



DYNAMICS OF HR WITH AI-SHAPING THE FUTURE OF WORK & WORKPLACE: HUMAN TECHNOLOGY INTERACTION - AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Kundurthi Venkata Satya Sri Kumar¹, Dr. V. Tulasi Das²

¹Research Scholar, Dept. of Human Resources Management, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur-522510, A.P.

²Professor, Dept. of MBA (HRM), Acharya Nagarjuna University, Guntur-522510, A.P.

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra31598>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra31598

ABSTRACT

The theme "Dynamics of HR with AI-Shaping the Future of Work and Workplace" centric to Human-Technology Interaction as the corner stone of modern HR transformation. As AI systems increasingly support hiring, learning, performance, and engagement, the quality of the interaction between people and technology becomes critical. HR plays a pivotal role in ensuring AI complements human judgement, enhances employee experience, and fosters trust through ethical, transparent, and inclusive design. By enabling collaborative intelligence where humans and AI learn, decide, and evolve together. HR can create adaptive, people-centric workplaces that balance technological advancement with empathy, accountability and sustainable value creation. This study investigates the role of human-technology interaction in the adoption and effectiveness of AI within human resource management. It aims to examine how interactions between employees, HR professionals, and AI-enabled systems influence trust, decision quality, employee experience, and organizational outcomes.

KEY WORDS: Human-Machine Interaction, Artificial Intelligence, HR Transformation, Work and Workplace

INTRODUCTION



The future of work is increasingly shaping by Artificial Intelligence as organizations adopt intelligent systems to enhance efficiency, accuracy and decision-making. Human Resources Management stands at the centre of this transformation, transitioning predominantly from an administrative function to a strategic driver of organizational capability. AI applications in HR such as predictive analysis, intelligent recruitment systems, personalized learning platforms and employee sentiment analysis are redefining how work is designed mapped and experienced. However, the growing presence of AI in HR functions has raised critical questions regarding employee trust, ethical decision making, fairness and the preservation of human agency. In response the concept of Human Technology Interaction (HTI) has emerged as a key lens for understanding how humans and intelligent systems coexist and cocreate value within organizations. Rather than viewing AI as a replacement of human roles, HTI emphasises collaboration, augmentation and mutual learning between humans and machines. This paper positions HTI as a foundational element in shaping future workplace dynamics. It argues that HR's role is not limited to deploying AI tools but extends to governing the interaction between employees and technology to ensure transparency, inclusivity, and sustainability. By examining HR with Ai through an HTI-centric lens, this study contributes to the growing discourse on human-centred digital transformation.

Human Technology Interaction in an Organization process flow:

The diagram shown above represents **typical Human Technology Interaction flow within an AI enabled organization**. The process is cyclical and continuously evolving.

Work Design (Human-Led, AI-Informed):

- HR & business leaders define roles, skills and outcomes required for organization success.
- AI supports this stage by analyzing job data, future skills, workload patterns and gaps.
- Human judgement remains central in deciding what should be automated and what must remain human-centric.

HTI Focus: Strategic decision making augmented by data intelligence.

Task Automation (AI-Driven Execution):

- Repetitive, rule-based and high-volume tasks are automated using AI systems (e.g., resume screening, attendance tracking, payroll validation).
- AI execute tasks consistently at scale, reducing cognitive, administrative load on employees
- Automation is designed with built-in controls, explainability and ethical safeguards.

HTI Focus: Trust in AI systems and transparency of automation logic.

Employee Interaction (Human Experience Layer):

- Employees interact with AI through dashboards, chatbots, recommendation engines, and decision-support tools.
- AI provides insights (not commands), allowing employees to apply contextual knowledge and discretion.
- HR ensures usability, accessibility and psychological safety in AI interactions.

HTI Focus: User experience, ease of adoption, and human autonomy.

Feedback & continuous improvement (Human + AI Learning Loop)

- Employees provide feedback on AI outputs, recommendations, and system behaviour.
- AI systems learn from human corrections, preferences and outcomes to improve accuracy over time.
- HR monitors bias, ethical impact and alignment with organizational values.

HT Focus: Co-learning between humans and technology

REVIEW LITERATURE

Early studies focused on AI as an efficiency-enhancing mechanism through automation of recruitment, selection, payroll, and performance management. Recent literature, however, presents AI as a strategic enabler that augments human decision-making rather than replacing HR professionals. Zhai et al. (2024) conducted a comprehensive bibliometric and thematic review of AI-HRM research and observed a paradigm shift from algorithm-centric studies to application-centric and human-centric analyses, highlighting both positive productivity effects and organizational risks. Similarly, Safshekan et al. (2026) demonstrated that AI improves workforce planning, recruitment accuracy, and retention when embedded thoughtfully within HR systems. Systematic reviews further confirm that AI applications in HR positively influence cost efficiency and decision quality but require contextual human judgment to manage uncertainty and ethical complexity.

Dima et al. (2024) identified that AI influences HR activities through five mechanisms: task automation, capability augmentation, HR role transformation, work redesign, and relational change, underscoring HTI as a central mediating factor. Likewise, Benabou and Touhami (2025) highlighted the growing importance of human-AI collaboration, arguing that AI delivers



value only when employees actively engage with and guide AI outputs. The broader HTI literature further supports the idea that hybrid intelligence—combining human reasoning with machine intelligence—creates more resilient and adaptive organizations. Prasad and De (2024) empirically demonstrated that trust mediates the relationship between AI usability and employee engagement, confirming that AI acceptance is not purely technical but psychological and social in nature. Complementing this, Westover (2025) found that organizational AI transparency significantly predicts employee trust, career self-efficacy, and adaptive job behaviors. Multiple studies also highlight that opaque algorithmic decision-making erodes employee confidence and increases resistance, particularly in performance appraisal and promotion decisions.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) emphasizes that AI in HR must not rely solely on empirical modeling but should incorporate social dialogue, fairness audits, and governance standards. Capasso et al. (2024) further examined the ethical implications of algorithmic HRM, particularly concerning the right to equality, privacy, and work, advocating for responsible AI governance frameworks. Recent conceptual studies propose ethical AI-HRM frameworks where transparency, accountability, and human oversight serve as moderating variables shaping sustainable HR outcomes. Sadeghi (2024) reported that transparent and participatory AI implementation positively affects employee well-being, whereas surveillance-oriented AI heightens stress and job insecurity. Likewise, Jain and Gupta (2025) found that employees perceive AI as supportive only when it complements human relationships rather than replaces managerial empathy. These findings reinforce the importance of HTI as a balancing mechanism between technological efficiency and human dignity.

International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology | www.ijrst.com Volume 10, Issue 11, March-April 2023, published on 16 March 2023 Page No: 241-247 243 The evolution of HRM operations has been significantly aided by the development of information technology (Kavanagh et al., 2012). According to Maditheti, digital HRM is managing all the HRM work through technologies, applications, and the Internet. Innovations also are required to be brought into the HRM practices for attracting, rewarding, and evaluating employees. The adoption of new technologies and digitalization of organizational processes have forced the rapid evolution of HRM practices, requiring the development and adoption of new HR competencies, new forms of employment, and agile HR processes (Kagermann, 2015; Horváth et al., 2019).

Rapid development and adoption of technologies such as Artificial Intelligence, Cloud Computing, Edge Computing, Mobile Apps, Social, Big Data Analytics, and IoT help organizations to exploit characteristics of such transformative technologies in terms of improving human interaction, business agility, accelerating innovation and transforming business processes, and introducing new business models (Bajer, 2017; Schwanholz et al., 2018; Rachinger et al., 2019; Zehir et al., 2020).

RESEARCH GAP

Despite several literature, there are a few gaps remain:

- Limited integrative framework linking AI, HTI and HR outcomes
- Insufficient empirical studies in Indian and GCC contexts
- Under exploration of ethical governance models in operational HR
- Fragmented understanding of long-term employee adaption of AI

RESEARCH MOTIVATION

Despite rapid AI adoption in HR, limited scholarly attention has been given to how humans perspective, interpret, and interact with these technologies. Concerns around reduced human agency, algorithmic bias, and ethical risks necessitate a deeper understanding of interaction mechanisms that ensure AI remains people-centric rather than technology-driven.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To examine the impact of Artificial Intelligence adoption on Human Resource Management practices and how it transforms work design, decision making, and workplace dynamics in contemporary organizations.
- Examine the dynamics of Human Resources Management in AI enabled workplaces. Conceptualize-Human-Technology Interactions as a continuous organizational process.
- Propose step-by-step HTI process framework applicable to HR functions and identify practical implications for HR leaders and organizations.
- To analyze the role of Human Technology Interaction (HTI) in influencing employee trust, engagement, transparency, and acceptance of AI-enabled HR systems.
- To develop a human centric conceptual framework that explains how effective interaction between humans and AI contributes to sustainable, ethical and future ready HR practices.

HYPOTHESIS

Based on conceptual framework, the following hypothesis are proposed:

- H0 (Null): AI adoption in HR practices has a significant positive impact on organizational efficiency.
- H1 (Alternative): AI adoption in HR practices has no significant positive impact on organizational efficiency.



METHODOLOGY

A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining survey data from employees and Department leaders with qualitative interviews and organizational case analysis. Key interaction dimensions-transparency, explainability, perceived fairness, and human oversight-were examined to assess impact on AI acceptance and effectiveness.

SAMPLE METHOD

A simple random sampling method is deployed to select the sample respondents.

Sampling

Employee Level/Grade	Population	Sample Respondents	Per cent
Administrative/ mgt.	18	14	3
Business Solutions Analyst	24	20	4
Architect	28	23	5
Technical Leads	55	45	9
Supporting Employees	55	45	9
Project Manager	60	49	10
Senior Software Engineer	125	103	21
Software Engineer	236	194	39
Total	600	492	100

DATA ANALYSIS

As described in above Table, the sample for the data was taken from employees who are working at Wilco Source Technologies Pvt Limited. A structured questionnaire on the impact of HR digital transformation on employee experience was circulated through E-mail to around 600 employees in various grades. Out of which 492 of them responded completely. The response rate is 82%. While few have done half the work while few of them did not even bother to respond. These employees sent the complete responses from different levels of the position, including Administrative/ mgt. (14), Business Solutions Analyst (20), Architect (23), Technical Leads (45), Supporting Employees (45), Project Manager (49), Senior Software Engineer (103), Software Engineer (194).

Table – 1: Department/Practice wise of the Sample Respondents

Department/Practice	Frequency	Percent
Accounting	12	2%
IT Support & Gen Administration	18	4%
Architecture	33	7%
Business Consulting & Client Engagement	24	5%
Business Development	15	3%
Delivery	294	60%
HR, Learning & Development	12	2%
Operations	12	3%
Sales & Pre-Sales	36	7%
Recruitment	34	7%
Grand Total	492	100%

Table 1 describes the employee's department or the practices who responded to the questionnaire. As described in Table 1, Out of the total sample of 492 employees, 12 were part of Accounting department which is 2% of the total sample who accept that the digitization in HR will enhance the Experience of employees, 18 employees were from IT Support & Gen Administration department and they feel that HR digitization will Enhanced Productivity and Efficiency which is 4% of the total sample, 294 the majority of the employees are from the delivery department who did the technical delivery to the clients which is 60% of the total sample who accept that a robust digital infrastructure to the employees will help in the Management and Retention of Talent, 33 employees are from Architecture practice which is 7% who are believing that the HR digitalization will lead to enhanced Compliance, 24 employees are from the Business Consulting & Client Engagement practice which is 5% of the total sample and they feel that by digitizing the HR there will be high possibilities of Improved Analytics and Data. 15 employees are from the Business development team, and they feel that manual process automation will be possible through the digital tools in the HR. 12 are from the HR, Learning & Development team which is 2% of the sample, who feel that there is a huge chance of expense reduction or cost control through the proper automation of the processes. 12 employees are from the Operations team, which is 3% of the sample, and they feel that HR digitization leads to a reduction of manual errors. 36 employees are from the Sales & Pre-sales department, which is 7% of the sample and they feel that combined HR systems can create a huge impact on overall organization efficiency. 34 employees are from the Recruitment team which is 7% of the sample and they think that HR digitization will help in quick turnaround time and smooth functioning of the processes at the organizational level.

**Table – 2: Experience Range of the Sample Respondents**

Experience	Frequency	Per cent
Less than 3 years	124	25%
between 4 and 8	197	40%
between 9 and 15	98	20%
Above 15 years	73	15%
Total	492	100

Table 2 is about the experience range of the sample. Analyzing the groups, 124 employees have less than 3 years of overall experience and are waiting for digital tools in human resources which will impact the employee experience, 197 are between 4 and 8 years of experience, 98 are between 9 and 15 and 73 of them are above 15 years of experience. Most of the sample, 40.0% are between 4 and 8 years of experience and unanimously agree to bring HR digitization through innovative technologies like Artificial Intelligence that will help enhance overall productivity.

Table – 3: Tenure of the Sample Respondents

Tenure	Frequency	Per cent
0-2 years	124	25%
3-6 years	218	44%
6-10 years	96	20%
Above 10 years	53	11%
Total	492	100%

Table 3 is about the tenure of the sample. Analyzing the tenure, 124 employees have spent 0-2 years in the company and are ready to adopt HR digital technologies like Artificial Intelligence to enhance employee performance. The majority of the 218 employees, who have spent 3-6 years tenure in the company are likely to learn more advanced topics in the HR digital space that will automate their regular tasks. 20.0% of them have 6-10 years of tenure in the company and unanimously they agree to bring HR digitization through introduction of advanced technologies that will help enhance productivity. About 53 employees, which is 11% of the sample are the ones who spent more than 10 years in the company and they understand the impact of HR digitization in enhancing the overall organization's performance.

Table – 4: Regression Model of Key Interaction Dimensions and Perceived Usefulness of AI among Employees and Heads of Select Organizations

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.946 ^a	0.895	0.895	0.456
a. Predictors: (Constant), Human oversight, Explainability, Transparency, Perceived fairness				

(Source: Primary Data)

From the above table it is observed that the correlation coefficient $R = .946$. It indicates the relation between Key Interaction Dimensions and Perceived Usefulness of AI is constructive and both alter in identical path. The coefficient of variance R^2 shows that 89.5% of the deviation in the dependent factor (Perceived Usefulness of AI) is explained by the independent factor (Key Interaction Dimensions). The adjusted R^2 mentioned in the above table shows the generalisability of the model. It enables the generalising the result obtained from the select healthcare sector organizations to the universe. It is observed that the value of adjusted $R^2 = .895$ is equal to the value of $R^2 = .895$. If the adjusted R^2 is expelled from the R^2 the value will be $(.895 - .895 = .000)$. This sum of decrease means that if the sample universe participates in the research and the model has been fitted then, there will be no difference in the outcome.

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	2033.889	4	508.472	2447.37	.000 ^b
	Residual	237.889	1145	0.208		
	Total	2271.777	1149			
a. Dependent Variable: Perceived Usefulness of AI						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Human oversight, Explainability, Transparency, Perceived fairness						

(Source: Primary Data)

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) allows the researchers to test the null hypothesis statistically. The above table shows the result of ANOVA test, where the F ratio = 2447.366 and the P value < 0.05, this outcome indicates that there is less than 5% change that an F ratio of this value would be occur only coincidentally. Since the P value is lesser than the significant level (0.05),



the null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted signifying that Key Interaction Dimensions Effects Perceived Usefulness of AI of employees and heads.

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	0.17	0.035		4.857	0
	Transparency	0.06	0.024	0.063	2.594	0.01
	Explainability	0.02	0.024	0.022	0.918	0.36
	Perceived fairness	0	0.024	0.003	0.139	0.89
	Human oversight	0.86	0.023	0.868	37.68	0

a. Dependent Variable: Perceived Usefulness of AI

(Source: Primary Data)

The result in the above coefficient table revealed that Key Interaction Dimensions effects Perceived Usefulness of AI of employees and heads.

Table – 5: Regression Model of Key Interaction Dimensions and Ease of AI Adoption among Employees and Heads of Select Organizations

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.908 ^a	0.825	0.824	0.588

a. Predictors: (Constant), Human oversight, Explainability, Transparency, Perceived fairness

(Source: Primary Data)

From the above table it is observed that the correlation coefficient $R = .908$. It indicates the relation between Key Interaction Dimensions and Ease of AI Adoption is constructive and both alter in identical path. The coefficient of variance R^2 shows that 82.5% of the deviation in the dependent factor (Ease of AI Adoption) is explained by the independent factor (Key Interaction Dimensions). The adjusted R^2 mentioned in the above table shows the generalisability of the model. It enables the generalising the result obtained from the select healthcare sector organizations to the universe. It is observed that the value of adjusted $R^2 = .824$ is close to the value of $R^2 = .825$. If the adjusted R^2 is expelled from the R^2 the value will be $(.825 - .824 = .001)$. This sum of decrease means that if the sample universe participates in the research and the model has been fitted then, there will be 0.1% less difference in the

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1867.328	4	466.832	1348.66	.000 ^b
	Residual	396.338	1145	0.346		
	Total	2263.666	1149			

a. Dependent Variable: Ease of AI Adoption

b. Predictors: (Constant), Human oversight, Explainability, Transparency, Perceived fairness

(Source: Primary Data)

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) allows the researchers to test the null hypothesis statistically. The above table shows the result of ANOVA test, where the F ratio = 1348.655 and the P value < 0.05 , this outcome indicates that there is less than 5% change that an F ratio of this value would be occur only coincidentally. Since the P value is lesser than the significant level (0.05), the null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted signifying that Key Interaction Dimensions Effects Ease of AI Adoption of employees and heads.

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	0.292	0.046		6.42	0
	Transparency	0.265	0.031	0.265	8.429	0
	Explainability	-0.13	0.031	-0.129	-4.069	0
	Perceived fairness	0.087	0.031	0.089	2.789	0.01
	Human oversight	0.686	0.03	0.692	23.23	0

a. Dependent Variable: Ease of AI Adoption

(Source: Primary Data)



The result in the above coefficient table revealed that Key Interaction Dimensions Effects Ease of AI Adoption of employees and heads.

Table – 6: Regression Model of Key Interaction Dimensions and Employee Trust in AI Systems among Employees and Heads of Select Organizations

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.782 ^a	0.611	0.61	0.923

a. Predictors: (Constant), Human oversight, Explainability, Transparency, Perceived fairness

(Source: Primary Data)

From the above table it is observed that the correlation coefficient $R = .782$. It indicates the relation between Key Interaction Dimensions and Employee Trust in AI Systems is constructive and both alter in identical path. The coefficient of variance R^2 shows that 61.1% of the deviation in the dependent factor (Employee Trust in AI Systems) is explained by the independent factor (Key Interaction Dimensions). The adjusted R^2 mentioned in the above table shows the generalisability of the model. It enables the generalising the result obtained from the select healthcare sector organizations to the universe. It is observed that the value of adjusted $R^2 = .610$ is close to the value of $R^2 = .611$. If the adjusted R^2 is expelled from the R^2 the value will be $(.611 - .610 = .001)$. This sum of decrease means that if the sample universe participates in the research and the model has been fitted then, there will be 0.1% less difference in the outcome.

ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1533.087	4	383.272	449.747	.000 ^b
	Residual	975.762	1145	0.852		
	Total	2508.849	1149			

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Trust in AI Systems

b. Predictors: (Constant), Human oversight, Explainability, Transparency, Perceived fairness

(Source: Primary Data)

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) allows the researchers to test the null hypothesis statistically. The above table shows the result of ANOVA test, where the F ratio = 449.747 and the P value < 0.05, this outcome indicates that there is less than 5% change that an F ratio of this value would be occur only coincidentally. Since the P value is lesser than the significant level (0.05), the null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted signifying that Key Interaction Dimensions Effects Employee Trust in AI Systems of employees and heads.

Coefficients ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	0.337	0.071		4.716	0
	Transparency	0.352	0.049	0.335	7.133	0
	Explainability	0.155	0.049	0.149	3.162	0.002
	Perceived fairness	0.17	0.049	0.167	3.492	0
	Human oversight	0.185	0.046	0.178	4.001	0

a. Dependent Variable: Employee Trust in AI Systems

(Source: Primary Data)

The result in the above coefficient table revealed that Key Interaction Dimensions effects Employee Trust in AI Systems of employees and heads.

Key Findings

Results indicate that positive human-technology interaction significantly improves trust, acceptance, and HR decision outcomes. AI systems perceived as transparent and augmentative-not authoritative-enhance engagement and performance.



SUGGESTIONS



Based on the findings and analysis of the study on HR with AI and Human-Technology Interaction (HTI), the following suggestions are proposed for organizations, HR Leaders and policymakers:

- Adopt a Human-centric AI strategy in HR practices. Organizations should deploy AI as a decision support and augmentation tools rather than replacement for human judgement
- Strengthen Human-Technology Interaction (HTI) through transparency and explainability so that employees understand how decisions are made there by improving trust, acceptance and usability. Build AI literacy and capability among HR professionals and employees. Continuous upskilling programs should be introduced to effectively interact with Ai tools, interpret insights, and participate meaningfully in AI driven processes
- Establish robust AI governance frameworks and implement formal policies for data privacy, bias mitigation, human oversight and accountability to ensure responsible and compliant use of AI in HR functions. Encourage employee's participation and feedback in AI implementation enabling continuous improvement and alignment with workforce needs
- Integrate Ai initiatives with organizational culture and change management
- Promote continuous evaluation of AI impact on workplace dynamics

CONCLUSION



The dynamics of HR with AI extend beyond technological deployment to the quality of interaction between humans and intelligent systems. Human-technology interaction emerges as a critical determinant of whether AI enhances or erodes workplace value. This paper argues that HR must actively design, govern, and refine this interaction to ensure fairness, trust and sustainability. Despite growing interest there remains a gap in integrative process models that explain how Human-Technology Interaction -HTI unfolds across human resources functions in organizational contexts. This paper addresses this gap by proposing a structured HTO process flow aligned with HR practices. By positioning AI as a augmentative partner and humans as ethical decision makers, HR can shape the future of work into a balanced ecosystem where productivity and humanity coexist. The proposed HTI framework provides a foundation for future empirical research and practical HR innovation.

AI in HRM has primarily been discussed in relation to automation, data driven decision making and efficiency gains. Existing studies highlight AI's ability to reduce bias in recruitment, predict employee attrition, personalized learning pathways and enhance workforce planning. However emerging research also warns against algorithmic opacity, over-automation and reduced human-judgement. Human-Technology Interaction extends traditional human computer interaction by focusing not only on system usability but also on trust, cognition, emotional response and ethical considerations in AI mediated work environments. Within HRM, Human-



Technology Interaction -HTI underscores the importance of designing AI systems that complement human strengths such as empathy, contextual reasoning and moral judgement.

Scope of Future Research and Limitation of the Study



Future studies may empirically validate the HTI framework across industries, examine employee perceptions of AI mediated HR decisions, and explore the role of organizational culture in shaping human-AI collaboration.

Practical Implications

The study recommends human-in-the-loop AI design, ethical governance, and AI literacy initiatives to ensure sustainable, value-driven HR transformation.

REFERENCES

1. AI in HRM efficiency & strategy - Zhai et al., Safshekan et al.
2. Human-Technology Interaction - Dima et al., Benabou et al.
3. Trust & transparency - Prasad & De, Westover, Ethical governance - ILO, Capasso et al.
4. Employee well-being - Sadeghi, Jain & Gupta
5. Betchoo, N. K. Digital transformation and its impact on human resource management: A case analysis of two unrelated businesses in the Mauritian public service.
6. Bondarouk, T. V., & Ruël, H. J. (2009) Electronic Human Resource Management: challenges in the digital era. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 20(3), 505-514,.
7. Lu Y. Industry 4.0: a survey on technologies, applications, and open research issues. *J Ind Inf Integr*. 2017;6:1-10.
8. Özdemir V, Hekim N. Birth of industry 5.0: Making sense of big data with artificial intelligence, "the internet of things" and next-generation technology policy. *Omics A J Integr Biol*. 2018;22(1):65-76. doi: 10.1089/omi.2017.0194.
9. Korzynski, P., Mazurek, G., Altmann, A., Ejdy, J., Kazlauskaitė, R., Paliszewicz, J., & Ziemba, E. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence as a new context for management theories: analysis of ChatGPT. *Central European Management Journal*.
10. Ore, O., & Sposato, M. (2022). Opportunities and risks of artificial intelligence in recruitment and selection. *Intl. Journal of Organizational Analysis*, 30(6), 1771- 1782.
11. Arslan, A., Cooper, C., Khan, Z., Golgeci, I., & Ali, I. (2022). Artificial intelligence and human employees interaction at the team level: a conceptual assessment of the challenges and potential HRM strategies. *International Journal of Manpower*, 43(1), 75-88.
12. Adadi A, Berrada M. Peeking inside the black box: a survey on explainable artificial intelligence (xai) *IEEE Access*. 2018;6:52138-52160.
13. Chander B, Pal S, De D, Buyya R (2022) AI-based Internet of things for industry 5.0. In: *Artificial Intelligence-based Internet of Things Systems*. Springer, Cham, p 3-45
14. Fukuda, K. (2019). Science, Technology and Innovation Ecosystem Transformation Toward Society 5.0. *International Journal of Production Economics*.
15. Tripathi, R., & Kushwaha, P. (2017) A study on Innovative Practices in Digital Human Resource Management. *National Seminar on Digital Transformation of Business in India: Opportunities and Challenges*. Dehradun: IMS Unison University,
16. Bajer, J. (2017), "Digital transformation needs the human touch", *Strategic HR Review*, Vol. 16 No. 2, pp. 91-92.
17. Yawalkar, M. V. V. (2019). A Study of Artificial Intelligence and its Role in Human Resource Management. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews (IJRAR)*, 6(1), 20-24.
18. Vrontis, D., Christofi, M., Pereira, V., Tarba, S., Makrides, A., & Trichina, E. (2022). Artificial intelligence, robotics, advanced technologies, and human resource management: a systematic review. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 33(6), 1237-1266.
19. Singh, S., Thakur, P., & Singh, S. (2023). How Does the Use of AI in HRM Contribute to Improved Business Performance? A Systematic Review. *Managing Technology Integration for Human Resources in Industry 5.0*, 131-139.



20. Arslan, A., Cooper, C., Khan, Z., Golgeci, I., & Ali, I. (2022). Artificial intelligence and human workers interaction at the team level: a conceptual assessment of the challenges and potential HRM strategies. *International Journal of Manpower*, 43(1), 75-88.

WEBSITES

1. www.wikipedia.org
2. <https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/15/22/12218>
3. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41599-024-03842-4>
4. <https://journalofcloudcomputing.springeropen.com/articles/>
5. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21681015.2023.2216701>
6. <https://www.innovativehumancapital.com/article/organizational-ai-transparency-and-employee-resilience-building-trust-autonomy-and-confidence-in>
7. <https://www.gartner.com/en/human-resources/topics/artificial-intelligence-in-hr>
8. <https://www.investindia.gov.in/team-india-blogs/digital-india-revolutionising-tech-landscape>
9. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12920534/>
10. <https://www.aihr.com/blog/hr-digital-transformation/>
11. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s13132-023-01631-z>