



# PROPERTIES OF $\tau$ AND $\sigma$ FUNCTIONS

**Hamant Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Vishnu Kant<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics

<sup>2</sup>Department of English

V. A. Govt. Degree College, Atrauli, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh (India)

## ABSTRACT

*In this article, we study  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  functions and their properties. Utilizing  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  functions, we investigate perfect number, deficient numbers, abundant numbers, amicable numbers, friendly numbers and solitary numbers.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

$\tau$  (tau) and  $\sigma$  (sigma) functions are most important number theoretic functions also known as arithmetic functions. Arithmetic functions are defined for all positive integers.  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  functions belong to a large class of arithmetic functions called multiplicative functions and study of some their fascinating properties.  $\tau$  function, also known as the divisor function, determines the number of positive divisors of a given integer and the  $\sigma$  function also known as the sum of divisors function, calculates the sum of all positive divisors of a given integer. These functions play a significant role in various areas of number theory including the study of prime factorization, divisor properties and properties of perfect numbers. We can use the  $\sigma$  function to study a marvelous class of numbers called perfect numbers the term perfect numbers. The term perfect numbers was coined by Pythagoreans. Euclid shown that every integer  $N = 2^{n-1} (2^n - 1)$ , where  $2^n - 1$  is a prime, is a perfect. Amicable numbers were known to the Pythagoreans, who credited them with many mystical properties.

## 2. THE FUNCTION $\tau(N)$

**2.1 Definition.** Let  $n$  be a given positive integer. Then  $\tau(n)$  is the number of positive divisors of  $n$  including the divisors 1 and  $n$ .

For an example of these notion, consider  $n = 12$ .

The divisors of 12 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 and 12.

Hence  $\tau(n) = 6$ .

For the first few integers,

$$\tau(1) = 1, \tau(2) = 2, \tau(3) = 2, \tau(4) = 3, \dots$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\tau(n) = 2$  if and only if  $n$  is a prime number.

**2.2 Theorem.** Let  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \cdot p_3^{a_3} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ . Then the divisors of  $n$  are the various terms of the expansion of

$$n = (1 + p_1 + p_1^2 + \dots + p_1^{a_1}) (1 + p_2 + \dots + p_2^{a_2}) \dots (1 + p_k + \dots + p_k^{a_k}).$$

**2.3 Theorem.** If  $n = p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} \dots p_r^{k_r}$  is the prime factorization of  $n > 1$ , then

$$(1) \tau(n) = (k_1 + 1) (k_2 + 1) \dots (k_r + 1) \text{ and}$$

$$(2) \sigma(n) = \frac{p_1^{k_1+1}-1}{p_1-1} \frac{p_2^{k_2+1}-1}{p_2-1} \dots \frac{p_r^{k_r+1}-1}{p_r-1}.$$

**2.4 Example.** Let  $n = 2700 = 2^2 \times 3^3 \times 5^2$ . Then



$$\tau(2700) = (2 + 1) (3 + 1) (2 + 1) = 36$$

$$\sigma(2700) = \frac{2^3-1}{2-1} \times \frac{3^4-1}{3-1} \times \frac{5^3-1}{5-1} = 8680$$

**2.5 Theorem.**  $\tau(n)$  is odd if and only if  $n$  is a perfect square.

**Proof.** Suppose  $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdot p_2^{a_2} \cdot p_3^{a_3} \dots \dots \dots p_k^{a_k}$ .

(1) Let  $n$  be square integer. Then  $a_1, a_2, \dots \dots \dots a_k$  are all even. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(n) &= (a_1 + 1) (a_2 + 1) \dots \dots \dots (a_k + 1) \\ &= \text{product of odd factors only} \\ &= \text{an odd integer.} \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $\tau(n)$  be odd. Then  $(a_1 + 1) (a_2 + 1) \dots \dots \dots (a_k + 1)$  is odd. This implies that  $a_1, a_2, \dots \dots a_k$  are all even. It follows that  $n$  is a square integer.

**2.6 Corollary.** Let  $\tau(n)$  is even if and only if  $n$  is not a square integer.

**2.7 Definition.** A number theoretic function  $f$  is said to be multiplicative if

$$f(mn) = f(m) f(n)$$

whenever,  $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ .

The function  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are both multiplicative functions.

**2.8 Definition.** The divisors of an integer  $n$ , excluding the divisor  $n$  are called proper divisors of  $n$ , and the sum of all proper divisors of  $n$  is denoted by  $\sigma_0(n)$ .

**Examples**

(1) Let  $n = 12$ . Then the divisors of 12 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12. Proper divisors of 12 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6. Therefore

$$\sigma(12) = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 6 + 12 = 28$$

$$\sigma_0(12) = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 6 = 16.$$

(2) Let  $n = 28$ . Then

$$\sigma(28) = 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14 + 28 = 56$$

$$\sigma_0(28) = 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14 = 28.$$

Here  $\sigma(n) = 2n$  and  $\sigma_0(n) = n$

**2.9 Definition.** An integer  $n$  is said to be a perfect number if the sum of its proper divisors is equal to  $n$ . Thus  $n$  is a perfect number of  $\sigma_0(n) = n$ , or  $\sigma(n) = 2n$ .

**2.10 Example.** Let  $n = 496 = 2^4 \times 31$ . Then

$$\sigma(496) = \sigma(2^4) \sigma(31) = \frac{2^5-1}{2-1} \times (31 + 1)$$



$$= 2 \times 496$$

So, 496 is a perfect number.

There is a simple theorem which enables us to find even perfect numbers. The theorem bears the name of Euclid.

**2.11 Theorem (Euclid).** Let  $2^k - 1$  be a prime. Then  $2^{k-1} (2^k - 1)$  is a perfect number.

**Proof.** Let  $n = 2^{k-1} (2^k - 1)$ . Then, since  $2^k - 1$  is a prime,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(n) &= \sigma(2^{k-1}(2^k - 1)) = \frac{2^k - 1}{2 - 1} \times (2^k - 1 + 1) \\ &= (2^k - 1) 2^k = 2[(2^{k-1}(2^k - 1))] \end{aligned}$$

Which proves the theorem.

**2.12 Definition.**  $n$  is called a deficient number if  $\sigma_0(n) < n$ .

### Examples

(1)  $\sigma(15) = \sigma(5) \sigma(3) = 24$ . Hence  $\sigma_0(15) = 24 - 15 = 9 < 15$ .

Therefore 15 is a deficient number.

(2)  $\sigma(32) = \sigma(2^5) = 2^6 - 1 = 63$ .

Hence  $\sigma_0(32) = 63 - 32 = 31 < 32$ .

Therefore, 32 is a deficient number.

(3) If  $p$  is a prime, there is only one proper divisor of  $p$  namely 1. Hence  $\sigma_0(p) = 1 < p$ . Thus every prime is deficient.

(4)  $p^k$  is deficient, for

$$\sigma_0(p^k) = \frac{p^{k+1} - 1}{p - 1} - p^k = \frac{p^k - 1}{p - 1} < p^k$$

(5) If  $p$  and  $q$  are primes then  $pq$  is deficient.

For,  $\sigma_0(pq) = (p + 1)(q + 1) - pq$

$$= p + q + 1$$

$$= pq - [(p - 1)(q - 1) - 2]$$

$$< pq.$$

**2.13 Definition.**  $n$  is called an abundant number if  $\sigma_0(n) > n$ .

**Examples.**  $\sigma_0(12) = 1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 6 = 16 > 12$ .

Hence 12 is an abundant number.



$$\sigma_0(30) = \sigma(2 \times 3 \times 5) - 30$$

$$= (2 + 1) (3 + 1) (5 + 1) - 30$$

$$= 42 > 30$$

$$(1) \sigma(945) = \sigma(3^3 \times 5 \times 7) - 945$$

$$= 40 \times 6 \times 8 - 945$$

$$= 975 > 945.$$

Hence 945 is an abundant number. It is worth nothing here that 945 is the first odd abundant number.

**2.14 Definition.** An integer  $n$  is called a multiply perfect number of class  $k$  if  $\sigma_0(n) = kn$ .

**Example.**

$$I \sigma_0(120) = \sigma(2^3 \times 3 \times 5) - 120 = 2 \times 120$$

Hence 120 is a multiply perfect number of class 2.

$$II \sigma_0(2^9 \times 3 \times 11 \times 31) = (2^{10} - 1) \times 4 \times 12 \times 32 - 2^9 \times 3 \times 11 \times 31$$

$$= 2(2^9 \times 3 \times 11 \times 31)$$

Hence  $2^9 \times 3 \times 11 \times 31$  is a multiply perfect number of class 2.

**2.15 Definition.** Let there be two integers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $\sigma_0(a) = b$  and  $\sigma_0(b) = a$ . Then  $(a, b)$  is called a pair of amicable numbers.

**Example.**

$$I. \sigma_0(220) = 284 \text{ and}$$

$$\sigma_0(284) = 220$$

Hence  $(220, 284)$  is a pair of amicable numbers. This was the only pair discovered before fermate applied his powerful mind to this subject.

II. It was Euler, however, who undertook a systematic study of amicable number and he gave a list of 60 Such pairs some of these are

$$a) (2 \times 5 \times 7 \times 19 \times 107, \quad 2 \times 5 \times 47 \times 350)$$

$$b) (2^3 \times 5 \times 251, \quad 2^2 \times 13 \times 107)$$

$$c) (2^3 \times 17 \times 79, \quad 2^3 \times 23 \times 59)$$

**2.16 Theorem.** A pair of integers  $(a, b)$  is amicable if and only if

$$\sigma(a) = \sigma(b) = a + b$$



**Proof.**

- i. Let  $\sigma(a) = \sigma(b) = a + b$ . Then  
 $\sigma(a) - a = b$  and  $\sigma(b) - b = a$

This implies that  $\sigma^0(a) = b$  and  $\sigma^0(b) = a$

Therefore,  $(a, b)$  is an amicable pair.

- ii. Let  $(a, b)$  be an amicable pair. Then  
 $\sigma^0(a) = b$  and  $\sigma^0(b) = a$ . Hence  
 $\sigma(a) = a + b$  and  $\sigma(b) = a + b$

This proves the theorem completely.

**2.17 Theorem.** Let

$$a_k = 2^k(3 \times 2^{k-1} - 1)(3 \times 2^k - 1) \quad \text{and}$$

$$b_k = 2^k(9 \times 2^{2k-1} - 1)$$

Where  $k > 1$ . Then  $(a_k, b_k)$  is an amicable pair provided the integers.

$$3 \times 2^{k-1} - 1, \quad 3 \times 2^k - 1, \quad 9 \times 2^{2k-1} - 1 \text{ are primes.}$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_k + b_k &= 2^k(3 \times 2^{k-1} - 1)(3 \times 2^k - 1) + 2^k(9 \times 2^{2k-1} - 1) \\ &= 2^k(9 \times 2^{2k-1} - 3 \times 2^k - 3 \times 2^{k-1} + 1 + 9 \times 2^{2k-1} - 1) \\ &= 9 \times 2^{3k} - 6 \times 2^{2k-1} - 3 \times 2^{2k-1} \\ &= 9 \times 2^{2k-1}(2^{k+1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_0(n) = \sigma(2^k) \sigma(3 \times 2^{k-1} - 1) \sigma(3 \times 2^k - 1)$$

**Since**

$$\begin{aligned} &3 \times 2^{k-1} - 1 \text{ is odd for } k1, \\ &= (2^{k+1} - 1)(3 \times 2^{k-1})(3 \times 2^k) \\ &= 9 \times 2^{2k-1}(2^{k+1} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= a_k + b_k$$

$$\sigma(b_k) = \sigma(2^k) \sigma(9 \times 2^{2k-1} - 1)$$



$$= (2^{k+1} - 1) 9 \times 2^{2k-1}$$

$$= a_k + b_k$$

It follows that  $(a_k, b_k)$  is an amicable pair.

There exist only three amicable pairs conforming to the above theorem for  $k \leq 200$ . These are

S. No.	k	$a_k$	$b_k$
1.	2	220	284
2.	4	17296	18416
3.	7	9363584	9437056

We give below a few more examples of amicable pairs. These are

- a) (1184, 1210)
- b) (2620, 2924)
- c) (5020, 5564)
- d) (6232, 6368)

**2.18 Abundancy Index.** Abundancy index is the ratio of a number's sum of divisors to the number itself.

**2.19 Friendly Numbers.** Friendly numbers are two or more numbers that have the same abundancy index.

**Example**

- i. 6 and 28 are friendly number.

The divisors of

6 are 1, 2, 3, 6 and

28 are 1, 2, 4, 8, 14, 28.

The abundancy index of 6 is  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{1+2+3+6}{6} = \frac{12}{6} = 2$

The abundancy index of 28 is  $\frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \frac{1+2+4+7+14+28}{28} = \frac{56}{28} = 2$

Hence the number 6 and 28 are friendly numbers because they both have an abundancy of 2.



- ii. 30 and 140 are friendly numbers.
- iii. 80 and 200 are friendly numbers.

### 2.20 Solitary Numbers

The numbers that are not part of any friendly pair are called solitary numbers.

**Note:** Every prime is a solitary number.

We have our of  $\tau(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

- I. We know that every integer  $n > 1$  has at least two divisors 1 and  $n$ . Hence  $\tau(n)$  can never be less than 2 for  $n > 1$ .
- II. Let “ $p$ ” be a prime. We know that the prime  $p$  has only two divisors 1 and  $p$  itself. Hence  $\tau(p) = 2$ .

It follows that  $\tau(n)$  assumes the value 2 for infinitely many values of  $n$  because the number of prime is infinite.

Thus we write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n) = 2$$

III. If  $n = p^a$ ,  $p$  is a prime, Then  $\tau(n) = a + 1$

Therefore  $\tau(n)$  assumes values larger than any given number for sufficiently large values of  $a$ .

Hence we may write

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(n) = \infty$$

The function  $\tau(n)$  thus behaves in a very irregular way.

Behaviour of  $\sigma(n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

We know that  $\sigma(n) > n$  for all  $n > 1$ . It follows  $\sigma(n)$  assumes values larger than any given number for sufficiently large values of  $n$ . Thus we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(n) = \infty$$

On the other hand  $\sigma(n)$  is not a monotonic increasing functions of  $n$ .

**2.20 Theorem.** Let  $p$  be a prime  $> 3$ . Then  $\sigma(p - 1) > \sigma(p)$ .



**Proof.**

I. Let  $p - 1 = 2^t, t > 1$ . Then

$$\sigma(p - 1) = \sigma(2^t) = 2^t + 2^{t-1} + \dots + 2 + 1$$

Hence

$$\sigma(p - 1) > 2^t + 2 > p + 1 = \sigma(p).$$

II. Let  $(p - 1) = p1^{a1}p2^{a2} \dots pk^{ak}$ . Then

$$\sigma(p - 1) = \sigma(p1^{a1}) \sigma(p2^{a2}) \dots \sigma(pk^{ak})$$

$$\geq (p1^{a1} + 1)(p2^{a2} + 1) \dots (pk^{ak} + 1)$$

$$\geq p1^{a1}p2^{a2} \dots pk^{ak} + (p1^{a1} + p2^{a2} \dots + pk^{ak})$$

$$\geq p - 1 + k + 1$$

$$> p + 1 \quad \text{Since } k > 1$$

$$= \sigma(p)$$

Thus  $\sigma(n)$  is an irregular function of  $n$  although not as  $\tau(n)$

**REFERENCES**

1. S. G. Telang, *Number theory*, Tata Mc grow –Hill Publishing Company Limited New Delhi, 1996.
2. David M. Burton, *Elementary Number Thoery*, Tata Mc grow-Hill publishing company limited, New Delhi, 2006.
3. Thomas Koshy, *Elementary Number Thoery with Applications*, Academic Press is an imprint of Elsevier.