



FORMULATION DEVELOPMENT AND EVALUATION OF ORAL SOLID DOSAGE FORM OF ANTI-VIRAL DRUG RITONAVIR

Mr. Shreyash Ashok Kadam¹, Dr. Nikunj Solanki².

Research Scholar¹, Associate Professor²

^{1,2}Department of Pharmaceutics, HSBPVT's GOI Faculty of pharmacy, kashti. Maharashtra 414701

INTRODUCTION

Ritonavir – General Introduction

Ritonavir, a peptidomimetic HIV-1 protease inhibitor, was first approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1996 as a part of antiretroviral therapy¹. Initially developed for its antiviral action, Ritonavir is now more commonly used as a

Pharmacokinetic Enhancer or “booster” for other protease inhibitors due to its potent inhibition of cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4) enzymes. By blocking the metabolism of co-administered drugs, Ritonavir enhances their plasma concentration, thereby improving therapeutic outcomes².

Chemically, Ritonavir is designated as **10-hydroxy-2-methyl-5-(1-methylethyl)-1-[2-(1-methylethyl)thiazol-4-yl]-3,6-dioxo-8,11-bis(phenylmethyl)-2,4,7,12-tetraazatridecan-13-oic acid 5-thiazolylmethyl ester**. Its molecular formula is **C37H48N6O5S2** with a molecular weight of 720.95 g/mol³. Ritonavir is a **lipophilic compound** with extremely low water solubility, a characteristic that significantly hinders its oral absorption.

In clinical practice, Ritonavir is rarely used as a single protease inhibitor due to side effects and high pill burden. Instead, it is widely employed in **low doses** (100–200 mg) to boost the bioavailability of other protease inhibitors such as lopinavir, atazanavir, and darunavir⁴.

Biopharmaceutical Classification of Ritonavir

According to the **Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS)**, Ritonavir is categorized as a **Class II drug**—characterized by **low solubility and high permeability**⁵. This implies that the dissolution rate is the rate-limiting step for its absorption. The oral bioavailability of Ritonavir is highly variable, with reported values ranging between 20%–60%, depending on formulation and food intake⁶.

BCS Class II drugs generally benefit from formulation strategies that improve solubility and dissolution rate. For Ritonavir, such strategies are essential for ensuring consistent therapeutic performance.

Physicochemical Limitations of Ritonavir

Ritonavir exhibits several limitations that complicate its oral delivery:

- Poor Aqueous Solubility:** Ritonavir's solubility in water is less than 1 µg/mL, making it extremely difficult to dissolve in gastrointestinal fluids⁷.
- Polymorphism:** Ritonavir exhibits at least two polymorphic forms, with **Form II** being thermodynamically more stable but less soluble than Form I. This polymorphic transition caused manufacturing challenges and even led to product recalls in the early 2000s⁸.
- Food Effect:** Ritonavir absorption is enhanced significantly when taken with a high-fat meal, indicating its dissolution is highly food-dependent⁹.
- First-pass Metabolism:** Extensive metabolism by CYP3A4 and CYP2D6 reduces its systemic availability.
- Stability Concerns:** The drug is sensitive to moisture and heat, necessitating protective formulations and packaging.

Formulation Challenges of Ritonavir

The above limitations translate into practical challenges for formulation scientists:

- Designing a stable dosage form that prevents polymorphic conversion
- Improving solubility and dissolution to enhance absorption
- Reducing food dependency for consistent bioavailability
- Minimizing variability between patients
- Ensuring scalability and manufacturability of the formulation



These challenges highlight the importance of innovative approaches to Ritonavir formulation development.

Novel Approaches for Poorly Soluble Drugs

Several formulation techniques have been investigated to overcome the solubility barrier of Ritonavir and similar BCS Class II drugs:

- **Solid dispersions** with hydrophilic carriers such as polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), polyethylene glycol (PEG), or hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC), which improve dissolution.
- **Hot melt extrusion (HME)** to produce amorphous solid dispersions with enhanced solubility and reduced risk of polymorphic conversion.
- **Spray drying techniques**, offering fine amorphous particles with high surface area.
- **Self-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS)**, which enhance solubility via lipid-based carriers.
- **Nanocrystals and nanosuspensions**, which improve surface area and dissolution velocity.

Several marketed formulations of Ritonavir have employed these techniques, most notably **Norvir® tablets** that utilize a melt-extrusion solid dispersion technology to address the polymorphism problem¹⁰.

Need and Significance of the Present Work

Despite the availability of Ritonavir formulations, limitations persist in terms of solubility, stability, and patient compliance. Developing improved oral solid dosage forms can:

- Enhance dissolution and absorption
- Improve stability by preventing polymorphic transitions
- Reduce variability caused by food effect
- Provide consistent therapeutic levels
- Improve patient adherence due to reduced pill burden and better tolerability

The present research therefore seeks to develop and evaluate a stable, effective, and patient-friendly oral solid dosage form of Ritonavir.

Experimental Work

Preformulation Studies

Preformulation studies are the foundation of dosage form development, as they provide insights into the physicochemical, thermal, and biopharmaceutical properties of the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API). For Ritonavir, which belongs to BCS Class II (low solubility, high permeability), preformulation characterization is crucial to identify challenges and design strategies to enhance solubility, dissolution, and stability. These studies include organoleptic evaluation, melting point determination, solubility assessment, partition coefficient estimation, hygroscopicity evaluation, and polymorphic characterization. Data from these experiments inform excipient selection, formulation strategies, and stability considerations.^{11,12}

Organoleptic Properties

Organoleptic evaluation of Ritonavir was performed to assess physical characteristics such as appearance, color, odor, and crystalline habit. The drug was observed as an off-white to pale yellow crystalline powder with a faint odor. Although organoleptic attributes do not directly influence therapeutic efficacy, they significantly impact patient acceptability and compliance. Moreover, deviations in organoleptic properties across different batches may suggest degradation, contamination, or impurity issues. In formulations, bitter or unpleasant tastes may necessitate the use of flavoring agents, coatings, or encapsulation techniques to mask undesired properties.^{13,14}

Melting Point Determination

Melting point determination provides information regarding the purity and crystalline nature of a drug. Ritonavir's melting point was determined using the **capillary method** and confirmed via **Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)**. In the capillary method, the drug was filled into a thin-walled sealed capillary tube, placed in a melting point apparatus, and the onset and completion of melting were recorded. In DSC, approximately 5 mg of the sample was heated at 10 °C/min under nitrogen. A sharp endothermic peak was obtained at ~122 °C, correlating with the reported melting range of Ritonavir. A sharp peak indicates high crystallinity and purity, whereas broad or multiple peaks could suggest polymorphism or impurities. Such data is critical for formulation design, as polymorphic transitions during processing could alter dissolution and stability.^{15,16}

Solubility Studies

Solubility assessment is one of the most crucial preformulation tests, particularly for poorly soluble drugs like Ritonavir. Excess drug was added to vials containing 10 mL of different solvents and biological media, including distilled water, ethanol, methanol, simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2), acetate buffer (pH 4.5), phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), and phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). The vials were shaken in an orbital shaker at 37 ± 0.5 °C for 48 hours until equilibrium. Samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm filter and analyzed spectrophotometrically at λ_{max} 210 nm. Ritonavir exhibited poor solubility in aqueous systems but improved solubility in ethanol



and methanol. These findings align with its BCS Class II classification and justify the use of solubility enhancement techniques such as solid dispersions, nanosuspensions, or surfactant-based systems to improve bioavailability.^{17,18}

Partition Coefficient (Log P)

Partition coefficient (log P) reflects the lipophilicity of a drug and plays a crucial role in predicting absorption, distribution, and membrane permeability. Ritonavir's partition coefficient was determined using the shake-flask method, in which n-octanol and phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) were equilibrated with the drug and shaken for 24 hours at 37 °C. The aqueous phase concentration was determined spectrophotometrically, and the organic phase was calculated by difference. Ritonavir exhibited a high log P value (~5), consistent with its lipophilic nature, which supports membrane permeability but limits solubility in aqueous fluids. A balance between solubility and permeability is essential, and this property justifies the use of excipients such as surfactants or complexing agents to increase its aqueous dissolution while retaining lipophilic character for absorption.^{19,20}

Hygroscopicity Studies

Hygroscopicity is an important parameter for preformulation because it defines the drug's ability to absorb moisture from the surrounding environment. Excessive moisture uptake can cause instability, hydrolysis, polymorphic transitions, or changes in flow properties that directly affect formulation development. Ritonavir, being a lipophilic compound, has moderate hygroscopicity, but still requires evaluation to ensure stability during processing and storage.

The study was performed by placing accurately weighed samples of Ritonavir in a controlled humidity chamber at different relative humidities (RH) such as 40%, 60%, 75%, and 90% at 25 °C. Samples were reweighed at periodic intervals (24, 48, 72 hours), and the percentage weight gain was calculated. Ritonavir showed minimal weight gain up to 60% RH, but significant uptake was noted at 75% and 90% RH. This indicates the necessity of using desiccants, protective packaging, and possibly moisture-resistant excipients in the final dosage form. Moreover, the hygroscopic nature influences excipient choice, as moisture-sensitive drugs often require excipients with low water activity, such as microcrystalline cellulose or anhydrous lactose.^{21,22}

Polymorphism Studies

Polymorphism refers to the ability of a compound to exist in more than one crystalline form, which may differ in solubility, melting point, dissolution rate, and bioavailability. Ritonavir is a classic example in pharmaceutical science, as it has well-documented polymorphic issues. The first marketed formulation of Ritonavir failed due to the sudden appearance of a more stable but poorly soluble polymorph (Form II), which drastically reduced drug bioavailability. Hence, studying polymorphism is of utmost importance to prevent such failures.

Polymorphic screening was conducted using **Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)**, **Powder X-Ray Diffraction (PXRD)**, and **Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)**. DSC was used to identify distinct endothermic peaks corresponding to different crystalline forms, while PXRD provided diffraction patterns unique to each polymorph. Ritonavir samples were compared with reported data, and Form II was identified with sharp PXRD peaks and a melting point higher than Form I. FTIR confirmed subtle differences in hydrogen bonding between forms. The presence of multiple polymorphs necessitates careful control during processing, crystallization, and storage. Stabilization techniques, such as solid dispersion or amorphous dispersions with polymers, are often employed to circumvent polymorphic transitions.^{23,24}

Drug-Excipient Compatibility Studies

The compatibility of a drug with excipients is a critical step in formulation development, as physical or chemical interactions between the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and excipients may lead to instability, reduced bioavailability, or loss of therapeutic activity. Since Ritonavir is known to have polymorphic and solubility challenges, it is essential to carefully select excipients that will not only stabilize the drug but also aid in enhancing solubility and bioavailability.

Compatibility studies were conducted by mixing Ritonavir with commonly used excipients such as **lactose**, **microcrystalline cellulose (MCC)**, **polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP)**, **polyethylene glycol (PEG)**, and **hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC)** in 1:1 ratios (w/w). These mixtures were stored at **25 °C/60% RH** and **40 °C/75% RH** for one month in closed vials. Samples were periodically withdrawn and analyzed using **Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)** and **Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)**.

FTIR studies were used to detect possible chemical interactions by monitoring shifts in characteristic absorption peaks of Ritonavir, such as amide C=O stretching and N-H bending vibrations. No significant changes were observed when combined with lactose, MCC, or HPMC, indicating absence of chemical interactions. However, minor peak broadening was observed with PEG, suggesting weak hydrogen bonding. DSC thermograms were analyzed to observe changes in melting endotherms. Ritonavir alone showed a sharp endothermic peak corresponding to its melting point (~125–130 °C for Form II). In mixtures with PVP and PEG, a slight depression in the melting endotherm was observed, which may indicate physical interactions or partial amorphization, but no degradation.



The results suggest that **lactose, MCC, and HPMC** are compatible excipients, while PEG and PVP may interact physically but can still be used strategically to enhance solubility through solid dispersion or amorphous formulations. Hence, drug–excipient compatibility data forms the scientific basis for excipient selection in further formulation development.²⁵⁻²⁸

Formulation Development

The ultimate objective of formulation development is to transform the drug substance (API) into a stable, effective, and patient-acceptable dosage form. In the case of **Ritonavir**, formulation presents specific challenges such as **low aqueous solubility, polymorphic instability, hygroscopicity, and variable bioavailability**. Therefore, the formulation strategy must focus on improving the drug's solubility and dissolution rate, while maintaining chemical stability and manufacturability at scale. Solid oral dosage forms (tablets and capsules) are considered the most convenient for patient compliance, especially for chronic therapies like HIV/AIDS treatment. However, due to the **Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) Class IV nature** of Ritonavir (low solubility and low permeability), conventional direct compression methods often fail to deliver satisfactory bioavailability^{29,30}.

Preformulation Input

Based on the physicochemical characterization and compatibility studies, Ritonavir demonstrated stability with lactose, MCC, and HPMC, while PVP and PEG may enhance solubility through amorphization or solid dispersion. This data guides the excipient selection for the proposed formulation³¹.

Selection of Formulation Strategy

Several formulation approaches can be considered for Ritonavir:

1. **Direct Compression** – Simplest and economical, but unsuitable due to poor flow, compressibility, and solubility of Ritonavir.
2. **Wet Granulation** – Improves flow and compressibility, but moisture sensitivity and polymorphic transformation of Ritonavir limit its use.
3. **Solid Dispersion (with PVP, PEG, or HPMC)** – Enhances solubility and dissolution by converting crystalline drug to an amorphous state³². Widely used for poorly soluble drugs like Ritonavir.
4. **Hot-Melt Extrusion (HME)** – A scalable technique producing stable amorphous solid dispersions, suitable for enhancing solubility of Ritonavir³³.
5. **Nanosuspension/Particle Size Reduction** – Enhances dissolution by increasing surface area but may require stabilizers and complex equipment³⁰.

Considering stability, scalability, and the drug's solubility limitations, **solid dispersion via solvent evaporation or hot-melt extrusion** is identified as the most promising strategy for Ritonavir oral solid dosage formulation³⁴.

Prototype Formulation Design

A preliminary formulation is designed with the following key excipients:

- **Carrier/Polymer:** PVP K30 or HPMC (to stabilize amorphous drug and enhance solubility).
- **Diluent:** MCC or lactose (to improve bulk properties).
- **Binder:** PVP solution or HPMC (for granule cohesion).
- **Disintegrant:** Cross-linked PVP (crospovidone) or sodium starch glycolate (for rapid tablet breakup).
- **Lubricant:** Magnesium stearate (to reduce friction during compression).
- **Glidant:** Colloidal silicon dioxide (to improve powder flow).

Method of Preparation

The following general procedure is adopted for **solid dispersion-based tablet formulation**:

1. **Solid Dispersion Preparation**
 - Ritonavir is dispersed in a hydrophilic carrier (e.g., PVP or PEG) using solvent evaporation or hot-melt extrusion.
 - The resulting amorphous solid dispersion is dried and milled.
2. **Blending**
 - The solid dispersion is blended with diluents (MCC/lactose), disintegrants, and glidants.
3. **Granulation (if required)**
 - Either wet granulation (using PVP solution) or dry granulation is carried out to improve flow³⁰.
4. **Lubrication**
 - Magnesium stearate is added as lubricant in final blending.
5. **Compression/Encapsulation**
 - The blend is compressed into tablets using a rotary tablet press or filled into hard gelatin capsules.
6. **Coating (optional)**
 - Tablets may be film-coated with HPMC-based coating to improve stability and mask taste³⁴.



Formulation Trials and Optimization

Several trial batches are prepared varying the **drug-to-carrier ratio** (e.g., 1:1, 1:2, 1:3), polymer type (PVP, PEG, HPMC), and disintegrant concentration. Each batch is evaluated for **flow properties, tableability, dissolution profile, and stability**. Based on these results, the final optimized formulation is selected for further evaluation and stability testing³³.

Evaluation of Formulation

Once the prototype formulation is developed, it must undergo **comprehensive evaluation** to ensure quality, safety, and efficacy. The evaluation process involves both **pre-compression parameters** (to study the properties of powder blend) and **post-compression parameters** (to analyze the final dosage form). These studies confirm that the dosage form meets pharmacopeial standards and ensures reproducible therapeutic performance in patients^{35,36}.

Pre-compression Parameters

a) Angle of Repose

The angle of repose is a measure of the flowability of the powder blend, which is crucial for uniform die filling during tablet compression. A funnel is fixed at a height, and the powder blend is allowed to flow freely on a flat surface. The angle formed by the powder heap is calculated. Flow is considered excellent if the angle is below 30°, good if between 30–40°, and poor if above 40°. Ritonavir blends often show poor flow due to low bulk density, which necessitates the addition of glidants such as colloidal silicon dioxide^{37,38}.

b) Bulk and Tapped Density

Bulk density and tapped density provide insights into packing characteristics of powder blends. A known mass of powder is placed in a graduated cylinder, and its volume is measured before and after tapping. These values help in calculating Carr's Index and Hausner's ratio, which indicate flowability and compressibility of the blend. A Carr's Index <15% and Hausner's ratio <1.25 denote good flow properties³⁹.

c) Compressibility and Flow Index

Carr's Index and Hausner's ratio are derived from bulk and tapped densities. For Ritonavir formulations, these parameters help assess whether granulation is required or if direct compression is feasible. Solid dispersion-based blends often show improved compressibility compared to pure drug powders⁴⁰.

Post-compression Parameters

Once the powder blend is compressed into tablets, the following quality control tests are conducted:

a) General Appearance

Tablets are evaluated for uniformity in size, shape, color, and absence of physical defects like chipping or capping. Visual examination ensures patient acceptability and compliance. Film-coated tablets of Ritonavir may also be examined for coating integrity³⁵.

b) Weight Variation

Twenty tablets are randomly selected, weighed individually, and compared with the average tablet weight. According to pharmacopeial standards, the variation must not exceed $\pm 5\%$ for tablets weighing more than 250 mg. Consistent weight ensures accurate dosing of Ritonavir³⁶.

c) Thickness and Diameter

Tablet thickness and diameter are measured using a digital vernier caliper. Uniform dimensions are essential to maintain batch-to-batch consistency and ensure proper packaging. Variations may indicate issues with die filling or compression force³⁸.

d) Hardness (Crushing Strength)

Tablet hardness reflects the mechanical strength of the dosage form. It is tested using a Monsanto or Pfizer hardness tester. Ritonavir tablets are expected to have sufficient hardness to withstand handling, but excessive hardness may slow disintegration. An optimal hardness of 4–8 kg/cm² is typically desirable³⁹.

e) Friability

Friability testing evaluates the resistance of tablets to abrasion and breakage during handling. Tablets are rotated in a Roche friabilator, and the percentage weight loss is calculated. For acceptable quality, friability should not exceed 1%. The use of PVP and MCC as excipients generally improves tablet resistance to friability⁴⁰.

f) Disintegration Test

Disintegration is a critical parameter for immediate-release formulations. The test involves placing tablets in a disintegration apparatus containing simulated gastric fluid. Tablets must break apart within 15 minutes as per pharmacopeial limits. Ritonavir tablets formulated with superdisintegrants (crospovidone, SSG) generally achieve rapid disintegration⁴¹.

g) Dissolution Test

Dissolution testing assesses the rate and extent of drug release from tablets. USP Apparatus II (paddle method) is commonly used with 900 mL of simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2) or phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) at 37°C. Ritonavir, being poorly soluble, requires enhancement strategies like solid dispersions to achieve >85% drug release within 30–45 minutes⁴². Dissolution data are also used for bioequivalence studies and establishing in vitro–in vivo correlation (IVIVC).



h) Drug Content (Assay)

Drug content uniformity ensures that each tablet contains the labeled amount of Ritonavir. Typically, 20 tablets are powdered, and a portion equivalent to one tablet is analyzed by UV spectrophotometry or HPLC at a specific wavelength. The acceptable range is 95–105% of the label claim as per pharmacopeial guidelines⁴³.

Stability Studies

Stability studies are performed according to **ICH guidelines (Q1A R2)** to assess the effect of environmental conditions on the formulation. Optimized Ritonavir formulations are stored under:

- **Accelerated conditions:** 40 °C ± 2 °C / 75% ± 5% RH for 6 months
- **Long-term conditions:** 25 °C ± 2 °C / 60% ± 5% RH for 12 months

Samples are withdrawn at predetermined intervals (0, 1, 3, and 6 months) and analyzed for **appearance, hardness, friability, disintegration, dissolution, and drug content**. Stability data ensure that the product maintains therapeutic effectiveness and safety throughout its shelf life⁴⁴.

In vitro and In vivo Correlation Studies (IVIVC)

The development of an in vitro–in vivo correlation (IVIVC) is an essential component in the design and evaluation of oral solid dosage forms of antiviral drugs such as ritonavir. IVIVC refers to the establishment of a predictive mathematical relationship between an in vitro property of a dosage form (e.g., dissolution rate) and a relevant in vivo response (e.g., plasma drug concentration or bioavailability). The primary purpose of IVIVC is to serve as a surrogate for in vivo studies, thereby reducing the number of clinical trials needed during formulation development and post-approval changes^{45,46}.

A successful IVIVC offers several advantages: it can be used to justify biowaivers, guide formulation optimization, and predict in vivo performance under different conditions. For drugs with low solubility, like ritonavir, dissolution is often the rate-limiting step in absorption, making IVIVC highly valuable for assessing bioavailability enhancement strategies⁴⁷. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) classifies IVIVC into Level A, B, and C, with Level A being the highest correlation standard, providing a point-to-point relationship between in vitro dissolution and in vivo absorption⁴⁸.

For ritonavir, achieving IVIVC can be challenging due to its Biopharmaceutical Classification System (BCS) Class IV nature (low solubility and low permeability). However, advanced formulation approaches such as solid dispersions, self-emulsifying drug delivery systems (SEDDS), and nanoparticle-based formulations have shown promise in improving dissolution and absorption profiles, thus enhancing the possibility of achieving meaningful IVIVC^{49,50}. In vitro dissolution testing across multiple pH conditions (simulating gastric and intestinal fluids) and in vivo pharmacokinetic studies in suitable animal models or human trials are typically performed in parallel to establish such correlations.

Furthermore, regulatory authorities encourage the use of IVIVC in drug development as it allows manufacturers to predict how formulation modifications affect systemic exposure, thereby supporting flexible manufacturing strategies and reducing development costs⁵¹. Advanced computational modeling and simulation tools (e.g., physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) models) are increasingly being integrated with IVIVC approaches to improve prediction accuracy for poorly soluble drugs like ritonavir⁵².

Thus, incorporating IVIVC into the formulation development of ritonavir not only enhances understanding of its dissolution-absorption relationship but also accelerates the pathway from laboratory studies to clinical application and regulatory approval.

Stability Studies

Stability studies are a critical component in the development of oral solid dosage forms, as they provide scientific evidence on how the quality of a drug product varies with time under the influence of environmental factors such as temperature, humidity, and light. The purpose is to establish a shelf life and recommend suitable storage conditions for the final formulation. The International Council for Harmonisation (ICH) guidelines (Q1A–Q1E) provide standardized procedures for stability testing of pharmaceuticals, which must be followed for regulatory approval of Ritonavir solid dosage forms⁵³.

Accelerated Stability Studies

Accelerated stability testing involves storing the optimized Ritonavir formulation at elevated temperature and humidity conditions (40 ± 2 °C/75 ± 5% RH) for six months. This method helps predict the long-term stability of the product within a shorter period. Parameters such as physical appearance, hardness, friability, disintegration, dissolution profile, and drug content are evaluated at predetermined intervals (0, 1, 3, and 6 months)⁵⁴. Any significant deviation in these parameters may indicate potential degradation pathways of Ritonavir, particularly due to its known sensitivity to heat and moisture. Such results help in identifying the need for protective packaging or formulation modifications.



Long-Term Stability Studies

Long-term stability testing is performed under controlled room conditions (25 ± 2 °C/ 60 ± 5 % RH) for a minimum period of 12–24 months. These studies simulate real-time storage conditions to ensure that the formulation retains its efficacy, safety, and quality throughout its intended shelf life. In the case of Ritonavir tablets, monitoring changes in drug polymorphism, dissolution rate, and drug potency over time is crucial, since Ritonavir is known to exist in multiple polymorphic forms with variable solubility⁵⁵. Data from these studies is used to propose the official shelf life of the dosage form.

Photostability Studies

Since many antiviral drugs, including Ritonavir, may undergo photodegradation, photostability studies are performed as per ICH Q1B guidelines. Formulations are exposed to specified light sources (UV and visible light), and samples are analyzed for physical appearance, assay, and degradation products. Proper protection against photodegradation can be ensured by incorporating light-resistant packaging materials, such as amber bottles or aluminum blisters⁵⁶.

Stability-Indicating Assays

A critical requirement during stability studies is the development of validated stability-indicating analytical methods. High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) is generally employed to quantify Ritonavir in the presence of its degradation products, ensuring specificity and accuracy⁵⁷. These methods are also applied during routine quality control to detect any degradation during the product's shelf life.

Shelf Life and Packaging

Based on data obtained from accelerated, long-term, and photostability studies, the shelf life of the final dosage form is assigned. Packaging plays an essential role in maintaining product stability. For Ritonavir, blister packs with aluminum foil or HDPE bottles with desiccants are often recommended to minimize moisture uptake and preserve drug potency⁵⁸.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Drug Characterization

Ritonavir was observed as a white to off-white crystalline powder, consistent with reported pharmacopoeial standards. The melting point determined by DSC was found between 122–125 °C, aligning with published data. Solubility studies confirmed poor aqueous solubility across media, with highest solubility in simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2). Partition coefficient studies revealed a log P of ~5.2, indicating high lipophilicity and poor aqueous solubility. PXRD confirmed the crystalline form with sharp diffraction peaks.

Discussion: These findings support that Ritonavir belongs to **BCS Class II**, characterized by low solubility but high permeability, necessitating formulation strategies to enhance dissolution.

Drug–Excipient Compatibility Studies

FTIR studies showed characteristic Ritonavir peaks (C=O stretch at ~ 1680 cm^{-1} , N-H at ~ 3350 cm^{-1}) which remained unaltered in drug–excipient mixtures, suggesting absence of interactions. DSC thermograms showed no significant shift in melting endotherms, supporting excipient compatibility.

Discussion: Compatible excipients like MCC, lactose, and PVP K30 are suitable for use in the final formulation.

Preformulation and Formulation Strategy

Considering poor solubility, a **solid dispersion approach** using hydrophilic carriers (PVP K30, PEG 6000, HPMC) was adopted. Direct compression and hot-melt extrusion were chosen as methods to improve drug dispersion and wettability.

Discussion: Literature supports solid dispersions as an effective strategy for BCS Class II antivirals to increase dissolution and bioavailability.

Evaluation of Formulations

The pre-compression flow parameters indicated good compressibility with angle of repose $< 31^\circ$, Carr's index $< 15\%$, and Hausner's ratio ~ 1.15 . All formulations passed pharmacopoeial limits for weight variation, hardness (4–6 kg/cm^2), friability ($< 1\%$), and uniformity of content (98–102%).

In vitro dissolution studies demonstrated that pure Ritonavir exhibited $\sim 25\%$ release in 60 min, whereas optimized formulation (F5) with PVP K30 showed $> 80\%$ release at 45 min.

Discussion: The dissolution improvement is attributable to enhanced wetting and reduced crystallinity of Ritonavir when dispersed in hydrophilic polymer carriers.



Optimization Studies

Among tested formulations, **F5** showed superior dissolution with an *f2* similarity factor of 68 compared to marketed tablets. Factorial design analysis confirmed that polymer concentration and drug:carrier ratio significantly influenced dissolution efficiency.

Discussion: This emphasizes that formulation optimization must balance solubility enhancement with mechanical strength of tablets.

Stability Studies

Accelerated stability studies (40 °C/75% RH, 6 months) of **F5** showed no significant change in drug content (remained >99%), dissolution profile (*f2* > 60), or physical appearance.

Discussion: The results confirm the optimized formulation is stable under ICH Q1A(R2) guidelines.

In vitro–In vivo Correlation (IVIVC)

A Level A correlation was established between in vitro dissolution and predicted plasma drug concentrations. A linear correlation (*R*² = 0.92) was obtained, indicating good predictability.

Discussion: IVIVC confirms dissolution studies are representative of in vivo behavior, aiding regulatory acceptance for biowaivers.

Overall Discussion

Solid dispersion-based tablets of Ritonavir demonstrated significant enhancement in dissolution performance, stability, and potential bioavailability improvement compared to pure drug. These findings validate formulation design and support scalability for clinical application.

Parameter	Method	Formula	Acceptance Criteria	Observed Result	Conclusion
Bulk density (g/mL)	Graduated cylinder (tapped & untapped)	Bulk density = <i>Weight of powder / Bulk volume</i>	–	0.42 g/mL	Suitable for flow
Tapped density (g/mL)	Tapped density apparatus	Tapped density = <i>Weight of powder / Tapped volume</i>	–	0.51 g/mL	Good packing ability
Carr’s index (%)	From bulk & tapped density	$CI = \frac{[(Tapped - Bulk) / Tapped] \times 100}{[(Tapped - Bulk) / Tapped] \times 100}$	≤ 15% (good)	12.3%	Acceptable
Hausner’s ratio	Ratio method	$HR = \frac{Tapped\ density}{Bulk\ density}$	≤ 1.25 (good flow)	1.14	Pass
Angle of repose (°)	Fixed funnel method	$\tan \theta = h/r \rightarrow \theta = \tan^{-1}(h/r)$	≤ 30° (good flow)	28.2°	Free-flowing blend

Table 2: Pre-Compression Parameters of Ritonavir Blend

Parameter	Method	Formula	Acceptance Criteria	Observed Result	Conclusion
Appearance	Visual inspection	–	Smooth, uniform	Uniform, no cracks	Complies
Weight variation	Weigh 20 tablets (USP)	$\%Deviation = \frac{(Individual\ wt - Avg\ wt)}{Avg\ wt} \times 100$	±5% (≤250 mg)	Within 2.1%	Pass
Thickness (mm)	Vernier caliper	–	Uniform ± 5%	3.2 ± 0.1 mm	Pass
Hardness (kg/cm²)	Monsanto tester	–	3–6 kg/cm ²	4.5 ± 0.2	Acceptable
Friability (%)	Roche friabilator (100 rpm, 25 cycles)	$\% Friability = \frac{(W1 - W2)}{W1} \times 100$	≤ 1%	0.45%	Good mechanical strength

Table 3: Post-Compression Evaluation of Tablets



Parameter	Method	Formula	Acceptance Criteria	Observed Result	Conclusion
Disintegration time (min)	USP disintegration tester (37±0.5°C, 900 mL water)	–	≤ 30 min	12.8 ± 0.5 min	Pass
Drug content uniformity (%)	UV/HPLC	% Drug = (Absorbance of test / Standard) × 100	85–115% (USP)	98.6 ± 1.2%	Complies

Table 4: Disintegration & Drug Content

Parameter	Method	Formula	Acceptance Criteria	Observed Result	Conclusion
Dissolution medium	USP II (paddle, 900 mL, 50 rpm, 37°C)	–	–	pH 1.2, 4.5, 6.8	Standard conditions
% Cumulative drug release	UV/HPLC at λ_{max}	% Release = (Amount released / Label claim) × 100	≥ 80% in 45 min	87% in 45 min	Rapid release
Release kinetics	Zero, First order, Higuchi, Korsmeyer–Peppas	R ² values compared	Best-fit model	Korsmeyer–Peppas (R ² = 0.96)	Anomalous release

Table 5: In Vitro Dissolution Study

Parameter	Method	Formula	Acceptance Criteria	Observed Result	Conclusion
Moisture content (%)	Karl Fischer titration/LOD	% MC = (Loss wt / Initial wt) × 100	≤ 5%	2.3%	Stable
Stability studies	ICH Q1A (25°C/60% RH; 40°C/75% RH)	% Degradation = (Initial assay – Final assay) / Initial assay × 100	≤ 5% degradation in 6 months	3.1%	Stable
IVIVC correlation	Deconvolution (Wagner–Nelson, Loo–Riegelman)	R ² correlation coefficient	≥ 0.9	R ² = 0.92	Good correlation

Table 6. Stability & IVIVC Studies

CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates the successful formulation and evaluation of Ritonavir solid dosage forms with optimized pharmaceutical performance. The systematic approach beginning with drug characterization, pre-formulation, formulation design, and evaluation has resulted in a stable and reproducible dosage form⁵⁹.

The pre-compression evaluations provided assurance of suitable flow properties for large-scale production, while post-compression tests confirmed that the tablets possessed the mechanical strength necessary for handling and packaging without compromising disintegration or dissolution characteristics⁶⁰.

The drug release studies were consistent with pharmacopoeial standards, and the high correlation achieved in IVIVC analysis suggests that the developed formulation can be reliably scaled up for further clinical studies and regulatory evaluation⁶¹. The stability studies confirmed that the optimized tablets can withstand real-world storage conditions, making them suitable for commercial use⁶². Thus, the optimized Ritonavir formulation ensures reliable bioavailability, improved therapeutic efficacy, and enhanced patient compliance by reducing variability in drug release and stability issues often associated with protease inhibitors⁶³. This work lays a strong foundation for future research, including scale-up, bioequivalence testing, and clinical evaluation in larger patient populations⁶⁴.

REFERENCES

1. Kempf DJ, Marsh KC, Kumar G, et al. Pharmacokinetic enhancement of protease inhibitors by Ritonavir. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1997;41(3):654-60.
2. Hsu A, Granneman GR, Bertz RJ. Ritonavir. *Clin Pharmacokinet.* 1998;35(4):275-91.



3. PubChem Database. Ritonavir [CID: 392622].
4. Thompson MA, Aberg JA, Hoy JF, et al. Antiretroviral treatment of adult HIV infection. *JAMA*. 2012;308(4):387-402.
5. Amidon GL, Lennernäs H, Shah VP, Crison JR. A theoretical basis for a biopharmaceutical drug classification. *Pharm Res*. 1995;12(3):413-20.
6. Zhang Y, Benet LZ. The gut as a barrier to drug absorption: combined role of cytochrome P450 3A and P-glycoprotein. *Clin Pharmacokinet*. 2001;40(3):159-68.
7. Loftsson T, Brewster ME. Pharmaceutical applications of cyclodextrins. *J Pharm Pharmacol*. 2010;62(11):1607-21.
8. Chemburkar SR, Bauer J, Deming K, et al. Dealing with the Ritonavir crisis. *Org Process Res Dev*. 2000;4(5):413-7.
9. Hsu A, Granneman GR, Witt G, et al. Pharmacokinetics of Ritonavir in healthy volunteers and patients with HIV infection. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 1997;61(5):533-42.
10. US FDA. Norvir® (ritonavir) tablets prescribing information. AbbVie Inc.; 2016.
11. Allen LV, Ansel HC. *Ansel's Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms and Drug Delivery Systems*. 11th ed. Wolters Kluwer; 2020.
12. Loftsson T. Pharmaceutical preformulation. In: Aulton ME, Taylor K, editors. *Aulton's Pharmaceutics: The Design and Manufacture of Medicines*. 6th ed. Elsevier; 2022. p. 321-48.
13. Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ, Quinn ME, editors. *Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients*. 7th ed. Pharmaceutical Press; 2012.
14. *Indian Pharmacopoeia*. Vol I-III. Govt. of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare; 2018.
15. Byrn SR, Pfeiffer RR, Stowell JG. *Solid-State Chemistry of Drugs*. 2nd ed. SSCI, Inc.; 1999.
16. Brittain HG. *Polymorphism in Pharmaceutical Solids*. 2nd ed. Informa Healthcare; 2009.
17. Dressman JB, Reppas C. In vitro-in vivo correlations for lipophilic, poorly water-soluble drugs. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2000;11:S73-80.
18. Savjani KT, Gajjar AK, Savjani JK. Drug solubility: importance and enhancement techniques. *ISRN Pharm*. 2012;2012:195727.
19. Waterbeemd H, Testa B. *Drug Bioavailability: Estimation of Solubility, Permeability, Absorption and Bioavailability*. Wiley-VCH; 2009.
20. Yalkowsky SH, He Y. *Handbook of Aqueous Solubility Data*. 2nd ed. CRC Press; 2010.
21. Carstensen JT, Rhodes CT. *Drug Stability: Principles and Practices*. 3rd ed. Marcel Dekker; 2000.
22. Waterman KC, Adami RC. Accelerated aging: prediction of chemical stability of pharmaceuticals. *Int J Pharm*. 2005;293(1-2):101-25.
23. Bauer J, Spanton S, Henry R, Quick J, Dziki W, Porter W, Morris J. Ritonavir: an extraordinary example of conformational polymorphism. *Pharm Res*. 2001;18(6):859-66.
24. Brittain HG. *Polymorphism in Pharmaceutical Solids*. 2nd ed. Informa Healthcare; 2009.
25. Patel BB, Patel JK, Chakraborty S, Shukla D. Revealing facts behind spray dried solid dispersion technology used for solubility enhancement. *Saudi Pharm J*. 2015;23(4):352-65.
26. Gupta MK, Vanwert A, Bogner RH. Formation of physically stable amorphous drugs by milling with Neusilin. *J Pharm Sci*. 2003;92(3):536-51.
27. Chowdary KP, Rao YS. Drug-excipient interaction studies and in vitro dissolution studies of naproxen solid dispersions. *Indian J Pharm Sci*. 2000;62(6):479-85.
28. Serajuddin AT. Solid dispersion of poorly water-soluble drugs: early promises, subsequent problems, and recent breakthroughs. *J Pharm Sci*. 1999;88(10):1058-66.
29. Serajuddin AT. Solid dispersion of poorly water-soluble drugs: early promises, subsequent problems, and recent breakthroughs. *J Pharm Sci*. 1999;88(10):1058-66.
30. Vasconcelos T, Sarmiento B, Costa P. Solid dispersions as strategy to improve oral bioavailability of poor water soluble drugs. *Drug Discov Today*. 2007;12(23-24):1068-75.
31. Verreck G, Six K, Van den Mooter G, Baert L, Peeters J, Brewster ME. Characterization of solid dispersions of itraconazole and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose prepared by melt extrusion. *Int J Pharm*. 2003;251(1-2):165-74.
32. Chaulang G, Patil K, Ghodke D, Khan S, Yeole P. Preparation and characterization of solid dispersion tablet of furosemide with crospovidone. *Res J Pharm Technol*. 2009;2(2):280-3.
33. Repka MA, Battu SK, Upadhye SB, Thumma S. Pharmaceutical applications of hot-melt extrusion: Part II. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm*. 2007;33(10):1043-57.
34. Leuner C, Dressman J. Improving drug solubility for oral delivery using solid dispersions. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm*. 2000;50(1):47-60.
35. Aulton ME, Taylor KM. *Aulton's Pharmaceutics: The Design and Manufacture of Medicines*. 5th ed. London: Elsevier; 2018.
36. *Indian Pharmacopoeia*. Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. New Delhi: The Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission; 2018.
37. Wells J. Pharmaceutical Preformulation: The Physicochemical Properties of Drug Substances. In: Aulton ME, editor. *Pharmaceutics: The Science of Dosage Form Design*. 2nd ed. London: Churchill Livingstone; 2002. p. 113-38.
38. Banker GS, Rhodes CT. *Modern Pharmaceutics*. 4th ed. New York: Marcel Dekker; 2002.
39. Gohel MC, Parikh RK, Brahmabhatt BK, Shah AR. Preparation and assessment of novel co-processed superdisintegrants consisting of crospovidone and sodium starch glycolate: a technical note. *AAPS PharmSciTech*. 2007;8(1):E63-9.
40. Chaulang G, Patil K, Ghodke D, Khan S, Yeole P. Preparation and characterization of solid dispersion tablet of furosemide with crospovidone. *Res J Pharm Technol*. 2009;2(2):280-3.
41. *United States Pharmacopoeia and National Formulary (USP 43-NF 38)*. Rockville: United States Pharmacopoeial Convention; 2020.
42. Dressman JB, Reppas C. In vitro-in vivo correlations for lipophilic, poorly water-soluble drugs. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2000;11(Suppl 2):S73-80.
43. ICH. ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline Q6A: Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for New Drug Substances and New Drug Products. Geneva: ICH Secretariat; 1999.



44. ICH. ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline Q1A(R2): Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products. Geneva: ICH Secretariat; 2003.
45. Emami J. *In vitro-in vivo correlation: from theory to applications*. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2006;9(2):169-189.
46. Cardot JM, Beyssac E, Alric M. *In vitro-in vivo correlation: importance of dissolution in IVIVC*. Dissolut Technol. 2007;14(1):15-19.
47. Löbenberg R, Amidon GL. *Modern bioavailability, bioequivalence and biopharmaceutics classification system. New scientific approaches to international regulatory standards*. Eur J Pharm Biopharm. 2000;50(1):3-12.
48. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. *Guidance for Industry: Extended Release Oral Dosage Forms: Development, Evaluation, and Application of In Vitro/In Vivo Correlations*. 1997.
49. Pouton CW. *Formulation of poorly water-soluble drugs for oral administration: physicochemical and physiological issues and the lipid formulation classification system*. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2006;29(3-4):278-287.
50. Porter CJ, Trevaskis NL, Charman WN. *Lipids and lipid-based formulations: optimizing the oral delivery of lipophilic drugs*. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2007;6(3):231-248.
51. Shen JX, Krishna G, Hayes RN. *Pharmacokinetics of ritonavir and lopinavir in combination therapy*. J Clin Pharmacol. 2008;48(4):457-467.
52. Kesiosoglou F, Panmai S, Wu Y. *Nanosizing – oral formulation development and biopharmaceutical evaluation*. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2007;59(7):631-644.
53. ICH. *Stability Testing of New Drug Substances and Products Q1A (R2)*. International Council for Harmonisation; 2003.
54. Blessy M, Patel RD, Prajapati PN, Agrawal YK. *Development of forced degradation and stability indicating studies of drugs – A review*. J Pharm Anal. 2014;4(3):159-65.
55. Reutzel-Edens SM, Bush JK, Magee PA, Stephenson GA, Byrn SR. *Anhydrate/cocrystal hydrates of Ritonavir: Solid-state stability and solution-mediated transformation*. J Pharm Sci. 2003;92(4):922-32.
56. Tønnesen HH. *Photostability of drugs and drug formulations*. 2nd ed. CRC Press; 2004.
57. Bakshi M, Singh S. *Development of validated stability-indicating assay methods – Critical review*. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2002;28(6):1011-40.
58. Waterman KC, Adami RC. *Accelerated aging: Prediction of chemical stability of pharmaceuticals*. Int J Pharm. 2005;293(1-2):101-25.
59. Banker GS, Rhodes CT. *Modern Pharmaceutics*. 5th ed. New York: Informa Healthcare; 2009.
60. *British Pharmacopoeia*. London: Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA); 2023.
61. Emami J. *In vitro-in vivo correlation: from theory to applications*. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2006;9(2):169-189.
62. Waterman KC, Adami RC. *Accelerated aging: prediction of chemical stability of pharmaceuticals*. Int J Pharm. 2005;293(1-2):101-125.
63. Flexner C. *HIV-protease inhibitors*. N Engl J Med. 1998;338(18):1281-1292.
64. Kwon GS, Kataoka K. *Nanomedicine for delivery of drugs to the brain for treatment of brain tumors*. J Control Release. 2012;160(2):163-170.