers used forts, raids by cavalry, resistance on hills, combinations of alliances and forces with personal bodyguards, and practiced archery and swordsmanship. They adapted their military strategies to counter the external pressure exerted by the Delhi Sultanate, the Mughal Empire, neighboring Rajput kingdoms and empires. Chapter 2 covers the war skills of Rajput warriors, including weapons (sword, spear and bow), cavalry, organization of Rajput forces, process of warfare, defence of forts and Rajputana culture.

Rajput Warrior Culture and the Meaning of Arms

Weapons, especially swords, were important to the Rajput identity. Even when not used, they had a deep religious and symbolic importance. The armour of a warrior indicated his ancestry, valour and rank. Swords, shields, daggers and spears were used for rituals, in paintings, courtly display and family memory. The Rajputs had a strong tradition of culture and warfare. The weapons used in ceremony were highly ornamental; but weapons used in battle had to be effective and practical, needing strength, balance and utility. The sword had to cut, pierce, and be heavy enough to withstand blows. The shield had to endure. The spear had to be thrust with reach and force. Weapons were not just war implements for the Rajputs; they were memory, honour, and authority. The sword of the Rajput was not just an embodiment of steel; it was a symbol of sovereignty, protection, and self-sacrifice. Shields, daggers and spears were used ceremonially and many arms of the royal guard were decorated with gold, silver, enamel, animal figures and sacred symbols. The military importance of the decoration was not trivial. In Rajputana, warfare was an element of kingship, and the warrior swore loyalty not only to his king but to his clan, as a carrier of their honour.

The Rajput warrior was a lord of personal combat, and swords, spears and cavalry charges were prided upon. The usual heroic ideal could become a hindrance when warfare was changed by gunpowder, cannons, and by more disciplined massed ranks of foot soldiers. However, Rajput warriors were also adept in strategies such as reconnaissance, fort defence, surprise attack, horse movement and tactical withdrawal. The Rajput art of war therefore became a matter of honourable need; it was emotional. It was practical. It was customary. It was adaptive, though not consistently so.

**The Major Rajput Weapons and Their Use**

Rajput warfare was characterized by the use of many different weapons, which were used for close, long-range, and mounted attacks. Several were used in fort defence and the following table summarizes their armament and tactical value.

Table 1
Major Rajput Weapons and Their Battlefield Use

Weapon	Main Use	Tactical Advantage	Limitation
Talwar/Sword	Close combat, cavalry attack, personal duel	Fast cutting action, symbolic power, effective in mounted combat	Required close contact with enemy
Spear/Lance	Mounted charge, infantry defence, gate protection	Longer reach, shock effect, useful against cavalry	Less flexible in close-quarter fighting
Bow	Distance attack, fort defence, battlefield preparation	Weakens enemy before close combat, useful from height	Gradually challenged by firearms
Dhal/Shield	Defence against sword, spear and arrows	Protection in close combat, ceremonial value	Limited protection against firearms
Katar/Dagger	Close-quarter attack, last-resort weapon	Powerful thrusting weapon, easy to carry	Very short range
Matchlock/Firearm	Later-period projectile warfare	Penetration and psychological effect	Slow loading and uneven adoption

This table illustrates that Rajput warfare cannot be deemed dependent on merely a single type of weapon. It constituted a system of arms within. The sword gave honour to its wielder as well as cutting power. The spear gave reach. The bow gave distance. The shield gave protection. The dagger provided a final option for close quarters. Firearms subsequently contributed to adding a new dimension, although their adoption was not consistent across various diverse regions and historical periods.

The Sword: Symbol of Honour and Instrument for Close Combat

The Rajput warrior class widely regarded the sword as the most celebrated weapon. It symbolized valour. It represented being a king, sacrificing alongside martial pride. In Rajput culture, people frequently regarded the sword with a sense of reverence. It was intrinsically connected with the dignity of the individual warrior and his firm preparedness to defend honour. In combat situations, the sword served effectively as a weapon that operates at close range. It demanded strength, timing, and balance in performance. It also required fearlessness. The Rajput warrior skilfully used a sword. He had to close the distance to the enemy. This required courage. It also required training. A sword fight was occurring during a time that was fast and dangerous. One single mistake could possibly end a life.

Rajput swords came in different forms. They displayed diverse types. The Talwar became one of the most prominent swords of the Indian subcontinent. The curved blade of the weapon served effectively for slashing, especially when wielded from horseback. The curved sword suited cavalry warfare for the reason that a mounted warrior could deliver a cutting blow while moving in speed. Straight blades and other regional forms were also known, but the curved sword became strongly associated with the cavalry in aristocratic martial culture.

The Talwar must be considered not just as a sword but instead as an important cultural and military emblem. The uniquely curved blade of this particular tool made it exceptionally useful for swift and precise cutting movements. This was particularly true when used on horseback. In the martial culture of Rajput heritage, the sword represented honour, sovereignty, and personal courage within its tradition. A warrior's blade was frequently linked with ancestry, ceremonial standing and combat persona. Swordsmanship required a high level of coordination among the body, the weapon, and movement. It required exact timing and expertise in every aspect. The wrist mattered. The shoulder mattered. Footwork mattered. A warrior needed to understand about when to attack, as well as when to parry. Furthermore, the warrior must know when to change direction. The shield was often accompanied with the sword. The round shield, which is also widely known as a dhal, assisted in protecting the warrior from arrows, sword blows, and spear thrusts. Together, sword along with shield formed a complete fighting system. The sword also exerted power over psychology. It had a psychological impact. A cavalry charge with its bright, flashing swords could effectively shatter enemy morale. The image was terrifying. Noise, dust, horses, and steel created tension among them even before physical contact. Yet, in reality, sword warfare had its boundaries. It required proximity. Against disciplined archers, firearms, artillery, or prepared defensive formations, sword-based charges may encounter losses. The troops could face heavy casualties. This does not reduce the importance of the sword; it only shows that every weapon belongs to within a specific tactical environment. The sword was strong in terms of mobility, timing and morale. Alone, it was insufficient.



The Spear and the Lance in Combat: The Aspects of Reach, Shock, and Mounted Power

The spear functioned as an important weapon during Rajput warfare. This particular weapon held important value during various battles. It was simple in form but deadly in its application. It gave reach. It enabled a bold fighter to attack before an opponent approached too near. On horseback, the lance or spear evolved into a weapon of impact. Using a mounted spear required achieving an excellent level of control over the horse. The warrior had to ride with speed. He had to aim accurately and strike with power. In a cavalry charge, the lance might shatter enemy lines. It could also disturb infantry formations in addition to creating openings for sword combat. After the initial shock occurred, warriors were able to switch to swords and continue the fight at close quarters. The spear acted as a useful tool for guards alongside infantry. It has the potential to effectively stop cavalry forces if it is strategically utilized in groups. A line of warriors who bear spears could effectively resist a mounted attack, especially in narrow terrain or nearby the gates of a fort. Thus, the spear was not only a weapon for attack but also a means for defence.

Rajput warriors also utilized spears within various symbolic contexts. Ceremonial spears appeared in the courtly life and in processions. Similar to the sword, the spear also brought prestige. The spear improved status. However, its worth was practical on the battlefield. It created distance, applied force, and offered flexibility. Furthermore, it permitted various uses. The spear's strength derived from its simplicity. It could be manufactured more simply than with detailed swords. It required training, yet one could understand its basic use more simply. In the grasp of an adept warrior, it proved effective. However, it became highly effective in its use. A spear thrust from horseback may be harmful. It could cause meaningful harm to.

The Bow: Distance, Skill, and Preparation for the Battlefield

The bow played a meaningful role in the history of Indian warfare. It had a long history within its context. Rajput warriors utilized archery both in hunting and in war. The bow allowed a warrior to strike from a distance. It may hamper the enemy. This action would occur prior to the start of close combat. It can irritate, disturb and weaken. In open battle, it was possible for archers to prepare the field by sending volleys before cavalry engagement. In the context of fort warfare, bows proved to be useful when used from walls and towers. They were effective while positioned at elevated locations. Defenders had the ability to shoot at the attackers. These attackers were approaching at gates, ladders, or siege equipment. In hilly and broken terrain, archers could use cover from the height. Archery required discipline. It was not just about the physical strength. In addition to that, there were other important factors involved. It required controlling the eyes, breathing, timing, while practicing repeatedly. A skilled archer requires patience. He needed to assess the degree of distance. He had to release at this moment of truth.

Mounted archery turned out to be considerably more difficult. It required the warrior in order to handle both the horse and the bow simultaneously. While horse archery was more strongly associated with Central Asian and Turko-Mongol traditions, Indian cavalry cultures also recognized the value of shooting from mobile positions during combat. For numerous Rajput warriors, however, the sword as well as the lance continued to remain more central to the idealized image of mounted combat. With the arrival as well as the spread of gunpowder weapons, bows gradually lost a measure of importance on the battlefield. Firearms could penetrate armour. They could also create new tactical possibilities for themselves. Yet the bows did not vanish immediately. In various areas, old and new weapons existed alongside each other for an extended time. Rajput warfare, in contrast to other military cultures, experienced this prominent transition unevenly. The change happened with differences. The bow signifies an important point in relation to Rajput warfare: courage was indeed admired, while distance weapons were also recognized as being necessary. A battle was not won only through a heroic charge. It required thorough preparation for the weakening of the enemy and for the control of space.

Cavalry Customs: Equine, Movement as Fighters' Prestige

The horse occupied a particularly special place within the Rajput military culture. A warrior on horseback represented speed, nobility, and authority on the battlefield. Cavalry enabled forces to move through challenging spaces. They eased mobility across challenging landscape. It enabled sudden attacks, pursuits, retreats, reconnaissance along with communication. Rajput cavalry held particular importance in open-field battles. Mounted warriors could strike quickly at the enemy and pull back before the enemy reorganized. They could attack flanks. They could chase a defeated enemy. They could effectively shield both commanders and their banners. The tradition of the Rajput cavalry was shaped considerably by the influence of geography. Additionally, in social prestige played an important role in its development. Rajasthan's open spaces as well as desert routes required warriors who could move quickly. The hill passes required people who could fight with endurance. The horse gave the Rajput warrior speed and height. It also offered him with meaningful battlefield presence. Mounted warriors could charge, pursue, withdraw, scout as well as carry messages. In many cases, cavalry served as more than just a military arm in society; it represented a mark of nobility. A trained horse and a skilled rider together formed a powerful battlefield unit.

The horse did not serve merely as a simple animal in the Rajput tradition. It was a companion. A loyal horse became part of the heroic recollection. The story of Maharana Pratap's horse Chetak remains as the most famous example of valour. Whether one reads as history, memory, or legend, the story shows how deeply horse and warrior bonded in Rajput imagination.

Royal hunting did not merely act as a form of leisure activity. It also educated rulers and nobles in riding, weapon handling, bravery, observation, and calculated coordination. The ability to effectively strike while mounted, accurately read the terrain, and



skilfully control the horse held direct military importance in actual combat. Therefore, hunting and warring cultures often overlapped within Rajput courts. Cavalry warfare required training since childhood. A mounted warrior demanded balance along with courage. The warrior also required for physical endurance. He had to utilize weapons while riding. He was required to control the speed. He needed to remain calm in the midst of both noise and dust. A terrified horse may interfere with formation. A confident horse could carry the warrior across history. Rajput cavalry charges were often associated with a sense of shock and of honour. A direct charge across the battlefield showed bravery. It might also generate a tactical surprise. This might occur at any given moment. However, the continual reliance on frontal cavalry charges could potentially turn hazardous when faced with more agile or technologically superior foes. The lesson is obvious in that cavalry strength required tactical judgement. The most effective way to utilize cavalry did not involve blind attacks. There was mobility along with timing. It symbolized an important combination. Maharana Pratap's subsequent resistance after the battle at Haldighati clearly shows the importance of flexible movement, planned use of terrain, and the principles of non-linear warfare. In such specific conditions, cavalry became increasingly useful not only for engaging in heroic battle but also for survival, harassment along with resistance.

Fort Warfare as well as the Defensive Technique

Rajput warfare cannot be understood without the context of the forts. Chittorgarh, Kumbhalgarh, Ranthambore, Jaisalmer, Mehrangarh together with other forts were not only royal residences. They were military centres. They carefully safeguarded individuals, valuable items, sacred shrines, storage facilities, and important governmental power. Forts provided Rajput states with an important defensive depth. They contributed to strong protection against potential threats. In a region with the potential for imperial armies to invade with large forces, forts provided a means of resistance. A strong fort could effectively delay an enemy, exhaust important supplies in addition to compelling negotiation. Hill forts were particularly meaningful because the terrain itself became an integral part of the defence.

Rajput forts should be viewed as weapons formed from stone, terrain, and endurance. They were not passive in their role within shelters. Routes were controlled, populations were protected, resources were stored, and political authority was expressed. Forts such as Chittorgarh and Kumbhalgarh enabled Rajput rulers to successfully resist larger imperial armies by leveraging planned height, strong walls, imposing gates, narrow approaches, and various natural obstacles. A strong fort could delay an enemy for months while forcing him to expend men, money, and supplies. In this sense, the Rajput defence strategy was not merely a heroic one; it was at the same time both geographical and architectural.

Table 2
Rajput Forts and Their Strategic Importance

Fort	Region	Strategic Importance
Chittorgarh Fort	Mewar	Symbol of Rajput sovereignty, resistance and sacrifice
Kumbhalgarh Fort	Mewar/Rajsamand	Refuge fort, hill defence, associated with Maharana Pratap
Ranthambore Fort	Sawai Madhopur	Strong hill fort controlling routes and surrounding territory
Amber Fort	Jaipur	Political and military centre of Kachwaha power
Jaisalmer Fort	Thar Desert	Desert fort, trade-route control and defensive settlement
Gagron Fort	Jhalawar	Water-hill fort with natural defensive advantage

Longer sieges required the defenders of the fortification to manage food, water and morale, and to arrange for the defence of the walls, sometimes with archers and later gunmen, and of the gates. Secret paths, observation posts and signaling systems were vital to the slow and arduous siege. Just as deadly as weapons was hunger; Kumbhalgarh reflects the defensive skill of Rajputana warfare. The fort was built in the Aravalli hills, which made it strategically difficult to reach. It became a refuge, a garrison and a symbol. It was highly defensible because of its long walls, high location and local resources. The Rajput rulers were apparently aware of the value of fort networks. Even if territory was lost, forts could theoretically preserve sovereignty, but they were vulnerable to isolation or destruction if supply lines were severed or relief failed. The history of Rajputana was one of valiant defence, and at times tragic siege, of the fort. It said: we may be surrounded, but we have not surrendered.

Hill Forts of Rajasthan and the Strategy of Survival

The Hill Forts of Rajasthan are both the example and embodiment of the military genius of Rajputana. These forts were not raised at random. They were placed on sites that were defensively strong. Everything from hills, deserts, forests, cliffs, water bodies, all of them were considered by the military. The fortifications of Rajasthan hill forts testify that Rajput warfare also relied on hill forts, mountaintop defences, desert defences, water management, temples and palace fortifications, and control of trade routes, rather than solely on cavalry charges and battlefield bravery. Thus, the fort was not only a military structure, but a political instrument, since a ruler who held a strong fort also had authority, population, memory and legitimacy. In an invasion, the forts psychologically reassured the side they protected and delayed the other side's advance. Although a large invading force might win



a set-piece battle, capturing a fortified hill centre would be another matter.

This is the reason why Rajput military history is full of forts. The fort at Chittorgarh became the symbol of sacrifice. The fort of Kumbhalgarh, became the symbol of survival. The fort of Ranthambore is the symbol of strength. Jaisalmer and other Rajput fortresses became the archetype of desert defences in Rajputana.

Guerrilla Adaptation and Terrain Strategy

Rajput warfare, in addition to its portrayal of battles and cavalry charges, also includes resistance warfare such as Raja Maharana Pratap's guerrilla war campaign after the Battle of Haldighati. Instead of a pitched battle against an army that had a superior Mughal military system, he employed the hills, forests and local population and his own mobile forces. Narrow passes and hilly woods might negate the superiority of the imperial horde, but small mobile forces, able to raid enemy supplies, camps and recapture lost territory where the situation was in their favour, could win the day. It was a battle of patience, not a glorious cavalry charge across the battlefield. It involved hardship. It involved movement. It involved waiting. It involved survival.

Further, the local communities, such as the Bhils, supported the resistance. Their local knowledge of the terrain provided an advantage in prolonging the resistance, as guides, scouts and irregular fighters could help a ruler continue struggle. Thus, Rajput warfare was not always about honour-bound frontal combat, as demonstrated by the Rajput chieftains who, if political survival required, would often take recourse to ambushes and guerrilla tactics against the enemy.

War Techniques: Charge, Duel, Defence, and Morale

Typical Rajput battlefield tactics included charging with cavalry during the initial phase of battle, to break enemy morale and formation. Speed was to be the weapon used. The first was shock; the second close combat. Here too the sword, the shield, the spear and the dagger were the decisive weapons. Reputation was made in such moments. Third was archery and missile use, causing a storm of arrows before the battle, protecting forts and weakening enemies. The fourth was defensive warfare, where forts, passes, walls, gates, and terrain became planned. The fifth aspect was morale. Rajput armies were motivated by honour and clan loyalty, and by the example of individual Rajput commanders on the battlefield. If the leader stood firm, warriors stood firm. If the banner fell, the soldiers' morale could wane. Rajput warfare was thus a combination of physical and psychological weapon, with honour inspiring heroism. But honour also needed to be calculated, because without a plan, courage could be turned into sacrifice.

The Adaptation and Limitations of Rajput Warfare

However, a serious study must also acknowledge the limitations of Rajput warfare: its strengths, weaknesses, and its failures as well as its successes. First, there were clan rivalries. The states of the Rajputs did not act as one. In addition to political fragmentation, it encouraged a heroic ideal that would favour direct confrontation rather than indirect methods whenever possible. Such losses could be heavy. Third, adoption of gunpowder weapons was slow. Although some Rajput states had taken to firearms and artillery available from Mughal and other neighbours, traditions persisted, and military organization was rooted in feudal levies. A consequence of these practices was that the warriors displayed great bravery but little discipline for central authority. Fifth, siege warfare created pressure on Rajput forts as they could not be relieved if isolated, though they could usually endure starvation for long periods.

However, the martial skill of Rajput warriors was not always enough to overcome the new threats posed by gunpowder, artillery and disciplined imperial forces. While some Rajput states had adopted firearms, fort-based artillery and Mughal-style military organization, others were still reminiscent of earlier eras of personal valour. This uneven adoption of new technology and practices was one of the failures of Rajput warfare, which was strongest when valour was combined with land warfare, fort-defence, alliances, and the movement of cavalry. However, it was weakest when honour became separated from practical military calculation. This does not diminish Rajput courage. Rather, they help us to understand Rajput warfare realistically; the heroism was real, so were the structural weaknesses.

Cultural memory of Rajput weapons

Rajput weapons, swords, spears, shields, armour and daggers were not only preserved in museums but also in songs, paintings, ceremonies and legends. They also became the hallmark of a house, ruler or sacrifice. It is this cultural memory that today's reader has that of the warrior who chooses honour over comfort. But it sometimes romanticizes war, thus the historian's task is to respect but also deconstruct the Rajput sword. It has also to be considered as a tool of the military: the horse deserves memory. But it must also be studied as a calculated asset, and it deserves reverence. We should study this as a defence system. Yet the war was not just legends and memory, when we combine them we see Rajput warfare. It was a lived military culture.

Image resource for chapter formatting.

When used for research purposes, the images of the figures should be sourced from a reputable source and be in the public domain or owned by the Institution.



Figure	Image Type	Recommended Source	Use in Chapter
Figure 1	Talwar/Sword with scabbard	The Metropolitan Museum of Art	Section on sword and close combat
Figure 2	Hunting sword from Rajasthan	The Metropolitan Museum of Art	Section on cavalry, hunting and martial preparation
Figure 3	Kumbhalgarh Fort	Rajasthan Tourism / UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Section on fort warfare
Figure 4	Hill Forts of Rajasthan	UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Section on hill forts and defence strategy
Additional Image	Rajput arms and armour	Mehrangarh Museum Trust / Smithsonian Libraries record of Elgood's work	Section on Rajput armoury
Additional Image	Rajput/Mewar-related artefacts	British Museum object records	Section on cultural memory

Preferred sources of images are museums and UNESCO, because they include object-level detail, institutional affiliation, and image credit information. If your chapter is intended for publication, please check permissions and copyright compliance for each image before submitting the chapter.

CONCLUSION

The arms and war tactics of Rajput warriors were based on the native martial tradition and shaped by the geography, honour, clan loyalty and political struggles of the region. The sword was a symbol of valour and used for hand-to-hand combat. The spear gave reach and shock, the bow range and forethought. Cavalry created manoeuvre, prestige and shock on the battlefield. Forts showed the importance of defence, while guerrilla warfare showed flexibility and endurance. The theory behind Rajput warfare was both heroic and tactical, allowing for the indiscriminate charge, but also for patient resistance. It valued personal honour, but relied on the terrain, alliances, fortifications, and local support to succeed more than personal skill. Thus, the study of Rajput weaponry is not merely a study of metal and technique. It is a study of a society which placed its martial identity at the core of its political life. The Rajput warrior carried his sword, but he also carried memory, duty and pride. That is why Rajputana's martial tradition still has an important place in the history of the military of India.

Balance is the most important feature of Rajput warfare. Courage was required, but strategy was also necessary. Honour was powerful, but it needed political astuteness. Forts were powerful, but they needed resources. The cavalry was fast, but its use required timing. The weapons were deadly, but they required discipline. In this combination of arms, honour and endurance lie the distinct character of Rajput military tradition.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, D. (2004). *Courtly culture and political life in early medieval India*. Cambridge University Press.
2. Chandra, S. (2005). *Medieval India: From Sultanat to the Mughals* (Vols. 1-2). Har-Anand Publications.
3. Dirks, N. B. (1987). *The hollow crown: Ethnohistory of an Indian kingdom*. Cambridge University Press.
4. Eaton, R. M. (2019). *India in the Persianate age: 1000-1765*. University of California Press.
5. Elgood, R. (2004). *Hindu arms and ritual: Arms and armour from India 1400-1865*. Eburon Academic Publishers.
6. Elgood, R. (2017). *Rajput arms and armour: The Rathores and their armoury at Jodhpur Fort* (Vols. 1-2). Mehrangarh Museum Trust in association with Niyogi Books.
7. Gahlot, S. S. (1989). *Rajasthan: History and culture*. J. S. Gahlot Research Institute.
8. Hooja, R. (2006). *A history of Rajasthan*. Rupa & Co.
9. Kolff, D. H. A. (1990). *Naukar, Rajput, and sepoy: The ethnohistory of the military labour market in Hindustan, 1450-1850*. Cambridge University Press.
10. Lal, R. B. (1967). *India's military past*. Army Educational Stores.
11. Majumdar, R. C. (Ed.). (1974). *The Mughal Empire*. Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan.
12. The Metropolitan Museum of Art. (n.d.). *Sword (talwar) with scabbard*. The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
13. The Metropolitan Museum of Art. (n.d.). *Hunting sword from Rajasthan*. The Metropolitan Museum of Art.
14. Naravane, M. S. (1999). *The Rajputs of Rajputana: A glimpse of medieval Rajasthan*. APH Publishing.
15. *Rajasthan Tourism*. (n.d.). *Kumbhalgarh Fort*. Department of Tourism, Government of Rajasthan.
16. Richards, J. F. (1993). *The Mughal Empire*. Cambridge University Press.
17. Roy, K. (2004). *India's historic battles: From Alexander the Great to Kargil*. Permanent Black.
18. *Sahapedia*. (n.d.). *Rajput warriors' armoury: Influences, experiments and adaptations*. Sahapedia.
19. Sarkar, J. N. (1960). *Military history of India*. Orient Longmans.
20. Sharma, G. N. (1954). *Mewar and the Mughal emperors, 1526-1707 A.D.* S. L. Agarwala.



-
21. Singh, N. K. (2002). *Encyclopaedia of Jainism*. Anmol Publications.
 22. Talbot, C. (2016). *The last Hindu emperor: Prithviraj Chauhan and the Indian past, 1200–2000*. Cambridge University Press.
 23. Tod, J. (1920). *Annals and antiquities of Rajasthan* (W. Crooke, Ed.). Oxford University Press.
 24. UNESCO World Heritage Centre. (n.d.). *Hill forts of Rajasthan*. UNESCO.
 25. Ziegler, N. P. (1976). *Marvari historical chronicles: Sources for the social and cultural history of Rajasthan*. *The Indian Economic & Social History Review*, 13(2), 219–250.