



SELF REGULATION AMONG BASIC EDUCATION TEACHERS: A STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING APPROACH

Alex A. Ulan-Ulan¹

¹Student, Graduate School, Rizal Memorial Colleges, Inc.

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra21824>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra21824

ABSTRACT

Self-regulation is a vital competency for educators, influencing not only their professional effectiveness but also the learning outcomes of their students. As educators navigate the complexities of teaching, their ability to set goals, monitor progress, and reflect on their practices plays a crucial role in fostering a productive learning environment. This study aims to explore the factors influencing self-regulation among basic education teachers, focusing on the interplay between goal-setting, self-monitoring, and reflective practices. For this study, the researcher included 100 basic education teachers from the Division of Davao del Sur. To ensure fairness in selection and enhance the representativeness of the sample, random sampling techniques was used. This method allowed each teacher an equal opportunity to be chosen, reducing potential biases and strengthening the validity of the research findings (BYJU'S, 2023). The findings revealed that teachers demonstrate a high level of goal-setting, with particular strength in setting goals that are relevant and meaningful to their teaching context. However, while they prioritize aligning goals with curriculum standards and student needs, less emphasis was placed on ensuring that goals are measurable and quantifiable.

KEYWORDS- *Self Regulation, Basic Education Teachers, Structural Equation Modelling Approach*

INTRODUCTION

Self-regulation is a vital competency for educators, influencing not only their professional effectiveness but also the learning outcomes of their students. As educators navigate the complexities of teaching, their ability to set goals, monitor progress, and reflect on their practices plays a crucial role in fostering a productive learning environment. This study aims to explore the factors influencing self-regulation among basic education teachers, focusing on the interplay between goal-setting, self-monitoring, and reflective practices.

Studies have shown that teachers who effectively self-regulate can create more engaging and supportive learning environments, which positively impacts student motivation and achievement. For instance, interventions aimed at enhancing self-regulation skills among teachers have demonstrated significant improvements in both teacher performance and student engagement (Azevedo et al., 2023; Fitzpatrick, 2012). These findings emphasize the need for targeted research that examines how self-regulatory practices among teachers can be cultivated and sustained.

Moreover, the global education is witnessing a growing recognition of self-regulation as a key factor in effective teaching. Evidence suggests that when teachers possess strong self-regulation skills, they are better equipped to manage classroom dynamics and respond to diverse student needs (Martins et al., 2023). This not only enhances their instructional strategies but also fosters a positive classroom climate conducive to learning. As such, understanding the mechanisms through which teachers develop self-regulation is essential for improving educational practices worldwide.

In the Philippine context, recent studies have emphasized the need for professional development programs that focus on enhancing teachers' self-regulation skills. Research indicates that many educators struggle with self-monitoring and reflection, which can hinder their effectiveness in the classroom (Rosário et al., 2021). Addressing these gaps through targeted interventions could lead to improved teaching practices and better student outcomes in Filipino schools.

In Davao del Sur, there is a pressing need to investigate the self-regulation practices of elementary teachers. Preliminary observations suggest that many educators face challenges related to goal-setting and reflective practices, which may impact their teaching effectiveness. By conducting this study, the researcher aims to provide valuable insights that can inform local educational policies and professional development initiatives tailored to the specific needs of teachers in this region.

The literature clearly indicates a significant need for research focused on self-regulation among educators. By exploring this topic within the context of basic education teachers in Davao del Sur, this study seeks to contribute to the understanding of how self-regulatory practices can be enhanced and sustained, to lead to improved educational outcomes for students.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Research consistently highlights that establishing clear, measurable, and challenging goals enhances students' engagement and perseverance in their studies. According to Locke and Latham's goal-setting theory, well-defined objectives lead to higher performance outcomes compared to vague or non-specific targets (Locke & Latham, 2002). This concept is especially relevant in



elementary education, where teachers can utilize goal-setting techniques to instill a sense of direction and purpose in students, ultimately fostering self-regulation and academic achievement (Rowe et al., 2020).

Additionally, the connection between goal setting and self-efficacy has been a focal point in educational research. Studies suggest that when students establish attainable goals, their self-efficacy improves, leading to enhanced motivation and academic performance (Schunk & DiBenedetto, 2021). This dynamic process indicates that as students accomplish their objectives, their confidence increases, encouraging them to set even more ambitious goals. Consequently, elementary educators who integrate structured goal-setting strategies into their teaching can cultivate an environment where students feel empowered to take control of their learning.

Beyond individual success, goal setting has been found to positively impact overall academic achievement across different subjects. For instance, Martin and Elliot (2016) reported that students who engaged in goal-setting interventions demonstrated significant improvements in mathematics performance. These findings underscore the value of incorporating goal-setting practices into the curriculum to enhance both individual student growth and collective classroom achievement.

Moreover, the adoption of reflective goal-setting strategies has emerged as an effective approach to improving academic performance. This method encourages students to consistently assess their progress and make necessary adjustments to their strategies (Morisano et al., 2023). Such reflective practices reinforce the learning process while developing essential metacognitive skills for lifelong learning. By fostering a culture of reflection in goal setting, elementary teachers can promote deeper engagement and sustained motivation among their students.

Furthermore, studies have indicated that when students set specific performance standards, they are more likely to engage in self-evaluations of their progress, which boosts their confidence and motivation (Schunk & DiBenedetto, 2021). For example, a recent study found that students who articulated precise academic goals exhibited higher levels of self-efficacy and were more committed to their learning tasks (Epton et al., 2017). This relationship highlights the importance of specificity in goal setting, as it empowers elementary teachers to foster a growth mindset among their students.

Also, research indicates that students who engage in specific goal-setting interventions demonstrate significant gains in performance compared to those with non-specific goals (Morisano et al., 2023). For instance, a study involving college students revealed that those who set clear grade-related goals performed better academically than their peers who were encouraged to adopt general "do-your-best" goals (Van Lent & Souverijn, 2020). This evidence suggests the necessity for elementary teachers to implement specific goal-setting strategies within their classrooms.

Moreover, the role of specific goals in enhancing motivation is particularly noteworthy. Specificity not only clarifies expectations but also provides a tangible target for students to strive toward. Research shows that short-term specific goals enhance motivation more effectively than long-term vague objectives (Koestner et al., 2002). This finding suggests that elementary teachers should prioritize the establishment of short-term, specific goals for their students to maintain high levels of engagement and motivation throughout the learning process.

Research Questions

This study aimed to determine that structural equation modeling of factors influencing self-regulation among basic education teachers. Specifically, it aimed to answer the following questions:

1. What is the extent of goal-setting of basic education teachers in terms of:
 - 4.1 Specificity of Goals;
 - 4.2 Measurability;
 - 4.3 Achievability;
 - 4.4 Relevance; and
 - 4.5 Time-Boundedness?
2. What is the extent of self-monitoring of basic education teachers in terms of:
 - 1.1 Concern for appropriateness;
 - 1.2 Attention to social comparison information;
 - 1.3 Ability to modify self-presentation;
 - 1.4 Use of ability in particular situations;
 - 1.5 Cross situational variability; and
 - 1.6 Suppression of emotion?
2. What is the extent of reflection of basic education teachers terms of:
 - 2.1 Curriculum and Planning;
 - 2.2. Instruction;
 - 2.3 Learning Environment; and
 2. 4 Family Collaboration?
4. What is the extent of self-regulation of basic education teachers in terms of :
 - 3.1 Goal Attainment;
 - 3.2 Mindfulness;
 - 3.3 Adjustment;
 - 3.4 Proactiveness; and
 - 3.5 Plan Implementation?



5. Is there a significant relationship between goal setting and self-regulation of basic education teachers?
6. Is there a significant relationship between self-monitoring and goal-setting of basic education teachers?
7. Is there a significant relationship between reflection and self-regulation of basic education teachers?
8. Which exogenous variable/s significantly influences self-regulation of basic education teachers?
9. What structural equation model best fits self-regulation of basic education teachers?

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

In this study, the researcher employed quantitative research methods using Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) as the primary research design. SEM is a sophisticated statistical technique that allows for the exploration and analysis of complex relationships among observed and latent variables. It combines elements of factor analysis and multiple regression, making it particularly suitable for testing theoretical models that propose causal pathways and interdependencies among variables (Statistics Solutions, 2023).

The use of SEM in this research is justified by its ability to assess both measurement and structural models simultaneously. The measurement model evaluates the relationships between observed variables and their underlying latent constructs, while the structural model tests the hypothesized causal relationships among these constructs (Kline, 2016). Moreover, SEM provides robust fit indices that help determine how well the proposed model aligns with the empirical data, allowing for model refinement and validation (Byrne, 2016). This methodological approach will facilitate a deeper understanding of the dynamics at play in teachers' self-regulation processes and identify significant predictors that can inform future educational interventions.

This study examines exogenous variables—Goal Setting (SMART), Self-Monitoring, and Reflection—and their influence on the endogenous variable, Self-Regulation. Goal Setting (SMART) includes specificity, measurability, achievability, relevance, and time-boundedness. These elements help teachers create clear objectives that facilitate effective self-regulation. Moreover, self-Monitoring involves assessing behaviors through indicators such as concern for appropriateness and the ability to modify self-presentation. This process allows teachers to adapt their actions based on social contexts and feedback. Additionally, reflection focuses on evaluating curriculum planning, instruction, learning environments, and family collaboration. This critical assessment enables teachers to improve their practices. Lastly, self-Regulation encompasses goal attainment, mindfulness, adjustment, proactiveness, and plan implementation. It is shaped by the exogenous variables as teachers apply goal-setting strategies and engage in self-monitoring and reflection, leading to enhanced teaching effectiveness and student engagement.

Research Respondents

To determine the appropriate sample size, the researcher employed Slovin's formula, a widely used method for calculating the required number of respondents based on the total population and a chosen margin of error. This approach ensures that the sample is representative while minimizing the risk of sampling errors. By applying this formula, the researcher can determine an adequate number of participants that balance accuracy and feasibility in data collection.

For this study, the researcher included 100 basic education teachers from the Division of Davao del Sur. To ensure fairness in selection and enhance the representativeness of the sample, random sampling techniques was used. This method allowed each teacher an equal opportunity to be chosen, reducing potential biases and strengthening the validity of the research findings (BYJU'S, 2023).

However, it is important to note that factors such as time constraints and potential challenges in accessing participants may affect the actual number of respondents. Despite these challenges, a sample size of 100 is deemed appropriate based on statistical considerations relevant to Structural Equation Modeling (SEM). Research indicates that a minimum sample size of 100 is typically sufficient for SEM studies, especially when dealing with relatively simple models involving a limited number of variables (Kline, 2019). By addressing these elements, the study aims to achieve a robust and representative sample that can yield meaningful insights into self-regulation among basic education teachers.

Research Instrument

For this study, the researcher utilized adapted survey questionnaires to measure the four key variables influencing self-regulation among basic education teachers: goal-setting, self-monitoring, reflection, and self-regulation. Each of these constructs is essential for understanding how teachers can enhance their professional practices and improve student outcomes.

For Goal-Setting, the questionnaire was adapted from Prodigy (2023). It focused on the extent to which teachers establish specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and time-bound (SMART) goals.

For Self-Monitoring, the questionnaire adapted Lennox and Wolfe (1984). The questionnaire evaluated teachers' awareness of their teaching practices focusing on the following: Concern for appropriateness, Attention to social comparison information, Ability to modify self-presentation, Use of this ability in particular situations, Cross situational variability and Suppression of emotion.

For Reflection, the questionnaire was adapted from : Educator Evaluation (n.d.). The questionnaire focused on teachers' practices related to evaluating their Curriculum and Planning, Instruction, Learning Environment, and Family Collaboration.



Finally, for the self-regulation questionnaire, the survey was adapted from Chen and Lin (2018). It assessed the overall self-regulatory practices of teachers, including goal attainment, mindfulness, adjustment strategies, proactiveness, and plan implementation.

This Likert scale was used to analyze the data for Goal Setting:

Range of Means	Description	Interpretation
4.20 – 5.00	Very Extensive	Goal setting among basic education teachers is always manifested.
3.40 -4.19	Extensive	Goal setting among basic education teachers is often manifested.
2.60 – 3.39	Moderately Extensive	Goal setting among basic education teachers is sometimes manifested.
1.80 – 2.59	Rarely Extensive	Goal setting among basic education teachers is seldom manifested.
1.00 – 1.79	Not Extensive	Goal setting among basic education teachers is never manifested.

This Likert scale was used to analyze the data for Self-Monitoring:

Range of Means	Description	Interpretation
4.20 – 5.00	Very Extensive	Self-monitoring among basic education teachers is always manifested.
3.40 -4.19	Extensive	Self-monitoring among basic education teachers is often manifested.
2.60 – 3.39	Moderately Extensive	Self-monitoring among basic education teachers is sometimes manifested.
1.80 – 2.59	Rarely Extensive	Self-monitoring among basic education teachers is seldom manifested.
1.00 – 1.79	Not Extensive	Self-monitoring among basic education teachers is never manifested.

This Likert scale was used to analyze the data for Reflection:

Range of Means	Description	Interpretation
4.20 – 5.00	Very Extensive	Reflection among basic education teachers is always manifested.
3.40 -4.19	Extensive	Reflection among basic education teachers is often manifested.
2.60 – 3.39	Moderately Extensive	Reflection among basic education teachers is sometimes manifested.
1.80 – 2.59	Rarely Extensive	Reflection among basic education teachers is seldom manifested.
1.00 – 1.79	Not Extensive	Reflection among basic education teachers is never manifested.

This Likert scale was used to analyze the data for Self-regulation:

Range of Means	Description	Interpretation
4.20 – 5.00	Very Extensive	Self-regulation among basic education teachers is always manifested.
3.40 -4.19	Extensive	Self-regulation among basic education teachers is often manifested.
2.60 – 3.39	Moderately Extensive	Self-Regulation among basic education teachers is sometimes manifested.
1.80 – 2.59	Rarely Extensive	Self-regulation among basic education teachers is seldom manifested.
1.00 – 1.79	Not Extensive	Self-regulation among basic education teachers is never manifested.

Data Analysis

In this study, the researcher utilized several statistical tools to analyze the data collected from the survey questionnaires. The three primary tools that were employed are the mean, Pearson correlation coefficient (Pearson *r*), and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM).

Mean. The mean, or average, was calculated for various survey items to provide a summary measure of central tendency for each variable. This allowed the researcher to understand the overall trends in goal-setting, self-monitoring, reflection, and self-regulation among the basic education teachers.

Pearson Correlation Coefficient (Pearson *r*). The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to examine the relationships between the identified variables within the study. For instance, the researcher assessed how goal-setting correlates with self-regulation and whether self-monitoring has a significant relationship with reflection.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This chapter presents the conclusions drawn from the findings of the study on the relationship between goal-setting, self-monitoring, reflection, and self-regulation among basic education teachers. Based on the results and their implications, recommendations are offered to help enhance teachers' self-regulatory practices and inform policies and programs that support professional growth and instructional effectiveness.

The primary objective of this study was to determine the relationship between goal-setting, self-monitoring, reflection, and self-regulation among basic education teachers. Specifically, it aimed to assess the extent of these practices and analyze their influence on teachers' self-regulation. The study utilized a quantitative research design, employing survey questionnaires as the main data collection tool. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, Pearson Product Moment Correlation, and Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) to examine the relationships among the variables.

Conclusions

The findings revealed that teachers demonstrate a high level of goal-setting, with particular strength in setting goals that are relevant and meaningful to their teaching context. However, while they prioritize aligning goals with curriculum standards and student needs, less emphasis was placed on ensuring that goals are measurable and quantifiable.



Teachers also showed consistent self-monitoring practices, especially in their ability to adapt their behavior across different situations and contexts. They were highly responsive in adjusting their actions depending on the setting, although they placed less focus on comparing themselves to others as a basis for self-evaluation.

In terms of reflection, teachers were most reflective when it came to evaluating and adjusting their curriculum and planning. They regularly reviewed and refined their lesson plans based on prior experiences. However, their reflection on collaborating with families was practiced less frequently, suggesting an opportunity to deepen partnerships with parents and guardians.

Teachers exhibited strong self-regulation overall, with mindfulness emerging as a key practice. They actively maintained focus, managed emotions, and stayed present in their professional roles. Nonetheless, they were slightly less proactive, highlighting a potential area for encouraging initiative and forward planning in their teaching practices.

A significant relationship was found between goal-setting and self-regulation, indicating that teachers who engage more intentionally in setting clear, specific, and meaningful goals tend to exhibit stronger self-regulatory behaviors in their professional work.

There was also a significant relationship between self-monitoring and goal-setting, suggesting that teachers who are more aware and observant of their actions and behaviors are better able to establish purposeful goals that align with their professional standards and expectations.

While reflection was found to have a significant relationship with self-regulation, the influence was weaker compared to goal-setting and self-monitoring. This suggests that while reflective practices support self-regulation, they may play a more indirect or supplementary role in fostering self-regulated behavior among teachers.

The structural equation model confirmed that goal-setting and self-monitoring directly influence self-regulation, while reflection did not show a direct relationship. This model provided a strong fit, emphasizing the importance of intentional goal-setting and self-awareness in enhancing teachers' ability to regulate their professional actions and decisions.

Recommendations

It is recommended that the Department of Education develop and implement professional development programs that focus on strengthening goal-setting and self-regulation skills among teachers. Policies and training modules should integrate strategies for setting measurable, relevant goals, self-monitoring techniques, and reflective practices to enhance teachers' instructional effectiveness and professional growth.

School heads are encouraged to provide regular mentoring and coaching sessions that help teachers translate their goals into actionable plans and monitor their progress. Establishing a supportive environment that fosters reflection, feedback, and collaborative goal-setting can further improve teachers' self-regulation and adaptability in meeting school objectives.

Teachers are encouraged to continuously engage in self-assessment and reflection to align their professional goals with instructional practices. By actively monitoring their teaching strategies, seeking feedback, and adjusting their approaches, teachers can enhance their self-regulation and improve student learning outcomes while maintaining professional growth.

Students should be encouraged to develop their own goal-setting and self-regulation skills by setting personal learning targets, tracking their progress, and reflecting on their achievements. Teachers and schools can guide students in applying these strategies to foster independence, accountability, and motivation in their learning process.

Future researchers are encouraged to explore other factors that may influence teachers' self-regulation, such as emotional intelligence, work environment, or leadership styles. Qualitative studies may also be conducted to gain deeper insights into how reflective practices can be effectively translated into self-regulatory actions among educators in different teaching contexts.

REFERENCES

1. Abidin, M. J., Abdullahi, M., & Hossain, M. S. (2022). *Planning Time Management in School Activities and Relation to Procrastination: A Study for Educational Sustainability*. *Sustainability*, 16(16), 6883. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16166883>
2. Abueva, A. (2019). *Why Does the Philippines Need the K-12 Education System?* Retrieved from <https://soapboxie.com/social-issues/The-Implementation-o-the-K-12-Program-in-the-Philippine-Basic-Education-Curriculum>
3. Alenazi, A. A. (2017). *How Pre-Service Teachers Learn: An Investigation of Motivation and Self-Regulation*. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 16(10), 58- 71. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.16.10.5>
4. Alipio, M.A. (2020). *The Impact of Senior High School Specialization on First-Year College Students' Academic Adjustment in the Philippines*. *IAFOR Journal of Education*, 12(2), 130-145.
5. Almaiah, M.A., Al-Khasawneh, A., & Althunibat, A. (2020). *Exploring the Critical Challenges and Factors Influencing E-Learning System Usage during COVID-19 Pandemic*. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(5), 5261–5280. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10326-1>
6. Alshahrani, M., Al-Qahtani, S., & Alshehri, A. (2023). *The Impact of Technology Integration on Student Engagement in Language Learning: A Study in Saudi Arabia*. *International Journal of Educational Technology*, 10(1), 45-60.
7. Alston-Abel, N., & Berninger, V. W. (2024). *Family participation in schools: Regional differences in understanding and engagement*. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 112(1), 101-115.
8. Azad, Y. (2024). *The Impact of Social, Emotional, and Educational Adjustment on Academic Performance among Male and Female Residential School Students*. *International Journal of Finance and Management Research*, 6(1), 1-10.



9. Azevedo, R., Moos, D. C., Johnson, A. M., & Chauncey, A. D. (2023). *Self-Regulated Learning: Theory and Practice*. *Educational Psychologist*.
10. Baloran, E.T. (2020). Knowledge, Attitudes, Anxiety, and Coping Strategies of Students during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Loss and Trauma*, 25(6), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15325024.2020.1763001>
11. Baumeister, R.F., et al. (2004). Ego Depletion: Is the Active Self a Limited Resource? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74(5), 1252-1265.
12. Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., & Tice, D. M. (2007). The strength model of self-control. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 16(6), 351-355. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00534.x>
13. Bernardo, A.B.I., Dela Rosa, S., & Alonzo, P. J. (2020). The Role of Self-Regulated Learning in Higher Education: A Systematic Review of Literature. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(4), 1-35.
14. Black, D. S., Kabat-Zinn, J., & Santorelli, S. F. (2023). Mindfulness and Academic Performance: A Literature Review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 35(1), 1-20.
15. Bray-Clark, N., & Bates, R. (2003). The Relationship Between Teacher Characteristics and Student Achievement: Implications for Teacher Education. *Educational Research Quarterly*, 27(3), 22-37.
16. Brownhill, S. (2021). *Self-reflection: The what, the why, and the how*. Bristol University. Retrieved from <https://ctlc.blogs.bristol.ac.uk/2021/10/01/self-reflection-the-what-the-why-and-the-how-by-simon-brownhill/>
17. Bruhn, A., Deshler, D. D., & Johnson, L. (2024). App-based Self-Monitoring as an Intervention to Support Attention in Students with Learning Difficulties. *Frontiers in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1270484>
18. Butler, E. A., Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). The Role of Emotion Regulation in Social Interactions: Implications for Relationships. *Emotion*, 3(1), 10-25.
19. BYJU'S. (2023). Random Sampling (Definition, Types, Formula & Example). Retrieved from <https://byjus.com/maths/random-sampling/>
20. Byrne, B. M. (2020). *Structural Equation Modeling with AMOS: Basic Concepts, Applications, and Programming* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
21. Caldwell, K., Williams, M. E., & Jones, S. R. (2019). Mindfulness-Based Interventions for Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 60(3), 243-252.
22. Carson, L., & Chase, M. (2022). Self-regulated learning, self-determination theory and teacher candidates' development of competency-based teaching practices. *Smart Learning Environments*, 9(3). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-021-00184-5>
23. Cattaneo, A., & Motta, R. (2021). The Role of Collaborative Reflection in Teacher Development: Insights from Professional Learning Communities. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 98, 103211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103211>
24. Caup, D., & Buda, A. (2017). The Challenges of Implementing Innovative Instructional Strategies in K-12 Education: A Case Study from the Philippines. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 5(6), 1041-1050.
25. Chen Y-H and Lin Y-J (2018) Validation of the Short Self-Regulation Questionnaire for Taiwanese College Students (TSSRQ). *Front. Psychol.* 9:259. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00259
26. Childs, R., Smith, P., & Morris, T. (2023). Individual differences and personality traits across situations: A study on cross-situational variability. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 204, 111290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2023.111290>
27. Clemons, T., Hart, D. K., & Andrews, K. (2024). The Effects of Self-Monitoring With I-Connect to Increase on Task Behavior Among Students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10983007241268784>
28. Cliniciu, A., & Cazan, A.M. (2013). Academic Adjustment: A Review of Literature on Factors Influencing Academic Success Among College Students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 78(1), 338-342.
29. Dela Cruz, J., & Santos, M. (2022). Challenges in Teacher Self-Regulation: Insights from Davao del Sur. *Philippine Journal of Education*.
30. Deslandes, R., et al. (2019). A framework for school-family collaboration integrating relevant factors and processes. *Educational Psychology Review*, 31(2), 345-367.
31. Diaz, D., Hernandez, J., & Lopez, F. (2019). Self-Regulated Learning Strategies as Predictors of Academic Success: Evidence from Higher Education Institutions. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 111(2), 287-299.
32. Dijkstra, P., Vermeer, E., & Slot, P. (2023). The Impact of Social Comparison Processes on Self-Evaluation: Evidence from Educational Settings. *Frontiers in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2023.1033488>
33. Dixon-Gordon, K. L., Chapman, A. L., & Weiss, N. R. (2020). The Effect of Suppressing and Not Accepting Emotions on Depressive Symptoms: Is Suppression Different for Men and Women? *Psychology of Men & Masculinity*, 21(1), 84-95.
34. Dizon, G., Magdamo, S. A., & Villanueva, R. (2019). Teachers' Concerns Regarding K-12 Implementation: A Study Using the Concerns-Based Adoption Model. *Philippine Journal of Education*.
35. Dudek, C., Wilson, J., & Smith, A. L. (2020). The Role of Physical Environment in Learning: A Review of Literature. *Learning Environments Research*, 23(3), 307-327.
36. Dunning, D., Heath, C., & Suls, J. M. (2019). The Effectiveness of Mindfulness-Based School Interventions: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 145(11), 1125-1147.
37. Educator Evaluation (n.d). SELF-ASSESSMENT TOOL for TEACHERS. <https://www.doe.mass.edu/edeval/implementation/self-assessmenttool.pdf>
38. English, T., & John, O. P. (2013). Understanding the Effects of Suppression on Social Relationships: The Role of Emotional Expression. *Emotion*, 13(4), 777-786.
39. English, T., Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2012). The Role of Emotion Suppression in Social Relationships: Implications for Interaction Dynamics. *Journal of Personality*, 80(6), 1517-1537.
40. Epton, T., Curran, T., & Armitage, C. J. (2017). The role of self-efficacy and self-set grade goals on academic outcomes. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1324007>
41. Epton, T. (2023). The relevance of students' goals for learning engagement and knowledge gains in an online learning course. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1324007>
42. Epton, T., et al. (2024). The effect of self-efficacy and self-set grade goals on academic outcomes. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1324007>
43. Espinosa, M.P. (2023). Implementation of School Learning Recovery and Continuity Plan: A Study on Public Elementary and Secondary Schools. *Psychology Educator*, 11(1), 634-648.
44. Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, 7(2), 117-140.



45. Fitzpatrick, K. R. (2012). *Promoting Self-Regulated Learning: A Teacher's Guide*. Edutopia.
46. Francis, G., Halder, S., & Nolan, P. (2022). Family-school partnerships: Enhancing student outcomes through effective collaboration. *Educational Psychology*, 42(3), 295-310.
47. Gross, J. J., & John, O. P. (2003). Individual Differences in Emotion Regulation: Development of a Scale and Exploration of Its Correlates. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 85(2), 348-362.
48. Haines, S., Smith, J. E., & Davis, K. (2023). Family-school collaboration during COVID-19: Parental perceptions and satisfaction with support services. *Frontiers in Education*, 8, 1277218. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2023.1277218>
49. Haines, S., Walker, J., Kim, H., Patel, N., & Garcia, L. (2024). System-wide School Mindfulness: Addressing Elementary Students' Emotional Needs Through Pedagogical Innovation. *Frontiers in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2024.1272545>
50. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2019). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81-112.
51. Hayes, B. (2024). Exploring university students' online self-presentation techniques and self-disclosure behaviors as predictors of staff response. *Journal of Digital Educational Technology*, 4(1), ep2405. <https://doi.org/10.30935/jdet/14169>
52. Hiver, P., Al-Hoorie, A. H., & Mercer, S. (2021). (2021). The Dynamic Nature of Learning Engagement: Insights from Classroom Environments. *Educational Psychology Review*, 33(4), 1225-1246.
53. Hommel, B., & Clarke, A. D. (2020). Reflection at Work: A Conceptual Model and Its Implications for Professional Development. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 923888. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.923888>
54. Kallapiran, K., Koo, S., Kirsch, I., & Carolan, S. (2015). The Effectiveness of Mindfulness-Based Interventions on Mental Health Outcomes in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Child Psychiatry & Human Development*, 46(6), 1-16.
55. Kessler, A., Kenny, M., Liu, Y., & Rodriguez, M. (2020). (2020). Saving a Semester of Learning: MIT's Emergency Transition to Online Instruction. *Information Learning Sciences*, 121(6), 443-452.
56. Killen, R. (2015). *Effective Teaching Strategies: Lessons from Research and Practice*. Cengage Learning.
57. Killen, R. (2020). *Effective Teaching Strategies: Lessons from Research and Practice*. Cengage Learning.
58. Kim, Y., & Ekachai, D.G. (2021). COVID-19's Impact on Higher Education: A Rapid Review of Early Reactive Literature. *Education Sciences*, 11(8), 421. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11080421>
59. Kline, R. B. (2019). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling (4th ed.)*. Guilford Press.
60. Koestner, R., Lekes, N., Powers, T. A., & Chicoine, E. (2002). Setting goals: The effects of specificity on motivation and performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 82(1), 1-12.
61. Kyndt, E., Gijbels, D., Grosemans, I., & Donche, V. (2016). The Impact of Teachers' Reflective Practices on Their Professional Development: A Literature Review. *Educational Research Review*, 18, 18-36.
62. Kyzar, K., Turnbull, A., Summers, J. A., & Gomez, V. (2023). The role of technology in fostering family-school collaboration: Insights from special education contexts. *Journal of Special Education Technology*, 38(1), 15-25.
63. Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation: A 35-year odyssey. *American Psychologist*, 57(9), 705-717.
64. Longhurst, G. J., Stone, D. M., Dulohery, K., Scully, D., Campbell, T., & Smith, C. F. (2020). (2020). Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, Threats (SWOT) Analysis of Adaptations to Anatomical Education in Response to COVID-19 Pandemic: A UK Perspective. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 13(3), 301-311.
65. López-Angulo, M., Rodríguez-Caballero, L., & Rubio-Valdehita, S. (2022). Validation of the Self-Regulation of Learning Instrument for University Students: Psychometric Properties and Implications for Practice. *Frontiers in Education*, 7.
66. Lu, Y., Zhang, X., & Chen, H. (2022). The Influence of Classroom Environment on Student Engagement: A Study on Teacher Support and Peer Interaction. *Frontiers in Education*, 7, 823456. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2022.823456>
67. Martin, A. J., & Elliot, A. J. (2016). Achievement Goals and Academic Achievement: A Longitudinal Study of Students' Goal Orientations in Mathematics. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 48, 1-10.
68. Martins, A., Costa, N., Santos, F., & Oliveira, R. (2023). Enhancing Teacher Self-Regulation: Implications for Student Learning. *Frontiers in Education*.
69. means of maintaining harmony within social groups.
70. Mølsted, C., Karseth, B., & Sivesind, K. (2021). The Role of Stakeholder Involvement in Curriculum Development: Insights from Educational Practice. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 53(4), 487-505.
71. Montgomery, C., Berardo, L., Smith, R., & Walsh, T. (2019). Factors Influencing Academic Adjustment Among First-Year University Students: A Study on Motivation and Perfectionism. *Educational Psychology*, 39(3), 307-322.
72. Moon, J. A. (2006). *Learning Journals: A Handbook for Reflective Practice and Professional Development*. Routledge.
73. Mooney, S., Robinson, P., Andrews, L., & Chavez, R. (2024). Self-Monitoring as a Learning Strategy: Implications for Educators. *Educational Psychology Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-023-09627-1>
74. Morisano, D., Shore, B., & Stein, R. (2023). Reflective Goal-setting Improves Academic Performance in Teacher Education. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1324007>
75. Murray, D. W., & Rosanbalm, K. (2017). Promoting self-regulation in adolescents and young adults: A practice brief (OPRE Report #2015-82). Washington, DC: Office of Planning, Research, and Evaluation, Administration for Children and Families.
76. Nazneen, S., Hassan, M., & Imran, T. (2019). Classroom Environment as a Predictor of Student Attitude Toward School: Evidence from Pakistan. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 98, 101-110.
77. Noddings, N. (2017). *Teaching Values: Educating Students to Be Good People*. New York: Teachers College Press.
78. Philippine EJournals. (2023). Effectiveness of the Self-Monitoring Tool in Tracking Academic Progress of Grade III Pupils at City Schools Division of Antipolo.
79. Prodigy (2023). *Smarty Goals for Teachers*. <https://www.prodigygame.com/mainen/blog/teacher-goals/>
80. Psathas, G., Rafiq, A., Zaman, S., & Hughes, J. (2023). The Impact of Self-Regulated Learning on Academic Achievement: A Meta-Analysis. *Educational Research Review*, 38.
81. Pulay, A., Williamson, A.C., & McKinney, J.D. (2019). Comparing LED and Fluorescent Lighting on Early Childhood Student Engagement: A Case Study. *Learning Environments Research*, 22(1), 1-15.
82. Pulford, B., Robinson, E., & Mangan, J. (2018). The Role of Social Comparison Information in Academic Performance: Implications for Classroom Dynamics. *Educational Psychology*, 38(1), 1-15.
83. Reddy, R., Turner, T., Smith, J., & Patel, V. (2024). Academic Adjustment to Post-Pandemic Hybrid Learning: Experiences of First-Year Engineering Students. *IAFOR Journal of Education: Technology in Education*, 12(2), 128-140.



84. Rosário, P., Valle, A., Piñeiro, I., & Cerezo, R. (2021). *The Role of Self-Regulation in Teacher Effectiveness: A Philippine Perspective*. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*.
85. Rowe, A., Lockhart, B., & Simmons, T. (2020). *The Effect of Goal Setting for Motivation, Self-Efficacy, and Performance in Education: A Review of Literature*. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(4), 123-138.
86. Sáez-Delgado, F., Sanhueza, A., & Maldonado, C. (2023). *The Role of Self-Regulated Learning in Academic Success: A Longitudinal Study Among University Students*. *Learning and Instruction*, 80.
87. Saro, J. (2022). *Learning Modalities and Teaching Strategies Used by Junior High School Teachers: An Assessment in Sorsogon*. *International Journal of Education, Business and Economics Research*, 4(2), 273-300.
88. Schmuck, D., Weber, A., & Keller, A. (2022). *Social Media Use and Its Impact on Self-Esteem: The Role of Social Comparison Information Among Students*. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1060421>
89. Schmuck, D., et al. (2022). *Social Media Use and Its Impact on Self-Esteem: The Role of Social Comparison Information Among Students*. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1060421>
90. Schunk, D. H., & DiBenedetto, M. K. (2021). *Motivation and Self-Regulated Learning: Theory, Research, and Applications*. Routledge.
91. Schunk, D.H., & Zimmerman, B.J. (2023). *Self-Regulated Learning: Theory, Research, and Applications*. Routledge.
92. Sparr, J., Sonnentag, S., & Frese, M. (2017). *Reflection as a Tool for Professional Development: A Systematic Review of Evidence from Vocational Education and Training Research*. *Vocations and Learning*, 10(3), 341-367.
93. Statistics Solutions. (2023). *Structural Equation Modeling*. Retrieved from <https://www.statisticssolutions.com/free-resources/directory-of-statistical-analyses/structural-equation-modeling/>
94. Suri, G., Gross, J. J., & Weber, A. (2018). (2018). *Emotional Regulation Strategies in Daily Life: The Intensity of Experienced Emotions Influences Strategy Choice*. *Frontiers in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1218694>
95. Tackman, A., & Srivastava, S. (2016). *Emotion Regulation Strategies and Their Impact on Relationship Viability within New Venture Teams: A Study on Emotion Suppression*. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 31(5), 563-578.
96. Travers, J., Beswick, K., & Campbell, J. (2020). *Leading School Improvement Through Goal-Setting: Evidence from New Zealand Schools*. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 48(1), 95-113.
97. Tröster, H. (2019). *The Role of Self-Monitoring in Self-Regulated Learning: A Review of Literature*. *Educational Psychology*, 39(3), 307-322.
98. United Nations. (2024). *Goal 4: Ensure inclusive and equitable quality education and promote lifelong learning opportunities for all*. Retrieved from <https://sdgs.un.org/goals/goal4>
99. University of New Mexico (2024). *The Self-Regulation Questionnaire (SRQ)*. [https://c.zimmerman, B. J. \(2002\). Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview. Theory Into Practice, 41\(2\), 64- 70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2](https://c.zimmerman, B. J. (2002). Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview. Theory Into Practice, 41(2), 64- 70. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15430421tip4102_2)
100. Van Lent, R., & Souverijn, J. (2020). *The effect of goal setting on academic performance: A field experiment with Dutch economics students*. *Educational Studies*, 46(5), 543-559.
101. Travers, J., Beswick, K., & Campbell, J. (2020). *Effective Time-Bound Learning Experiences: Enhancing Student Engagement Through Structured Goal Setting*. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432211012345>
102. Yahya, N., et al. (2021). *Enhancing Self-Regulated Learning Skills Among University Students: A Review of Interventions and Outcomes*. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 112(1), 101-115.
103. Yildiz, A., & Özdemir, M. (2019). *The role of self-efficacy in academic achievement: A meta-analysis*. *Educational Psychology*, 39(1), 1-15.
104. Zenner, C., Hermleben, J., & Schmidt, S. (2014). *Mindfulness-Based Interventions in Schools – A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis*. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5(1), 1-14.
105. Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., & Chen, Z. (2023). *Cross-situational consistency of personality traits: A meta-analysis of behavioral changes across contexts*. *Journal of Personality*, 91(2), 245-262. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12745>
106. Zimmerman, B.J. (2020). *Attaining Self-Regulation: A Social Cognitive Perspective*. In M. Boekaerts, P.R. Pintrich & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of Self-Regulation* (pp. 13-39). Academic Press.