



STEERING THE FUTURE OF MOBILITY: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF POLICY AND REGULATORY FRAMEWORKS FOR AI-DRIVEN VEHICLES

Shruti Shukla¹, Dr. Gurudutta P. Japee²

¹Senior Research Scholar, S.D School of Commerce, Gujarat University, Gujarat, India.

²Professor, S.D. School of Commerce, Gujarat University, Gujarat, India.

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra31835>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra31835

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the policy and regulatory frameworks governing AI-driven vehicles through a comparative analysis of India, the United Kingdom, the United States, and China. It explores key challenges related to vehicle safety, liability, data privacy, cybersecurity, testing, and public trust. The study reviews existing legal provisions and identifies regulatory gaps that may hinder the safe deployment of autonomous vehicles. The comparative findings highlight the UK's relatively structured regulatory approach, the fragmented regulatory system in the US, China's government-led development strategy, and India's evolving regulatory landscape. The paper emphasises the need for a comprehensive legal framework in India, supported by clear liability provisions, robust data protection, standardised safety testing, and intelligent infrastructure. It recommends greater coordination among policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers to promote responsible innovation, strengthen public confidence, and facilitate the sustainable integration of AI-driven vehicles into India's transportation system.

KEYWORDS: AI-driven vehicles, autonomous vehicles, regulatory frameworks, vehicle safety, data privacy, cybersecurity, sustainable mobility.

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence has steadily made its mark on various industries, and the automotive sector is no exception. AI-driven vehicles, also known as autonomous or self-driving cars, have been at the forefront of this technological revolution, promising a future of safer, more efficient, and sustainable transportation systems (Atakishiyev et al., 2021) (Garikapati & Shetiya, 2024).

The advent of end-to-end autonomous driving, where raw sensor data is directly transformed into vehicle control commands, has been a game-changer in the field. Recent advancements in deep learning, computer vision algorithms, and the availability of sophisticated sensor devices have been the primary drivers behind this shift, enabling the unification of perception, localisation, planning, and control into a single machine-learning task. (Atakishiyev et al., 2021).

While the potential benefits of AI-driven vehicles are numerous, the field has challenges. Fundamental issues such as ensuring the safety and reliability of these systems, addressing ethical considerations, and mitigating biases in AI-driven software development are critical aspects that must be meticulously addressed. (Garikapati & Shetiya, 2024) Integrating AI-driven predictive analytics into connected car platforms has also emerged as a promising approach to enhancing the overall driving experience and improving the dependability of drivers and vehicle occupants. (Mandala & Surabhi, 2024).

Highly publicised incidents involving autonomous vehicle accidents have raised concerns about the safety and trustworthiness of the technology, posing a significant hurdle to widespread public acceptance. (Garikapati & Shetiya, 2024) (Katiyar et al., 2024) To overcome these challenges, the automotive industry and regulatory bodies must work collaboratively to establish appropriate safety standards, accountability measures, and comprehensive legal frameworks that can instil public confidence in the technology.

By addressing these concerns and pushing the boundaries of innovation, AI-driven vehicles' future promises to transform transportation as we know it, paving the way for a more connected, efficient, and sustainable mobility ecosystem. (Atakishiyev et al., 2021) (Katiyar et al., 2024) (Becker et al., 2021) (Kumar et al., 2018).

AI-driven vehicles, also known as autonomous or self-driving cars, are rapidly transforming the automotive landscape. These vehicles leverage artificial intelligence to navigate and operate with varying degrees of human intervention. This transformative technology promises a future of increased safety, efficiency, and accessibility but also presents unique challenges.

At the heart of AI-driven vehicles lies a complex interplay of technologies. Sensor fusion combines data from sensors like cameras, lidar, and radar to create a comprehensive environmental picture. Advanced algorithms, often based on deep learning, process this data, enabling the vehicle to perceive its surroundings, make decisions, and control its movements. This often involves computer vision for object recognition, classification, and intricate path planning and control mechanisms. (Garikapati & Shetiya, 2024). The level of autonomy in these vehicles is categorised into levels, ranging from no automation to full self-driving. AI-driven vehicles



generally fall within the partial and conditional automation levels, offering features like adaptive cruise control, lane-keeping assist, and automated emergency braking. However, they still require human oversight. Achieving full autonomy, where the vehicle can handle all driving scenarios without human intervention, remains a significant challenge. (Atakishiyev et al., 2021) This paper discusses these levels and some challenges of implementing explainable AI systems in autonomous vehicles.

Numerous benefits are associated with AI-driven vehicles. Enhanced safety through reduced human error, improved traffic flow and reduced congestion, increased fuel efficiency, and greater accessibility for individuals with disabilities are just some of the potential advantages. However, significant challenges need to be addressed. (Pan et al., 2024).

Ensuring the safety and reliability of these complex systems is paramount. Rigorous testing and validation are essential to minimise the risk of accidents. Ethical considerations, such as how the vehicle should react in unavoidable accident scenarios, also require careful attention. (Atakishiyev et al., 2021).

Furthermore, establishing a clear legal framework for liability and insurance in accidents involving autonomous vehicles is crucial. Public acceptance of this technology hinges on addressing these concerns. Cybersecurity is another significant concern, as autonomous vehicles are vulnerable to hacking and malicious control (Halder, 2019). Halder discusses new AI chips that might aid in solving issues for autonomous vehicle computing.

The integration of AI-driven predictive analytics into connected car platforms presents further opportunities. These systems can predict potential hazards, optimise routes, and enhance the driving experience by analysing data from various sources. (Mandala & Surabhi, 2024).

In conclusion, AI-driven vehicles represent a significant technological leap with the potential to revolutionise transportation. Overcoming the remaining challenges, fostering collaboration between industry and regulatory bodies, and building public trust will be key to unlocking the full potential of this transformative technology.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The article "Automated Vehicles Are Probably Legal in the United States" (Automated Vehicles Are Probably Legal in the United States, n.d.) argues that existing US law likely permits automated vehicles despite the lack of specific legislation. It examines three legal areas: the 1949 Geneva Convention on Road Traffic, NHTSA regulations, and state vehicle codes. The Geneva Convention requires a driver "able to control" the vehicle, which automated driving could satisfy with human override. NHTSA regulations do not explicitly prohibit these vehicles. While not prohibitive, state codes assume a human driver, creating ambiguity for automated vehicles. The article ultimately proposes draft language for states to clarify the legal status of automated vehicles and suggests further research for regulatory certainty.

(Governing AI, n.d.) provides a comprehensive overview of the governance challenges posed by AI, focusing mainly on autonomous vehicles. It discusses the rapid advancements in AI and the resulting legal, social, economic, and cultural implications. The article explores the complex interplay of stakeholders involved in AI governance, including governments, industries, and users worldwide. It emphasises the need for novel approaches to nested governance, especially among leading AI powers like the United States, the European Union, and China. Using autonomous vehicles as a case study, (GOVERNING AI, n.d.) analyses the diverse governance approaches adopted by these key players, highlighting the fragmented nature of current regulations and the need for greater coordination. The article concludes by offering implications for managers and policymakers, advocating for a polycentric governance model to address the multifaceted challenges of AI governance.

(Preparing a nation for autonomous vehicles: Opportunities, barriers and policy recommendations for capitalising on self-driven vehicles, n.d.) explores the potential impacts of autonomous vehicles and proposes policy recommendations for their successful integration into the US transportation system. The paper examines potential benefits like improved safety, reduced congestion, and increased mobility while addressing barriers such as high initial costs, regulatory hurdles, and public acceptance. It emphasises the need for a comprehensive national policy framework to manage the transition to AVs, covering areas like safety standards, liability, data privacy, and cybersecurity. The authors advocate for a proactive approach to policy development, urging collaboration between government, industry, and researchers to maximise the benefits and mitigate the risks of this transformative technology.

Governing Autonomous Vehicles: Emerging Responses for Safety, Liability, Privacy, Cybersecurity, and Industry Risks" (Governing Autonomous Vehicles: Emerging Responses for Safety, Liability, Privacy, Cybersecurity, and Industry Risks, n.d.) examines how governments are addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by autonomous vehicles. The article analyses emerging government responses to risks associated with AVs, including safety, liability, privacy, cybersecurity, and industry influence. It finds that many governments have favoured a cautious approach, often opting for non-binding measures like establishing councils and working groups to study AV implications rather than implementing strict regulations. The authors note a greater focus on legislative action in privacy and cybersecurity, particularly in the US. While some countries like the UK and Germany have enacted laws regarding liability for AV accidents, many others are still grappling with these issues. The article highlights a relative lack of attention to environmental and employment risks, though some programs for retraining potentially displaced workers have



been initiated. The study reveals a fragmented regulatory landscape, underscoring the need for more comprehensive and coordinated governance strategies for autonomous vehicles.

(Artificial Intelligence with American Values and Chinese Characteristics: A Comparative Analysis of American and Chinese Governmental AI Policies, n.d.) analyses the potentially conflicting visions for AI development between the United States and China, framing it not just as a geopolitical rivalry but as a clash of differing philosophies of technology. The authors use natural language processing techniques to examine AI policy documents from three US presidential administrations and various national and local Chinese policy documents. Their findings suggest that American AI policy documents tend to be broader in focus and less consistent compared to the more focused and cohesive Chinese documents. Despite the differences, the authors argue that opportunities for dialogue and mutual growth exist between the two nations in AI.

(Legal Aspects of Autonomous Vehicles — An Overview, n.d.) offers a comprehensive look at the legal regulations surrounding autonomous vehicles, specifically in Europe and the United States. The article informs technical professionals about the legal landscape to facilitate a more holistic approach to AV development. It defines AVs based on automation levels and discusses their potential benefits, necessary changes to road traffic laws, and differences in US and European regulations. The paper emphasises the importance of cooperation between lawmakers and technical experts to create appropriate legal frameworks and highlights key challenges for lawmakers, insurance companies, consumers, and car manufacturers. It concludes that while some adjustments are needed, increased road safety and other advantages justify the necessary legal changes.

Kemp's 2018 article (Regulating the Safety of Autonomous Vehicles Using Artificial Intelligence, n.d.) discusses the challenges of regulating the safety of autonomous vehicles, particularly those using AI. He emphasises the difficulty of demonstrating AV safety through traditional testing due to the vast miles required for comprehensive real-world scenarios. The article also questions the feasibility of validating safety through code analysis, especially with AI's adaptive learning capabilities, which deviate from the static software typically used in safety-critical systems. Kemp highlights the need for a new regulatory approach for these self-learning systems (Regulating the Safety of Autonomous Vehicles Using Artificial Intelligence, n.d.), a point echoed by London and Danks (London & Danks, 2018), who propose a staged, iterative regulatory model akin to pharmaceutical and medical device approvals. The article also underscores the unprecedented risk-taking that AVs will require, a topic requiring further philosophical and practical consideration among stakeholders. Finally, the significant difference between Levels 4 and 5 of vehicle automation is discussed in (Regulating the Safety of Autonomous Vehicles Using Artificial Intelligence, n.d.).

This paper (Fully Autonomous Vehicles for People with Visual Impairment: Policy, Accessibility, and Future Directions, n.d.) examines the policy and accessibility challenges for fully autonomous vehicles, specifically for people with visual impairments. It argues that current policies have not adequately addressed the accessibility needs of this population, hindering the potential of FAVs to improve their mobility and quality of life. The authors review existing literature and policy efforts regarding FAVs (Fully Autonomous Vehicles for People with Visual Impairment: Policy, Accessibility, and Future Directions, n.d.), highlighting a disconnect between BVI needs and existing regulations. They advocate for a policy framework that prioritises accessibility in FAV design (Fully Autonomous Vehicles for People with Visual Impairment: Policy, Accessibility, and Future Directions, n.d.), offering recommendations to promote inclusivity, transparency, and fairness in autonomous transportation. This work emphasises the importance of considering the needs of BVI individuals in developing and implementing autonomous vehicle technology.

The Self-Driving Car: Crossroads at the Bleeding Edge of Artificial Intelligence and Law" (The Self-Driving Car: Crossroads at the Bleeding Edge of Artificial Intelligence and Law, n.d.) examines the intersection of artificial intelligence and law concerning self-driving cars. This article conducts a comprehensive literature review, finding that 1) current and proposed laws regarding SDCs often rely on speculative and untested benefits; 2) while liability in accidents is a key concern, the barriers to SDC adoption are much broader, impacting various aspects of society and regulations; and 3) new laws and regulations are frequently cited as crucial for enabling SDCs. The authors argue that legal scholarship needs to move beyond liability and negligence to encompass the broader societal and technological implications of SDCs to adequately prepare for their widespread adoption (The Self-Driving Car: Crossroads at the Bleeding Edge of Artificial Intelligence and Law, n.d.). They highlight the extensive existing regulations governing motor vehicles, from international homologation standards to country-specific road rules, and the need to consider their impact on SDCs (The Self-Driving Car: Crossroads at the Bleeding Edge of Artificial Intelligence and Law, n.d.). The authors also propose a taxonomy for understanding existing automated driving assistant systems features as a framework for analysis (The Self-Driving Car: Crossroads at the Bleeding Edge of Artificial Intelligence and Law, n.d.).

The article "Autonomous Vehicles: U.S. Regulatory Policy Challenges" (Autonomous Vehicles: US Regulatory Policy Challenges, n.d.) discusses the regulatory policy challenges facing the widespread adoption of autonomous vehicles in the United States. The primary motivation for automating driving is safety, as AVs have the potential to significantly reduce traffic fatalities and injuries (Autonomous Vehicles: US Regulatory Policy Challenges, n.d.). The automotive industry is currently at Level 2 (Autonomous Vehicles: US Regulatory Policy Challenges, n.d.) of the Society of Automotive Engineers International's six levels of vehicle autonomy, with higher levels representing increasing automation up to fully autonomous, "driverless" vehicles. A key challenge is the existing division of regulatory oversight, with states traditionally regulating drivers and the federal government regulating vehicles (Autonomous Vehicles: US Regulatory Policy Challenges, n.d.). This framework becomes challenging to maintain as



vehicle technology advances toward "no drivers". The article further notes that many states have already introduced AV-related legislation (Autonomous Vehicles: US Regulatory Policy Challenges, n.d.) and the need for a national regulatory policy framework to address safety implications.

Atakishiyev et al. (Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Autonomous Driving: A Comprehensive Overview and Field Guide for Future Research Directions, n.d.) provide a comprehensive overview of Explainable AI for autonomous driving. They examine the need for XAI to address safety concerns and build public trust, reviewing current XAI methods and proposing a framework for explainable end-to-end autonomous driving. The authors also explore future research directions, emphasising the importance of XAI for the responsible integration of autonomous vehicles.

Lee and Hess (Regulations for On-Road Testing of Connected and Automated Vehicles: Assessing the Potential for Global Safety Harmonization, n.d.) assess regulations for on-road testing of connected and automated vehicles in Australia, the United States, and Germany, aiming to identify pathways toward global safety harmonisation. They find a lack of such harmonisation despite the growing importance of CAVs. The study focuses on safety and liability provisions for on-road testing, using Victoria, California, and Germany as case studies. They suggest how current provisions could contribute to a globally harmonised approach.

Chun, de Witt, and Elkins (Comparative Global AI Regulation: Policy Perspectives from the EU, China, and the US, n.d.) compare AI regulation in the EU, China, and the US. They contrast the EU's top-down, risk-based approach with the US's more market-driven, decentralized approach. China's approach blends both aspects, supporting innovation while implementing a centralised monitoring framework. The authors argue that these varying approaches reflect differing cultural, political, and economic perspectives, ultimately shaping the future of global AI regulation.

This paper (Legal Regulation of Autonomous Vehicles in China, n.d.) provides an overview of the legal regulation of autonomous vehicles in China. It begins by outlining the three phases of AV development in China: the initial technological phase, the rapid development phase, and the commercial application phase, noting that China has already achieved Level 4 (L4) autonomous driving capabilities (Legal Regulation of Autonomous Vehicles in China, n.d.). The paper then analyses the key risks associated with AVs, including liability determination, safety, information security, and ethical design (Legal Regulation of Autonomous Vehicles in China, n.d.). Drawing on legislative experiences from Germany and California (Legal Regulation of Autonomous Vehicles in China, n.d.), the authors offer recommendations for improving AV regulation in China. These include refining the liability determination system for traffic accidents, optimising safety protocols, establishing information security frameworks, and accelerating the legislative process (Legal Regulation of Autonomous Vehicles in China, n.d.). The paper concludes by emphasising the need to continuously refine legal regulations to balance technological advancements with public interest protection (Legal Regulation of Autonomous Vehicles in China, n.d.).

Overview of AI-driven Vehicle Technology

AI-driven vehicles, or self-driving cars, use artificial intelligence to navigate and perform driving tasks, potentially increasing safety and efficiency. They are categorised by levels of automation, ranging from driver-assistance systems to fully autonomous capabilities. (Lu, 2025) This technology relies on a complex interplay of sensors, software, and algorithms to perceive the environment and make driving decisions.

AI-driven vehicles, categorised by the Society of Automotive Engineers' six levels of automation (ranging from Level 0, no automation, to Level 5, full automation) (Lu, 2025), are poised to revolutionise transportation. These vehicles, relying on artificial intelligence to perform driving tasks, offer potential benefits such as increased safety, improved traffic flow, and enhanced mobility. (Li et al., 2018) The varying levels describe the degree of autonomous capability, with lower levels incorporating driver-assistance features and higher levels enabling full self-driving capabilities. (2025) Currently, most deployed systems fall within Levels 1-3, incorporating features like adaptive cruise control and lane-keeping assist.

Across the globe, countries are at different stages of AV development and adoption. While nations like the US have seen significant private investment and testing, with companies like Waymo accumulating millions of miles on public roads, regulatory frameworks remain fragmented, often varying at the state level. (Autonomous Vehicles: US Regulatory Policy Challenges, n.d.; Li et al., 2018) In contrast, countries like China are pursuing a more centralised approach, with supportive government policies driving advancements in AV technology and aiming to establish global leadership in the field. (Chen & Liu, 2021; Comparative Global AI Regulation: Policy Perspectives from the EU, China, and the US, n.d.) The UK has also fostered a proactive AV testing and deployment environment, developing codes of practice and guidelines to promote innovation while addressing safety considerations. India focuses on research and testing, with ongoing efforts to develop a comprehensive regulatory framework. (India Autonomous Vehicle Market Size, Share, Growth, Trend 2032, 2025) The feasibility of widespread AV adoption depends on addressing safety, cybersecurity, liability, and public acceptance challenges. (Taeihagh & Lim, 2018; Theoto & Kaminski, 2019).

METHODOLOGY

The current legal status of India's AI vehicles, existing policies, and gaps

The legal status of AI vehicles in India is still developing, with fully autonomous vehicles not yet permitted on public roads. The



Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH) is drafting guidelines to support testing and deployment while ensuring safety. Some states, like Telangana, are conducting pilot projects with technology companies.

The current legal framework governing AI-driven vehicles in India is still in its formative stages, characterised by evolving policies and regulations that aim to address the multitude of challenges posed by advancements in autonomous vehicle technology. The Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH) has begun to outline guidelines for the testing and deploying AI vehicles. However, these regulations predominantly focus on traditional vehicles, resulting in a significant gap regarding the unique requirements of autonomous systems. Research by Singh et al. (2021) highlights the urgent need for comprehensive legal reforms addressing liability, data security, and user privacy in the context of AI technologies. Existing laws often lack clarity on the responsibilities of manufacturers, software developers, and vehicle operators in the event of accidents involving AI-driven vehicles, leading to ambiguity that can hinder the sector's growth.

Furthermore, infrastructural readiness remains a critical concern, as current road systems are not adequately equipped to support the safe operation of autonomous vehicles. The International Transport Forum (2022) emphasises the importance of creating smart infrastructures, such as dedicated lanes and improved traffic management systems, to facilitate the integration of AI vehicles. As it stands, the absence of precise regulations, clear liability frameworks, and necessary infrastructure represent substantial hurdles to the widespread adoption of AI-driven vehicles in India, necessitating a collaborative effort among policymakers, industry stakeholders, and academia to develop a robust legal framework that can keep pace with technological advancements while ensuring safety and public trust.

Key discussions focus on liability, data privacy, cybersecurity and infrastructure. Although AI vehicles are not fully authorised yet, the government is moving toward a supportive regulatory framework for their future integration into transportation.

Table:1 Trends in India's legal approach to AI-driven vehicles from 2015-2024

Characteristic	2015-2018	2019-2024
Policy Framework	Limited formal policy	Development of policies
Testing & Deployment	Early, research-focused	Increased testing & pilot programs
Intellectual Property	Existing laws applied	Discussions on AI-generated IP
Public Trust & Acceptance	Limited public awareness	Growing awareness needed
Cybersecurity	General laws applicable	Increased focus, type approval
Data Privacy & Security	Existing laws applied	Evolving AV-specific regulations
Liability	Current Act insufficient	Discussions & potential amendments

Table1: illustrates the progression of India's legal and regulatory landscape regarding autonomous vehicles. Initially (2015-2018), the focus was on early-stage testing and research, with existing laws applied generally. The period from 2019-2024 saw a shift towards developing more specific regulations around testing, deployment, and safety of AVs. Key areas of focus include cybersecurity, data privacy, and adapting liability frameworks to address the unique challenges posed by autonomous driving technology. While the landscape evolves, the trend is toward greater clarity and more comprehensive regulations. This development is driven by technological advancements, market growth (India Autonomous Vehicle Market Share, Size, Report, 2025; India Autonomous Vehicle Market Size, Share, Growth, Trend 2032, 2025), and the need to ensure safety and address potential societal impacts. (Ilková & Ilka, 2017) Provides valuable context on the legal aspects, particularly concerning liability considerations.



Legal challenges in AI-driven vehicles

The rapid advancement of AI-driven vehicles presents a complex array of legal challenges that must be addressed to ensure their safe and responsible integration into society. These challenges span international borders and encompass various legal domains, from intellectual property and data privacy to liability and cybersecurity. Establishing a clear and consistent legal framework fosters innovation while mitigating potential risks. For instance, (Ilková & Ilka, 2017) provide a comprehensive overview of autonomous vehicles' legal aspects, touching upon liability considerations and the need for updated traffic regulations. Furthermore, (Davola, 2018) delves into the complexities of establishing a framework for tort liability in the context of driverless cars. Developing appropriate legal and policy frameworks will be crucial in navigating these complexities and ensuring the safe and beneficial deployment of AI-driven vehicles worldwide.

- 1) **Liability:** Determining liability in autonomous vehicle accidents is a complex legal question. Assigning responsibility when human drivers are not in control requires new legal frameworks and potential modifications to existing tort law. (Davola, 2018) explores the challenges of establishing liability in a world of driverless cars, providing insights into potential solutions.
- 2) **Intellectual Property:** Autonomous vehicles' innovation relies heavily on protecting intellectual property related to AI algorithms, sensor technology, and other advancements. A robust IP framework is crucial for incentivising research and development, but the complex nature of AI systems makes it challenging to define and enforce IP rights effectively.
- 3) **Public Trust & Acceptance:** Public perception plays a crucial role in successfully integrating autonomous vehicles. Addressing public concerns about safety, reliability, and job displacement is essential for building trust and encouraging wider adoption. Transparency, education, and clear communication about the benefits and risks of this technology are vital.
- 4) **Cybersecurity:** Protecting autonomous vehicles from cyber threats is paramount. These vehicles are vulnerable to hacking and malicious attacks that could compromise safety and data security. Robust cybersecurity standards and regulations are needed to mitigate these risks and ensure the integrity of these systems. (Governing Autonomous Vehicles: Emerging Responses for Safety, Liability, Privacy, Cybersecurity, and Industry Risks, n.d.) touches on the importance of cybersecurity in the context of autonomous vehicle governance.
- 5) **Data Privacy & Security:** Autonomous vehicles generate vast data, raising significant privacy concerns. Regulations are needed to govern data collection, storage, and usage, ensuring that personal information is protected and used responsibly. (Comparative Global AI Regulation: Policy Perspectives from the EU, China, and the US, n.d.) discusses the complexities of AI regulation across different countries, including data privacy considerations.
- 6) **Policy Framework:** Each country needs a comprehensive legal framework addressing the unique challenges AI-driven vehicles pose. This framework should cover vehicle testing and deployment, driver licensing, insurance requirements, data security, and liability rules. Clear guidelines and regulations are essential for fostering innovation while ensuring safety and public trust. (Governance of Emerging Autonomous Driving Development in China, n.d.) discusses the governance of autonomous driving development, specifically within China, highlighting the importance of a robust policy framework.
- 7) **International Harmonization:** A unified global regulatory framework for autonomous vehicles is crucial for manufacturers and consumers. Varying standards across countries create complexities for international trade, deployment, and research collaboration. Harmonizing testing, safety, data privacy, and liability regulations will streamline the development and adoption of this technology worldwide. (Regulations for On-Road Testing of Connected and Automated Vehicles: Assessing the Potential for Global Safety Harmonization, n.d.) offers a valuable perspective on harmonizing safety regulations for connected and automated vehicles.
- 8) **Testing & Deployment:** Rigorous testing ensures autonomous vehicles' safety and reliability before being deployed on public roads. However, establishing standardized testing procedures and creating controlled environments for real-world simulations presents significant challenges. Regulations must strike a balance between fostering innovation and ensuring public safety. (Regulations for On-Road Testing of Connected and Automated Vehicles: Assessing the Potential for Global Safety Harmonization, n.d.) explores the complexities of regulating on-road testing for connected and automated vehicles, a critical aspect of the deployment process. Consistent standards and regulations are necessary for safe and effective testing and deployment.
- 9) **Public Trust & Acceptance:** Widespread adoption of autonomous vehicles hinges on public confidence in their safety and reliability. Transparency in how these systems operate, clear communication about the benefits and risks, and addressing ethical concerns are key to building trust. Public education and engagement are essential to foster acceptance and facilitate the smooth integration of autonomous vehicles into society.

10)

Legal and Policy Challenges for AI-Driven Vehicles across India V/S UK, US, and China

This comparative study analyses the diverse legal and policy landscapes for AI-driven vehicles across India, the UK, the US, and China. These nations represent a spectrum of approaches, from India's nascent framework to the UK's more established regulations, the fragmented US system, and China's rapid development focus. Key differences emerge in addressing liability, data privacy, testing and deployment procedures, cybersecurity, and intellectual property, highlighting the need for international harmonization to facilitate safe and efficient global integration of autonomous vehicles.



Table:2 Comparative Overview of Legal Barriers and Policy Frameworks for AI Vehicles

Comparison of Autonomous Vehicle Regulations

Features	India	UK	US	China
Legal Framework	Nascent	Relatively Mature	Fragmented	Development-Focused
Liability	Unclear	Defined, differentiating	State-Dependent	Owner-centric, with manufacturer
Data Privacy	Basic, lacking AV specifics	GDPR framework	State-level patchwork	Strict, with data localisation
Testing & Deployment	Unclear	Defined regulations	State-dependent	Prioritising rapid deployment
International Harmonization	Developing	Active involvement	Fragmented	Emphasis on data security, hinders harmonisation
Intellectual Property	Evolving	Strong framework	Robust, but adaptation needed	Evolving concerns persist

Characteristic	India	UK	US	China
 Legal Framework	Nascent	Relatively Mature	Fragmented	Development-Focused
 Liability	Unclear	Defined differentiating automation levels	State-Dependent	Owner-centric, with manufacturer caveats
 Data Privacy	Basic,lacking AV specifics	GDPR framework	State-level patchwork	Strict, withdata localisation
 Testing & Deployment	Unclear	Defined regulations	State- dependent	Prioritising rapid deployment
 Cybersecurity	Developing	Active development	Fragmented	Emphasis on data security, but concerns remain
 International Harmonization	Potential for participation	Active involvement	Challenges due to fragmentation	A unique approach hinders harmonisation
 Intellectual Property	Evolving	Strong framework	Robust, but adaptation needed	Evolving concerns persist

Made with  Napkin

Table 2: The table presents a comparative analysis of the legal barriers and policy regulations for autonomous vehicles (AVs) in India, the UK, the US, and China. It covers key aspects such as the legal framework, liability, data privacy, cybersecurity, and international harmonization, highlighting the varying levels of regulatory development and approaches across these countries.



India: India's legal framework for autonomous vehicles is still nascent. The Motor Vehicles Act of 1988, the primary legislation governing road transport, lacks provisions specific to the unique challenges posed by AVs (Governing Autonomous Vehicles: Emerging Responses for Safety, Liability, Privacy, Cybersecurity, and Industry Risks, n.d.). This includes addressing liability in case of accidents, which remains ambiguous. Furthermore, while laws like the IT Act of 2000 and the Draft Digital Personal Data Protection Bill of 2022 offer a basic framework for data privacy, they do not adequately address AVs' specific data collection, storage, and usage concerns. This lack of clarity extends to testing and deployment, hindering the development and adoption of AVs in India. While India is progressing in developing cybersecurity standards, robust regulations specific to AVs are needed. Finally, India must strengthen its Intellectual Property regulations and actively participate in international harmonization efforts to accelerate AV development and foster innovation.

UK: The UK has taken a more proactive stance, establishing a comparatively mature framework for AVs. The Law Commission's work on automated vehicle law and existing legislation provides a robust foundation addressing testing, deployment, insurance, and liability aspects (Regulations for On-Road Testing of Connected and Automated Vehicles: Assessing the Potential for Global Safety Harmonization, n.d.). Notably, the UK differentiates between automated and autonomous driving systems, offering more clarity in liability allocation. The UK GDPR provides a strong data privacy framework aligned with international standards. Clear regulations guide testing and deployment, facilitating controlled experimentation. Furthermore, the UK is actively involved in international discussions on AV regulations and harmonization, fostering collaboration and interoperability. A well-established Intellectual Property framework further supports innovation in the AV sector.

US: The US presents a fragmented approach to AV regulation. A patchwork of federal and state-level regulations creates complexity for manufacturers and consumers (Moving Forward: Self-Driving Vehicles in China, Europe, Japan, Korea, and the United States, n.d.). Liability rules vary significantly across states, leading to uncertainty. Similarly, data privacy is governed by state laws, lacking a comprehensive federal mandate. This fragmentation extends to testing and deployment, where regulations vary across states, hindering nationwide implementation. While some initiatives exist, comprehensive cybersecurity regulations for AVs are lacking. This fragmented landscape challenges international harmonization efforts, although the US remains involved in international forums. A robust Intellectual Property regime exists, but adapting it to the unique aspects of AV technology remains an ongoing process.

China: China's approach is characterized by a focus on the rapid development and deployment of AVs. This often prioritizes speed over fully addressing safety and liability concerns (Governance of Emerging Autonomous Driving Development in China, n.d.). While primarily placing liability on vehicle owners, manufacturers are held responsible for system failures, but the definition of "system failure" lacks precision. Strict data localization laws and data sharing requirements, while aimed at promoting local innovation, can hinder international collaboration and raise privacy concerns. China actively promotes widespread testing and deployment, often with significant government support. While emphasizing data security and implementing cybersecurity measures, concerns persist regarding data sharing mandates and potential vulnerabilities. This unique regulatory approach creates challenges for international harmonization efforts. China's Intellectual Property protection framework is evolving, but concerns regarding IP theft and forced technology transfer persist. The development of clear guidelines for cybersecurity, international harmonization, and intellectual property will be crucial for ensuring the long-term success of AVs in China.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, India's advancement towards integrating AI-driven vehicles necessitates a robust and comprehensive legal framework that aligns with global best practices while effectively addressing specific infrastructural and policy challenges unique to the country. In contrast to the United Kingdom, which has established clear liability laws and a structured framework for testing autonomous vehicles, and China, which actively promotes the adoption of such vehicles through government-directed policies, India currently faces significant gaps in its legal landscape. The absence of dedicated autonomous vehicle regulations within the Motor Vehicles Act of 1988 and ambiguities in liability allocation and cybersecurity measures present considerable obstacles to large-scale implementation.

To address these challenges, India should adopt a multi-faceted strategy. This includes formulating a national regulatory framework that delineates levels of automation, liability distribution, and insurance mechanisms akin to the model established in the UK. Additionally, it is imperative to draw lessons from the United States by ensuring coherence between state and central policies to avoid legal fragmentation.

Strengthening data privacy regulations, especially in the context of AI-driven decision-making, will be critical in fostering public trust. This can be guided by principles found in the GDPR framework in the UK and the stringent data localization laws in China. Moreover, significant investment in intelligent infrastructure and cybersecurity protocols will be essential to protect autonomous vehicle systems from cyber threats.

Public trust and acceptance will be pivotal in successfully adopting this technology. Therefore, government initiatives should prioritize public awareness campaigns, pilot testing programs, and incentives for research and development in autonomous vehicle technology. By pursuing a strategic, forward-thinking approach that aligns with global standards, India can effectively



position itself as a key player in the burgeoning AI-driven vehicle sector while ensuring safety, regulatory clarity, and sustainable development.

Future Research Perspective

To ensure the successful integration of AI-driven vehicles in India, future research must prioritize the development of a comprehensive legal framework tailored to the country's specific context. This should encompass addressing liability issues in the event of accidents, establishing clear regulations for data privacy and security, and formulating guidelines for the testing and deployment of autonomous vehicles.

Additionally, assessing and identifying the necessary enhancements to India's existing infrastructure to support these innovations is important. This may involve strategic investments in innovative technologies and advancements in traffic management systems. Understanding public perception and attitudes toward AI-driven vehicles will also be crucial; therefore, research should focus on exploring community concerns to guide effective communication strategies that foster trust and acceptance.

Furthermore, as vehicle interconnectedness continues to grow, it is imperative to investigate potential cybersecurity threats and establish robust safeguards. By addressing these key areas, we can significantly contribute to a safe, secure, and efficient future for transportation in India.