Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 4 | April 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

IMPACT OF CUSTOMER AND SUPPLIER MISALIGNMENT IN RETAIL AND E-GROCERY PLATFORMS: A STUDY OF FAILED INTEGRATION MODELS

Aniket Singh[1], A Mohammed Yaqubuddin[2], Bharat P[3], Dr. Musaib Ahmad Shariff B.A[4]

[1]22DBCOM016, VI SEM B.COM(G), Bachelor of Commerce Student, CMR University
[2]22DBCOM002, VI SEM B.COM(G), Bachelor of Commerce Student, CMR University
[3]22DBCOM026, VI SEM B.COM(G), Bachelor of Commerce Student, CMR University
[4]Assistant Professor, CMR University

ABSTRACT

This paper looks at the implications of not being aligned in key aspects when it comes to customers' hopes and the actual capabilities of suppliers within the retail and new kind of stores selling groceries online. With the explosion of digital platforms shaping how people interact and what they buy, integration models are faltering. This tends to happen when what people see doesn't sync up perfectly with what's really happening on the back end. Through case study analysis and using some kind of framework to assess things carefully, we've figured out hot spots where things just don't fit together right and this leads to problems down the line with actual process and operations. A conceptual model is proposed to classify failure scenarios and provide guidance on improving integration strategies in omnichannel environments.

1. INTRODUCTION

The last two decades have seen structural transformations in retail and e-grocery platforms because of digitalization, new customer expectations, and sophisticated supply chains. Indeed, the developments have greatly improved convenience and access. However, they have also created new vulnerabilities, especially when the integration of customer-oriented systems and internal supplier networks is poorly designed. This paper analyzes the issues of customer-supplier misalignment, depicts poorly executed integration attempts, and provides recommendations to avoid such problems..

2. BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

2.1 Digital Transformation in Retail

The launching of online retail and e-grocery platforms has transformed consumer convenience. Companies like Amazon Fresh, Instacart , and Owado offer faster delivery, advanced customization such as pre-recorded suggestion lists, and modern digitized window shopping . But delivering this kind of value proposition does depend on really complex and finely tuned logistics along with supply chain systems that go together really well too.

2.2 The Problem of Misalignment

Misalignment happens when promises made at a platform level just don't match up with what suppliers and fulfillment partners are actually able to deliver. This is kind of like when the person who ordered something and the person who put things together can't get on the same page and the packaging ends up being all wonky. This can manifest in forms such as product unavailability, delivery delays, quality inconsistencies, and poor customer experience. Unlike traditional retail models, digital platforms magnify these failures due to heightened customer expectations and reduced tolerance for service gaps.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

There has been a ton of literature that talks a lot about why alignment and integration in supply chains really matter. Christopher and Peck (2004) emphasize resilience as a core objective in modern supply chains, while Mentzer et al. Back in 2001, researchers highlighted how important it is for different players in the supply chain

to work together—both in terms of structure and how they operate. Fast forward to the digital age, and more recent studies, like the one by Xu and colleagues in 2022, emphasize the growing need for flexibility and strong, adaptable partnerships. These qualities are especially critical when trying to keep service levels steady despite unpredictable customer demand. The history of failures in the e-grocery industry makes this even clearer: when suppliers and digital platforms aren't on the same page, things can fall apart quickly.

4. METHODOLOGY

To explore the challenges of integrating customer and supplier systems in retail and e-grocery platforms, this study takes a qualitative, case-based approach. The research draws from a mix of sources-public records, post-mortem analyses, academic studies, and industry reports-to build a comprehensive understanding of where things went wrong.

Three case studies were chosen for their relevance and the lessons they offer: Webvan, the early operations of FreshDirect, and Tesco.com. These examples highlight common struggles in the field and serve as useful benchmarks for deeper analysis.

To make sense of each case, the study uses a four-part framework that looks at:

- Demand Forecasting – How well the company predicted what customers would want.
- Inventory Management – How they handled stock levels and product availability.
- Fulfillment Logistics – The efficiency and reliability of getting products to customers.
- Information Flow – How data moved between customers, suppliers, and internal system

By evaluating each company through these lenses, the study identifies key breakdowns and uncovers the root causes of misalignment in their integration efforts

5. CASE STUDIES OF FAILED INTEGRATION

5.1 WebvanWebvan is often highlighted as one of the largest failures of e-grocery. The company had terrific technology and substantial support from the investment community, but it could not execute its ambitious service goals. In particular, it was too fast to build very large distribution centers, and in choosing components of its delivery program, it overestimated demand to serve local customers, and it was unable to easily coordinate all needed suppliers. Failure to coordinate well all suppliers led to either a late or no delivery and created massive losses ultimately leading to bankruptcy.

5.2 FreshDirect (Early Stage) FreshDirect had troubles too and particularly early on. Customers reported errors with orders, delays in deliveries, and poor product quality of their orders shortly after launch. In FreshDirect's case, the issues all stemmed from a lack of effective communication with suppliers and the poor preparedness of FreshDirect's fulfillment system. FreshDirect was able to improve its processes and product sourcing, and it became a more reliable vendor over time, but it is an important example of how costly a misaligned supplier network can be at the launch phase.

5.3 Tesco.com and the Dark Store Model Tesco was also seeking to improve their position at the forefront of the digital revolution with dark stores - essentially warehouses to fill online orders. The idea was a much needed advance, but implementation and performance were inconsistent. In some markets, local suppliers were not able to deliver at the required speed and level of detailed precision for an online fulfillment service. The implementation challenges produced low availability and regular stockouts and/or poorly fulfilled orders.

6. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

6.1 Where Things Went Wrong

All three case studies share one thing in common: when expectations of customers exceed what suppliers can provide, the system suffers. The mismatches usually boil down to speed, data, and flexibility. Here is a quick rundown of the most prevalent gaps:

Dimensions	What customer wants	What suppliers can offer
Delivery speed	Fast (same day or next day)	Slower due to batch scheduling
Product freshness	immediate high quality availability	Time needed for harvesting and packing
Inventory visibility	Real time updates	Outdated or inaccurate stock information
Fulfillment accuracy	No missing or incorrect item	Manual error and frequent substitution

Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra1013 | SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.619 ISSN: 2347-4378
EPRA International Journal of Economics, Business and Management Studies (EBMS)
Volume: 12 | Issue: 4 | April 2025 -Peer-Reviewed Journal

6.2 Strategic Mistakes A typical strategic mistake in these cases is overinvesting in customer facing technology—fancy applications, rapid delivery pledges—without verifying that suppliers and back-end infrastructure could keep up. The lesson is simple: success is based on building the experience as a whole—with supply chain logistics integrated into the solution, not just as an afterthought.

7. The Alignment-Integration Matrix

What should be the thinking behind trying to make sense of these issues? This research presents the Alignment-Integration Matrix. A straightforward but highly effective diagnostic tool for platform viability.

Alignment Level	Integration Capacity	Likely Outcome
High	High	Smooth, efficient operation
High	Low	Bottleneck and slowdown
Low	High	Overburdened suppliers
Low	Low	Complete system breakdown

8. Strategic GuidanceTo avoid making similar mistakes, platforms should strive to form strong bilateral relationships with suppliers. There are a number of helpful alternatives:

8.1 Digitally Enable Suppliers Create real-time dashboards and inventory systems for suppliers. Train and support smaller partners who are not as technically savvy.

8.2 Communicate Performance Goals Instead of just operating on your own, get everyone aligned around performance goals (like order accuracy, on time delivery, and customer satisfaction)- this creates accountability throughout the chain.

8.3 Generate Flexible Fulfillment Utilize technology (i.e. micro-fulfillment centers, dark stores, or smart routing) to rapidly respond to spikes in local demand by shortening delivery time.

8.4 Forecast Smarter Leverage insights from machine learning and past data to improve forecast demands. Keep in mind any regional trends, seasonality, and other outside signals to get in front of these trends.

FINDINGS

1. Stockout Rates and Customer Churn

A McKinsey report showed that nearly 30% of online grocery shoppers abandon their shopping carts because of missing stock or preferred brands. Frequent stockouts alone were found to reduce customer retention across retail sectors by 17%.

2. Responsiveness of Supplier and Delays in Delivery

Delivery delays in e-grocery were attributed to the supplier side 68% of the time, based on a research conducted by Capgemini in 2022, specifically citing late shipments and incorrect quantities as the culprits. Gaps in the inventory information flow and the potential delivery capacity created an increase of 25% in the returns rate of purchases made in e-groceries.

3. Cost Implications

As reported by Deloitte (2022) businesses lacking alignment with suppliers tend to incur 10-20% higher operational costs because of inefficient last-minute procurement, expedited shipping, and returns management. Inefficient order batching in combination with forecasting errors elevates the cost-per-order by 15-25% in digital grocery chains.

.

4. Waste and Environmental Impact

According to Singh & Gupta (2022), e-grocery platforms experienced an 8-10% average food wastage due to poor alignment between supply timing and demand. The lack of real-time demand signals and local supplier coordination for perishables results in increased carbon footprints and resource wasting.

5. Customer Experience and Ratings

An Harvard Business Survey (2022) study found that almost 40% of online shoppers leave negative comments due to ineffective product delivery and lack of stock. These problems are often linked to supply chain

misalignment. Gaps in communication regarding the delivery of items and changes to orders caused a satisfaction score drop of 22%.

SUGGESTION

- Best practices for aligning customer and supplier expectations
- Design principles for resilient integration models
- Implementation of alignment monitoring frameworks
- Improve Supplier Digital Readiness
- Establishing systems for monitoring alignment with strategic objectives
- Implement quick Fulfillment Models
- Enhance Demand Forecasting
- Adopt Real-Time Tailored Fulfillment

CONCLUSION

What gets revealed from these case studies is that high tech solutions do not work in isolation. Ultimately, the secret to success in online retail is to ensure supplier capabilities align with customers demands. Much of the failures we studied were taken place in the types of processes mentioned above-- if back-end planning and coordination along value chain were improved The Alignment-Integration Matrix depicts a useful framework for where risks may exist and how to mitigate them. Future studies must examine how to incorporate long-term trends and real-time data in order to provide more resilient, adaptive platforms

REFERENCES

1. *Building the Resilient Supply Chain. The International Journal of Logistics Management, 15(2), 1–13.*
2. *Defining Supply Chain Management. Journal of Business Logistics, 22(2), 1–25.*
3. *Platform Economy and Supply Chain Agility: A Framework for Resilience. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 42(4), 812–831.*
4. *Enterprise Resource Planning Systems and Its Implications for Operations Function. Technovation, 26(5–6), 687–696.*
5. *Chopra, S., & Meindl, P. (2021). Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation (7th ed.). Pearson.*
6. *Accenture. (2022). The Future of Retail Supply Chains. Retrieved from https://www.accenture.com*
7. *McKinsey & Company. (2023). How E-Grocery is Reshaping Consumer Goods. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com*
8. *Deloitte. (2022). Digital Supply Networks: The New Frontier. Retrieved from https://www2.deloitte.com*
9. *Gartner. (2023). The Role of Real-Time Data in Retail Supply Chains. Retrieved from https://www.gartner.com*
10. *Kumar, S., & Kumar, R. (2020). Supply chain disruptions in online grocery. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 48(4), 356-372*