



CHARACTERISTICS OF TOPONYMS FUNCTIONING AS ATTRIBUTES IN THE UZBEK LANGUAGE

Jumatov Rashid Yangibaevich

Acting Associate Professor of Urgench State University, Doctor of Philosophy in Philological Sciences,

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra21584>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra21584

ABSTRACT

This article analyzes the features of some toponyms (place names) according to their syntactic function as an attribute in Uzbek. In particular, we will limit ourselves to determining the function of toponyms in a sentence, without focusing on controversial issues in linguistics. In the analysis of examples collected from works of art, we observed that toponyms appear in the function of all three types of attributes, and we will analyze them based on examples.

KEYWORDS: *Toponyms, Toponymy, Attribute, Attributive, Qualifying, Adjectival.*

INTRODUCTION

In the Uzbek language, attributes are mainly divided into qualifying attributes and qualitative attributes. Some linguists also distinguish expository attributes as a type of attribute.

In this section, we will limit ourselves to determining the function of toponyms in a sentence, without focusing on controversial issues in linguistics. In the analysis of examples collected from works of art, we observed that toponyms appear in the function of all three types of attributes, and we will analyze them based on examples.

1. *U borguncha Turkistonning husni ochilmaydi.* [1, 450]

2. *Shahar Turkiya tasarrufida qolgan ekan.* [2, 162]

In Uzbek, the accusative definite article is formed with the suffix -, in which the component being defined is called каралмиш and it accepts the possessive suffix. The accusative definite article can be omitted, that is, used without a marker, but the possessive suffix is not omitted, of course, there are exceptions: like our street, our yard. The accusative definite article expresses the meaning of possessiveness.

The component and conjunction models of the sentences are as follows:

1) <i>U borguncha Turkistonning husni ochilmaydi</i>			
	Yu.M.11	$\tilde{NP}_1, \tilde{NDP}_2, \tilde{ND} \cdot NP_1, NP_2$ Pnp Vp ₂ Sps S Vfng	K.M.11
2) <i>Shahar Turkiya tasarrufida qolgan ekan</i>			
	Yu.M.12	$\tilde{NP}_1, \tilde{NDP}_2, \tilde{ND} \cdot NP_1, NP_2$ Pnp Vp ₂ Sps S Vfng	K.M.12

In the following sentences, we will explore additional meanings of the unmarked directional attribute. 3. *Qadimgi Rim xaritasi doskaga osilganicha qolib ketdi* [4, 73].

4. *Poezd Axalkalaki va Tbilisi shohbekatlariga boradi* [2, 173].



5. *Dushman Moskva bo 'sag'asida manglayidan zarba oldi [1, 502].*

In the third sentence, the toponym Roman does not express the owner of the map component, but rather its belonging to that place. In the following sentences, the toponyms Akhalkalaki

and Tbilisi (4), Moscow (5) indicate that the objects represented by the components shohbekatlari (4), bisagasida (5) are located in a part of that territory. All toponyms in the sentences are connected to the core predicate (3) and the location case (4, 5) components based on a coordinate connection. The function and component models of the sentences are as follows:

3) <i>Qadimgi Rim xaritasi doskaga osilganicha qolib ketdi</i>			
	Yu.M.13	$\tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_1 \tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_2$ Adj S S S Vp ₁ Vaux	K.M.13
4) <i>Poezd Axalkalaki va Tbilisi shohbekatlariga boradi</i>			
	Yu.M.14	$NP_1. I\tilde{H}\tilde{N}D. I\tilde{H}\tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_2$ S S S S Vf	K.M.14
5) <i>Dushman Moskva bo 'sag'asida ... zarba oldi.</i>			
	Yu.M.15	$NP_1. \tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_2$ S S S SVf	K.M.15

Toponyms can also come with several other suffixes and/or auxiliaries as attributes.

6. *U Namanganday joydan bu yerga o'qiyman deb kelgan [4, 19].*

7. *Istanbuldagi qamoqxonada xayrlashayotgan chehra gavdalanardi [2, 178].*

8. *Laylo Istanbul haqidagi ta'riflarni esladi [2, 23].*

9. *Armaniston tomondagi ufqda oq bulutlar ko'zga tashlanardi [2, 162].*

In the sixth sentence, the toponym Namanganday is connected to the component of the case of place by a subordinate relationship. A. Qalandarov, in his study of the syntactic and semantic features of comparatives in English and Uzbek, noted that "... in the Uzbek language, the suffixes -day(-dek) are considered means of forming comparatives. The word that

receives this suffix is a model object and is compared and likened to the syntactic unit connected to it" [6, 62]. In this sentence, the toponym Namanganday is a model object to the place to which it is given.

In the seventh sentence, the toponym Istanbul is a attribute of the component of the case of place by means of the affix in and is connected by a subordinate relationship. In the eighth sentence, the toponym Istanbul is activated by means of the auxiliary and the affix in, clarifying the component of the definitions without a means. In the ninth sentence, the syntactic unity of the Armenian toponym is determined by the affix + -dagi in the horizon. The functional and component models of the sentences are as follows:

6) <i>U Namanganday joydan ... kelgan.</i>			
	Yu.M.16	$NP_1. \tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_2$ Pnp S S Vf	K.M.16
7) <i>Istanbuldagi qamoqxonada xayrlashayotgan chehra gavdalanardi</i>			
	Yu.M.17	$\tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_1. NP_2$ S S Vp ₁ S Vf	K.M.17
8) <i>Laylo Istanbul haqidagi ta'riflarni esladi</i>			
	Yu.M.18	$NP_1. \tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_2$ S Spr S Vf	K.M.18
9) <i>Armaniston tomondagi ufqda oq bulutlar ko'zga tashlanardi</i>			
	Yu.M.19	$\tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. \tilde{N}D. NP_1. NP_2$ Spr S Adj S Vf	K.M.19

It is observed that toponyms also become attributes in the genitive case. In this regard, we will analyze the following

sentences: 10. *Ular o'g'limni ... Odessa-Moskva poezdida olib ketishgan [4, 129].*



11. Yosh musofirni Rossiya, Ukraina sarguzashtlari kutmoqda [2, 203].

12. Allaqayerdan u Istanbul dokasini topibdi [1, 445].
 In the tenth sentence, the toponyms in the combination Odessa-Moscow train in the function of attributes indicate the direction of movement of the train, that is, it is equivalent to the combination train from Odessa to Moscow. In the eleventh sentence, the combination Russia, Ukraine adventures indicates the place of occurrence and connection of the determined, that is, it undergoes the following transformation:

11) Yosh musofirni Rossiya, Ukraina sarguzashtlari kutmoqda → Yosh musofir Rossiyada va Ukrainada sarguzashtlarni boshidan kechiradi.

In the twelfth sentence, the combination Istanbul gauze means a type of Istanbul gauze. We cannot replace this combination with the combinations Istanbul gauze, gauze in Istanbul, and gauze produced in Istanbul. The function and component models of the sentences are as follows:

10) Ular o'g'limni ... Odessa-Moskva poezdida olib ketishgan.		
	Yu.M.20	$\underline{NP}_1 \cdot \underline{\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{NP}_2$ K.M.20 Pnp S S S Vf
11) ... musofirni Rossiya, Ukraina sarguzashtlari kutmoqda.		
	Yu.M.18	$\underline{\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{IH\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{IIH\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{NP}_1 \cdot \underline{NP}_2$ K.M.18 S S S S Vf
12) Allaqayerdan u Istanbul dokasini topibdi.		
	Yu.M.19	$\underline{\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{NP}_1 \cdot \underline{\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{\tilde{N}D} \cdot \underline{NP}_2$ K.M.19 Pn _{ind} Pnp S S Vf

CONCLUSION

Thus, in the Uzbek language, toponyms that function as attributes occur in the main and accumulative forms, taking the affixes -dagi, -day(dek), and combined with functional auxiliaries with the affix -dagi.

REFERENCES

1. Shuhrat. Oltin zanglamas. - Toshkent: G'afur G'ulom nomidagi nashriyot-matbaa ijodiy uyi, 2021. - 520 b.
2. Azamat Qorjavov. Musofir Go'dak. 1-kitob. - Toshkent: Ijod press nashriyoti, 2019. - 204 b.

3. Asadov R.M. Ingliz tili sodda gap qurilmalarida monovalentli va polivalentli komponentlarning sintaksem tahlili: Filol. fan. bo'yicha fals. dok. (PhD). diss... avtoref. - Toshkent, 2018. - 53 b.
4. Pirimqul Qodirov. Uch Ildiz. - Toshkent: Kamalak nashriyoti, 2019. - 448 b.
5. Miller J. Stative verbs in Russian. Foundation of language, 1970 vol. 6. N4. - P. 488-504.
6. Qalandarov A.R. Ingliz va o'zbek tillarida komparativlik kategoriyasi ifodalanishining sintaktik-semantik xususiyatlari: Fil. fanlari bo'yicha falsafa doktori ... diss. - Toshkent, 2019. - 154 b.