



INSTITUTIONALIZING THE PHILIPPINE CORRECTIONS ACADEMY: A STRATEGIC ANALYSIS

Jonathan Lofamia Tolentino

Graduate School, Philippine College of Criminology (PCCR) 641 Sales St, Quiapo, Manila, 1001 Metro Manila

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra24652>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra24652

ABSTRACT

This study examines the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA) as a strategic initiative to professionalize the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor) through standardized and competency-based training. While the Corrections National Training Institute has provided certain programs, the absence of a centralized academy has created inconsistencies in officer preparedness, which in turn contribute to chronic problems such as overcrowding, lapses in security, and ineffective rehabilitation efforts. Guided by the principles of Organizational Learning, Adult Learning, Transformational Leadership, and Ethical Decision-Making theories, the research employed a qualitative-descriptive and exploratory design to assess the feasibility and strategic value of the PCA and its alignment with both local correctional needs and international standards. Data were gathered through document reviews, key informant interviews, and surveys with BuCor personnel, enabling the identification of institutional gaps, internal strengths, and external opportunities and threats that shape the implementation of the proposed academy. From these findings, the study developed a strategic framework that specifies actionable measures such as policy development, stakeholder engagement, curriculum structuring, and monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to ensure sustainability and adaptability. The results underscore the potential of the PCA to improve officer competence, enhance ethical and humane treatment of persons deprived of liberty (PDLs), and elevate the Philippine correctional system to global benchmarks. Ultimately, the PCA is positioned not merely as a training institution but as a transformative reform mechanism that will support long-term modernization of corrections, foster accountability, and strengthen the country's capacity to achieve a more professional and humane justice system.

KEYWORDS: *Philippine Corrections Academy, Bureau of Corrections, correctional officer training, institutional reform, strategic analysis*

INTRODUCTION

The Philippine correctional system is a key pillar of public safety, rehabilitation, and justice reform. Yet, it continues to grapple with persistent issues such as overcrowding, antiquated infrastructure, and limited personnel training, problems that compromise its overall effectiveness (International Committee of the Red Cross, 2020). Many facilities, including the New Bilibid Prison, still operate with decades-old structures that are poorly ventilated and prone to disrepair, making them unfit for humane living conditions (Commission on Human Rights, 2019). Corrections officers, who play a central role in maintaining security and facilitating rehabilitation, often receive fragmented training and face restricted professional development opportunities. For instance, most personnel undergo only basic orientation courses, with limited access to specialized training in areas such as crisis management, mental health awareness, or modern rehabilitation programs (Bureau of Corrections, 2021). This lack of standard

Although the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor) relies on the Corrections National Training Institute (CNTI) for officer training, the lack of a structured, academy-based system similar

to the Philippine Military Academy (PMA), the Philippine National Police Academy (PNPA), and the Philippine Public Safety Academy (PPSA) results in inconsistencies in training quality and standards. In contrast, the Bureau of Jail Management and Penology (BJMP) benefits from the National Jail Management and Penology Training Institute (NJMPTI), which provides specialized, consistent training for jail officers. The effectiveness of BJMP's model lies in its comprehensive curriculum that integrates law enforcement, penology, human rights, and rehabilitation approaches, equipping officers with both technical competence and ethical grounding (BJMP, 2022). BuCor's absence of a comparable institutional framework is partly due to limited budget prioritization and lower visibility in national policy discussions, despite the agency's crucial role in corrections. This hinders the development of a professional, competent, and ethical correctional workforce capable of meeting both national and international standards.

Recognizing this gap, the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA) has emerged as a strategic initiative aimed at professionalizing the correctional workforce through



competency-based education and training. Republic Act No. 10575, or the Bureau of Corrections Act of 2013, mandates the modernization and professionalization of correctional services. In alignment with this mandate, BuCor initiated efforts as early as 2021 by forming a BuCor Committee tasked with exploring the feasibility of establishing the PCA. These efforts have continued through 2024, with significant milestones including the issuance of Special Order No. 2024-0378. This order designates a Technical Working Group (TWG), chaired by ASSISTANT SECRETARY AL I PERRERAS, and tasks it with drafting the institutional framework, curriculum, and operational guidelines necessary for the creation of the PCA. Beyond planning, the TWG plays a pivotal role by consolidating expertise across BuCor offices, aligning training programs with international correctional standards, and ensuring that the PCA's curriculum addresses both security management and rehabilitation practices. Its members, from the Directorate for Personnel Education and Training Service (DPETS), the Corrections National Training Institute, the Directorate for Planning and Management (DPM), the Human Resource Division (HRD), and the Learning Development Division (LDD), bring specialized knowledge that allows the group to design a holistic and sustainable training model tailored to the unique demands of the corrections system.

This study conducts a strategic analysis of the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy by examining the historical context, key challenges, and strategic opportunities associated with its establishment. It draws from the progress made between 2021 and 2024 to assess the feasibility and long-term benefits of creating a centralized, structured training institution. By benchmarking best practices from comparable academies, the study will provide evidence-based insights into curriculum design, governance models, and capacity-building mechanisms that can guide the formulation of effective policies for the PCA. The study aims to inform policy decisions that will enhance corrections officer training, improve facility management, and support sustained correctional reform. Without a dedicated training academy, the Philippine correctional system remains vulnerable to persistent inefficiencies and security lapses, making the PCA a crucial step toward meaningful and lasting change.

Literature Review

Correctional training academies worldwide play a vital role in the professional development of officers, equipping them with the skills necessary to handle challenging environments. These models offer valuable benchmarks that the Philippine correctional system can draw from, especially in addressing local challenges such as overcrowded facilities, under-resourced environments, and the complex needs of Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDLs). However, adapting these global models to the Philippine context requires careful consideration due to systemic constraints such as bureaucratic red tape, inconsistent budget allocations, politicized leadership transitions, and fragmented inter-agency coordination

(Alvarez, 2020). For example, within the Bureau of Corrections, frequent changes in leadership tied to political appointments have repeatedly disrupted continuity in training initiatives; pilot programs for officer upskilling have often been deprioritized or replaced with new agendas whenever a new director or secret

For instance, the United States' National Institute of Corrections (NIC) provides training focused on crisis management and reformation skills, essential in high-risk settings (Ngyuen, 2022). While such programs could enhance the professionalism of Filipino officers, their implementation in the Philippines faces challenges. The limited budget for training, compounded by institutional resistance to change—often evident in the slow adoption of new protocols, reluctance to revise outdated standard operating procedures, and hierarchical decision-making that discourages innovation—makes the large-scale adoption of such resource-intensive programs difficult. Furthermore, the financial strain of crisis management training could overwhelm local facilities, particularly in areas where overcrowding is a significant concern. Therefore, while this model could offer benefits, it requires adaptation to fit within the Philippine correctional system's current financial and operational realities.

In Canada, the Correctional Service Canada (CSC) Academy addresses mental health and substance abuse issues among PDLs (Livingston et al., 2020). This is especially relevant to the Philippines, where mental health challenges are prevalent among PDLs, exacerbated by overcrowding. While such specialized training could better prepare officers to handle these issues, the Philippine system faces two primary barriers: entrenched inefficiencies in its operations and a shortage of mental health professionals. These inefficiencies include delays in processing inmate health assessments, reliance on outdated paper-based records, and overlapping mandates between BuCor and partner agencies, which often result in fragmented service delivery. Addressing these inefficiencies will require streamlining bureaucratic procedures, digitizing inmate records for better case management, and strengthening inter-agency coordination between BuCor, the Department of Health, and local government units. Without supplementary resources, such training may have limited effectiveness in addressing the complex needs of PDLs.

The Netherlands' approach to standardizing training, which integrates theoretical knowledge with hands-on experience, allows officers to manage security concerns while maintaining humane treatment (Chen et al., 2021). For the Philippines, where training often lacks consistency, adopting such a model could significantly enhance operational effectiveness. However, the implementation would require significant policy reforms and resource allocation, both of which may face bureaucratic resistance. Critical reforms include the institutionalization of a national correctional training framework under a central



authority, budget earmarks for officer development, and mandated continuing education requirements. Resource allocation should prioritize the development of regional training centers, recruitment of qualified trainers, and provision of updated training materials and simulation equipment. The decentralized governance structure of the Philippines also complicates the establishment of uniform training standards,

Australia's integration of behavioral science into correctional training, as a means to better manage PDL behavior, also provides a potential framework for the Philippines (Maruna & Mann, 2023). However, the Philippine system currently lacks the data infrastructure necessary to sustain such evidence-based practices. Specifically, there is an absence of centralized databases tracking inmate behavior, rehabilitation outcomes, officer performance metrics, and incident reports across facilities. Additionally, there is limited capacity for data analysis, monitoring, and feedback loops to inform training adjustments. Without this robust system for data collection and continuous research, attempts to implement behavioral science could result in inconsistent outcomes. Consequently, while Australia's model holds promise, it is contingent upon the development of the necessary infrastructure and research capacity within the Philippine context.

New Zealand's culturally sensitive training, particularly its incorporation of Māori values, demonstrates the importance of understanding the cultural backgrounds of PDLs to improve officer interactions (Twilly, 2024). The Philippines, with its own diverse cultural landscape, could benefit from similar initiatives. For instance, a culturally relevant framework could draw from the "kapwa" value in Filipino psychology, which emphasizes shared identity and interconnectedness. Integrating kapwa into training could guide officers in fostering empathy and mutual respect when dealing with PDLs. However, the challenge lies in identifying frameworks that can be applied across the country's varied ethnic groups without oversimplification. The absence of a clear consensus on which cultural values to prioritize hinders training effectiveness by creating ambiguity in curriculum development, resulting in generalized or inconsistent training content that may not resonate with officers or PDLs. This lack of clarity can undermine efforts to promote cultural competency, reduce bias, and build trust between officers and inmates.

In the United Kingdom, continuous professional development ensures that officers remain responsive to emerging challenges in the correctional environment (Howard & Dixon, 2020). While this model is advantageous for addressing evolving needs, it faces challenges in the Philippine context. Limited institutional support and financial constraints could undermine the feasibility of ongoing training programs. Additionally, logistical challenges, such as understaffed facilities, lack of relief personnel to cover for officers attending training, and the absence of structured scheduling systems, limit officer participation in continuous

development programs. These conditions make it difficult to release officers from duty without compromising daily operations, thereby reducing the potential impact of this training approach.

Theoretical Framework of the Study

This study is primarily anchored on Organizational Learning Theory (Argyris & Schön, 1978), which underscores the necessity of continuous learning and adaptation within institutions. This theory is particularly relevant to the establishment of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA), as it highlights the importance of structured training programs in equipping correctional officers with the competencies required for effective prison management. Without institutionalized learning, officers may rely on outdated practices, such as overly punitive approaches, rigid hierarchies, or informal decision-making, that hinder rehabilitation, delay incident response, and reduce overall operational efficiency. By fostering a culture of continuous learning, the PCA can ensure that officers are well-prepared to handle security concerns, rehabilitation efforts, and ethical governance.

Supporting this framework, Adult Learning Theory (Knowles, 1984) reinforces the need for training programs tailored to the unique needs of correctional officers, recognizing that adult learners benefit from experiential, self-directed learning. Given the dynamic and high-risk nature of their work, PCA training must incorporate real-world scenarios, practical exercises, and problem-solving strategies to enhance officer preparedness. For example, officers could participate in simulated riot control exercises where they must apply conflict de-escalation, manage inmate movement, and make split-second ethical decisions under supervision. These scenarios not only simulate security and rehabilitation challenges but also present ethical dilemmas officers may face, such as bribery, use of force, or favoritism, thereby enhancing moral reasoning and decision-making under pressure. Additionally, Transformational Leadership Theory (Bass, 1985) highlights the role of leadership in fostering ethical behavior and accountability, which are crucial in correctional settings. By integrating leadership development into the PCA curriculum, officers can be trained not only in security and rehabilitation practices but also in managing teams effectively. Lastly, Ethical Decision-Making Theory (Rest, 1986) underscores the importance of moral reasoning, reinforcing the need for ethical training to ensure the humane treatment of persons deprived of liberty (PDLs). Together, these theories provide a comprehensive foundation for the institutionalization of the PCA, aligning professional development with systemic reform to strengthen the Philippine correctional system.

Significance of the Study

The institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA) will benefit several key stakeholders:



- **Corrections Officers** – The PCA will offer structured training programs, professional development, and ethical leadership education. This will equip corrections officers with the necessary skills to manage correctional facilities effectively, enhancing their competence and career growth.
 - **Bureau of Corrections (BuCor)** – The findings of this study will provide BuCor with a systematic approach to standardizing and professionalizing corrections officer training. By aligning with international best practices, BuCor can improve operational efficiency and facility management, leading to better security and rehabilitation efforts.
 - **Persons Deprived of Liberty (PDLs)** – A well-trained correctional workforce will ensure more humane treatment, improved reformation programs, and ethical facility management. This will contribute to the effective reintegration of PDLs into society and enhance their overall well-being within correctional institutions.
 - **The Philippine Justice System** – Strengthening corrections officer training will improve facility operations, reduce security breaches, and enhance rehabilitation efforts. This will support a more efficient and professional correctional system, positively impacting the broader justice system.
 - **Policymakers and Government Officials** – The study will provide evidence-based recommendations for legislative and administrative reforms in corrections management. The findings will help policymakers create policies that support sustainable correctional improvements and the institutionalization of the PCA.
 - **The Public** – A more professional correctional system will lead to reduced recidivism, enhanced public safety, and increased trust in the country's justice system. By ensuring that correctional facilities operate efficiently and ethically, the PCA will contribute to the overall security and stability of society.
 - **Community** – Effective rehabilitation and reintegration programs will help former PDLs become productive members of society, reducing crime rates and fostering social stability.
 - **Future Researchers and the Researcher** – This study will serve as a foundational reference for future research on correctional management and institutionalization strategies. Additionally, the researcher will gain academic and practical insights into strategic institutional development and policy implementation.
2. To identify the comprehensive internal and external data needed to fully understand the current position and surrounding environment of the PCA.
 3. To evaluate the key patterns and trends regarding the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats related to the PCA.
 4. To assess which opportunities and threats present the most significant strategic value, considering both their potential impact and the feasibility of addressing them.
 5. To formulate actionable strategies that will capitalize on prioritized opportunities and mitigate prioritized threats to ensure effective implementation.
 6. To establish mechanisms for monitoring progress, evaluating effectiveness, and adapting strategies as necessary.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employs a descriptive-exploratory qualitative design to examine the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA). The descriptive aspect documents the existing correctional training landscape, including current policies, structures, and professional development programs for corrections officers, while also drawing comparisons from structured, competency-based models such as those of the Philippine Military Academy (PMA) and the Philippine National Police Academy (PNPA) to highlight potential adaptations for corrections. This establishes a factual and contextual basis for evaluating the need for PCA. Complementing this, the exploratory aspect seeks new insights on the feasibility of the PCA by examining stakeholder perspectives, institutional requirements, and international best practices, acknowledging the limited local studies on this subject.

Research Method

This study uses a descriptive-exploratory qualitative approach to analyze the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA). The descriptive aspect examines existing structures, policies, and practices in the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor), such as onboarding, orientation, and on-the-job training, to establish a baseline understanding. The exploratory aspect complements this by uncovering opportunities and challenges through stakeholder perspectives and international best practices, producing forward-looking strategies for PCA's development. Data collection involved Key Informant Interviews (KII), Key Informant Surveys (KIS), and Document Analysis, with KIIs providing in-depth insights, KIS adding structured responses, and document reviews validating findings. Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were not conducted due to practical constraints. Integrating these sources ensured a comprehensive, context-specific analysis that informed evidence-based recommendations for a sustainable nationwide corrections training institution.

Objectives of the Study

This study aims to conduct a strategic analysis of the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA). Specifically, it seeks to achieve the following objectives:

1. To determine the specific scope and outcomes that the PCA aims to achieve.



Population of the Study

This study focuses on key stakeholders directly involved in the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA), specifically representatives from the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor). Initially, both BuCor and the Department of Justice (DOJ) were considered as potential participants due to their roles in correctional training and policy development. However, following the DOJ's official response, BuCor was identified as the primary and most appropriate informant for this study, given its direct authority and operational responsibility over correctional training and the PCA's establishment. Moreover, a purposive sampling technique will be used to select BuCor participants with substantial knowledge and experience in correctional training, institutional policy, and facility management. This method guarantees a comprehensive and in-depth analysis of the key requirements and challenges for establishing the PCA.

Data Gathering Tools

The primary data-gathering tool was a semi-structured interview guide designed to capture qualitative insights from key stakeholders on the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA). It included open-ended questions on feasibility, logistical needs, and strategic considerations, developed from a review of literature on correctional training and policy frameworks. To ensure validity, the instrument was reviewed by four experts in corrections, criminal justice, institutional development, and research, who rated it highly valid with only minor refinements required. A pilot interview with respondents experienced in correctional training further tested its clarity and effectiveness. This qualitative approach generated rich data beyond standardized surveys, with responses transcribed and thematically analyzed to identify patterns, themes, and strategic implications for establishing the PCA.

Data Gathering Procedures

The data-gathering process followed a structured and time-bound approach to ensure credible and comprehensive information. Approval from the Dean of the Graduate School was secured on April 9, 2025, followed by formal requests to the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor) and the Department of Justice (DOJ). Although the DOJ issued a transmittal on April 23, 2025, referring the matter to BuCor, no further engagement was received, and thus the DOJ was excluded from the study. BuCor granted approval on May 3, 2025, after which the Human Resource Division provided a list of potential informants. Using purposive sampling, seven out of ten targeted participants with expertise in correctional training, policy, and institutional development were successfully interviewed despite scheduling challenges. Semi-structured interviews, guided by a validated instrument, were conducted in person where possible, recorded with consent, and transcribed for thematic analysis to identify patterns, challenges, and strategic insights. These findings

informed a SWOT analysis of PCA's institutionalization, from which targeted recommendations were developed to strengthen training standards, enhance international collaboration, address budgetary and policy gaps, and counter resistance to reform. Finally, a preliminary implementation and monitoring framework was designed, outlining key performance indicators, curriculum compliance measures, and oversight mechanisms to ensure that the PCA remains sustainable, strategically aligned, and responsive to the goals of the Bureau of Corrections.

Treatment of Data

This qualitative study used content analysis to examine data from semi-structured interviews with key stakeholders on the institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA). Interview responses were transcribed, checked for accuracy, and coded to identify recurring patterns, which were then organized into themes reflecting PCA's development, challenges, and institutional role. Thematic analysis captured stakeholder perspectives on strategic considerations, opportunities, and policy implications, with findings compared against literature and policy frameworks for contextual grounding. Direct quotations were included to highlight insights and ensure authenticity. To establish trustworthiness, Lincoln and Guba's (1985) criteria were applied: credibility through member checking, dependability via audit trails, confirmability by grounding results in responses, transferability through contextual description, and authenticity by representing diverse views. This process ensured a rigorous analysis that informed meaningful recommendations.

Ethical Considerations

This study strictly adhered to ethical standards to protect participants' rights and well-being. Informed consent forms were used to ensure that respondents fully understood the study's objectives, the voluntary nature of their participation, the confidentiality of their responses, and their right to withdraw at any time without consequence. A validated interview guide was employed, reviewed by experts to ensure clarity, relevance, and appropriateness, and interviews were conducted in a respectful, non-intrusive manner. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained by removing identifying information from transcripts and securely storing all data. No vulnerable groups such as minors, senior citizens, women in vulnerable conditions, persons deprived of liberty (PDLs), or persons with disabilities (PWDs) were included, but all participants were treated with dignity, respect, and fairness. Ethical approval was obtained from relevant institutional review boards prior to data collection. Although participants ini-KI7) in the final manuscript. Validators, however, granted written consent to be identified for transparency and acknowledgment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Demographic Profile of Respondents

The demographic profile of the respondents is essential to this



study as it provides crucial context for interpreting their perspectives, experiences, and contributions to the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor). The diverse backgrounds of the participants, including retired military and police officers, long-serving administrators, and professionals with advanced degrees in criminology, public administration, and management, reflect a rich blend of leadership, operational, and institutional knowledge. Their varying lengths of service, ranging from recent appointments to nearly four decades of experience, offer both fresh insights and historically grounded perspectives on correctional practices and training needs. This diversity enhances the credibility and depth of the findings, as it ensures that the recommendations are informed by a well-rounded understanding of BuCor's internal dynamics, policy gaps, and capacity-building opportunities.

Scope and Outcomes of the PCA

The **scope and outcomes of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA)** emphasize the development of competent correctional officers as a cornerstone of institutional reform. Six out of seven informants highlighted the urgent need to professionalize the corrections workforce through structured, competency-based training. The absence of formal leadership preparation has resulted in inconsistent policy implementation, diminished staff morale, and unprepared officers assuming leadership roles. These findings align with Lambert et al. (2020), who emphasized the importance of leadership pipelines in boosting organizational performance. Similarly, Latessa and Lovins (2019) and Whitehead and Lindquist (2021) underscored that effective corrections work requires not only technical skills but also interpersonal and leadership competencies. PCA's scope, therefore, extends beyond training for routine tasks to cultivating future leaders who embody professionalism, accountability, and reform-oriented values.

Another outcome of PCA is its **alignment with global standards and best practices**, positioning the academy as a world-class correctional training institution. Four informants noted the importance of benchmarking Philippine corrections against international norms and regional counterparts. Gaps remain in human rights education, crisis management, and rehabilitation, where neighboring countries have already institutionalized training modules. According to UNODC (2021) and ICPA (2020), international collaboration and knowledge exchange enhance legitimacy and strengthen officer capacity. Coyle et al. (2016) likewise stressed that aligning with global standards improves reform outcomes and workforce mobility. By embedding international practices within its curriculum, PCA ensures that its graduates are equipped to respond not only to domestic demands but also to transnational challenges in criminal justice.

The **institutionalization and government support** of PCA represent a critical foundation for its long-term sustainability. Six respondents emphasized that without enabling legislation, consistent funding, and clear mandates, PCA risks being a mere concept rather than an operational academy. Dedicated budget lines, policy integration, and legal recognition are required to ensure the establishment of training facilities, recruitment of instructors, and development of standardized curricula. These findings are reinforced by Andrews et al. (2017), Caiden (2001), and Cheema and Rondinelli (2007), who argue that institutionalization through law and state-backed support is essential for legitimacy and operational success. By securing government commitment, PCA will not only gain the stability needed to function effectively but will also foster inter-agency collaboration and attract international partnerships. This outcome confirms that government support is not optional but a prerequisite for PCA to fulfill its role as a cornerstone of correctional reform in the Philippines.

Comprehensive Internal and External Data Needed to Understand PCA's Position and Environment

The analysis of internal data revealed significant **institutional training gaps and alignment issues** within the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor). Five informants stressed that current training programs are fragmented and not systematically linked to the agency's core mandate. Respondents described instances of redundancy in lectures, inconsistent delivery of the Master Education Training Program (METP), and outdated curricula still being used despite policy revisions. Such misalignments create confusion among recruits and limit professional growth opportunities. These findings support Alagaraja and Shuck (2015), who assert that training initiatives must align with organizational goals to deliver value, and echo Taxman et al. (2007), who observed that poorly aligned training reduces staff effectiveness and morale. James and Stanko (2018) likewise highlight the importance of responsive training systems that evolve with institutional policies. For PCA, this underscores the urgent need for evidence-based curriculum reviews, competency-based assessments, and regular audits to ensure that training outcomes strengthen officers' skills in accordance with BuCor's reform agenda.

The external data emphasized the PCA's dependence on **resource capacity and operational readiness** as prerequisites for its establishment. Six informants pointed out the critical role of personnel, infrastructure, and budget in determining institutional viability. While BuCor has initiated efforts to strengthen training through organizational restructuring, limitations in facilities and funding remain pressing concerns. Grindle and Hilderbrand (1995) and Andrews and Bonta (2010) highlight that public sector reforms require strong foundations in human and material resources, while the NIC (2015) stresses readiness assessments to guide sustainable implementation. For PCA, systematically



mapping available resources and identifying gaps will enable phased development, targeted partnerships, and realistic planning. By addressing resource constraints early, the academy increases its chances of long-term sustainability and effectiveness, avoiding the risks of underfunded or understaffed reform efforts.

Key Patterns and Trends in PCA’s Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats

The findings reveal that the PCA possesses notable **strengths and opportunities in training and professionalization** that provide a strong foundation for institutional growth. Existing programs already equip officers with custodial, legal, and fitness training, while emerging opportunities include international collaboration and career professionalization. Respondents emphasized that leveraging these internal strengths while integrating global standards will enable PCA to build a workforce that is both competent and future-ready. Van der Klink and Boon (2002) stress that institutional strengths must be paired with global competencies to sustain reforms, while Latessa et al. (2014) confirm that strong training foundations foster institutional stability and adaptability. Global partnerships, as emphasized by the International Corrections and Prisons Association (2020), further enhance innovation by facilitating knowledge exchange. By capitalizing on its current strengths and broadening training

opportunities through global linkages, PCA is well-positioned to accelerate professionalization, expand leadership development programs, and reinforce its role in advancing public safety and correctional excellence.

At the same time, respondents underscored **structural weaknesses and resource-based threats** that could undermine PCA’s long-term sustainability. Key concerns include chronic funding shortages, limited instructor availability, and the absence of standardized career pathways, which create inconsistencies in rank progression and negatively affect staff morale. These issues reflect broader institutional vulnerabilities, with Kettunen and Kallio (2019) noting that unclear career ladders weaken organizational trust, while Petersilia (2003) and Bryans (2007) argue that financial instability and public skepticism are persistent barriers to reform implementation. Without legislative guarantees for funding and public support, the PCA risks facing stalled momentum and diminished credibility. To counter these threats, PCA must secure dedicated resource allocation, implement structured career frameworks, and pursue awareness campaigns that highlight its societal importance. Addressing these weaknesses alongside its strengths ensures that PCA is not only operationally viable but also institutionally legitimate and resilient against external and internal challenges.

<p style="text-align: center;">Strengths</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Existence of core training in custodial procedures, legal knowledge, and fitness ▪ Qualified, dedicated, and competent personnel as an institutional asset ▪ Institutional support for training initiatives ▪ Track record of hosting international correctional events (e.g., ARCC) 	<p style="text-align: center;">Weaknesses</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lack of standardized career development and ladderized promotion pathways ▪ Chronic funding limitations hindering institutional development ▪ Limited availability of instructors, often linked to budget constraints ▪ Inconsistent rank assignments, potentially leading to morale and trust issues
<p style="text-align: center;">Opportunities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Professionalization of corrections as a long-term career path ▪ Building global partnerships to enhance training resources and knowledge-sharing ▪ Development of specialized leadership and advanced correctional programs ▪ Potential to enhance stakeholder engagement and public trust 	<p style="text-align: center;">Threats</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Public skepticism and unfavorable perception of the corrections sector ▪ Unstable and insufficient budget support, especially without legislative guarantees ▪ Inability to attract or retain skilled personnel due to poor incentives and career ambiguity ▪ Fragmented reform efforts if resource and structural gaps remain unaddressed

Figure 1 - SWOT Analysis

The SWOT analysis was derived from the thematic insights gathered through interviews with key informants, supported by relevant literature on institutional development, correctional education, and public sector reform. By systematically identifying internal strengths and weaknesses alongside external opportunities and threats, the analysis serves as a diagnostic tool

that highlights both capacity gaps and growth potential. This structured evaluation enables PCA to assess its current position more objectively and inform a forward-looking strategic roadmap.

Strengths identified through this process reveal that PCA has a foundational capacity to deliver essential training. The existence



of structured modules in custodial procedures, physical conditioning, and legal education provides a strong base for further curriculum expansion. Moreover, the institution's qualified personnel and its engagement in international correctional events, such as the Asian and Pacific Conference of Correctional Administrators (APCCA), indicate readiness for broader collaboration and visibility.

Weaknesses reflect structural and resource-based limitations that could impede PCA's operational efficiency. The absence of career development frameworks and standardized promotion pathways undermines motivation and hinders long-term retention. Similarly, funding constraints restrict the availability of trainers and contribute to inconsistencies in rank assignments, for instance, cases where personnel with longer tenure remain in junior positions while newly appointed staff are promoted more quickly, creating perceptions of unfairness and organizational distrust.

Opportunities suggest promising directions for institutional growth. Respondents emphasized that PCA can professionalize corrections work by offering leadership and specialized programs, such as a certification course on crisis intervention and hostage negotiation for correctional officers. Building partnerships with international agencies opens avenues for training enhancement, knowledge transfer, and external validation. In parallel, engagement with domestic stakeholders can promote legitimacy and improve the public image of the corrections system.

Threats, however, present critical risks. The lack of sustained funding and legislative support may result in PCA being perceived as an unfunded mandate rather than a viable institution. If not addressed, gaps in incentives, institutional fragmentation, and public skepticism could stall reform momentum and weaken stakeholder confidence.

Strategic Opportunities and Threats with Significant Impact on PCA

The most strategically valuable opportunities for the PCA center on **practical and collaborative approaches to training and reform**. Six respondents emphasized that training must extend beyond classroom lectures to include scenario-based exercises, field simulations, and structured mentorship, which directly prepare officers for the realities of correctional work. Codes also revealed the importance of internal collaboration within BuCor and external partnerships with organizations such as UNODC, ICRC, and ICPA to align goals, share resources, and adopt global best practices. These findings reflect Cheng's (2010) view that outcomes-based and collaborative training systems enhance institutional capacity, while Schwartz and O'Brien (2016) show that scenario-based methods improve officer resilience and adaptability. International models, as highlighted by UNODC

(2015), further demonstrate that cross-border collaboration accelerates institutional development. For PCA, prioritizing such opportunities can deliver early, visible wins—such as pilot programs on crisis intervention—that build credibility, secure political support, and lay the groundwork for broader reforms, including standardized officer certification.

On the other hand, respondents consistently identified **government support and resource constraints** as the most pressing threats to PCA's sustainability. All seven informants stressed that without firm legal backing, consistent budget allocations, and political prioritization, the academy risks being dismissed as an unfunded mandate. This perception could dampen morale, erode trust, and weaken both internal and external partnerships. Caiden (2001) and Chen and Gong (2019) argue that reforms without statutory legitimacy and fiscal stability often fail, while Fixsen et al. (2005) highlight that resistance emerges when stakeholders doubt an initiative's longevity. For PCA, mitigating these threats requires legislative action to secure its mandate and fiscal inclusion, alongside proactive engagement strategies that build trust and demonstrate value. Transparent planning and participatory dialogues with correctional officers, policymakers, and external stakeholders can foster shared ownership of reforms. By securing government commitment while strengthening stakeholder buy-in, PCA can reduce resistance, overcome inertia, and safeguard its long-term strategic role in correctional reform.

Actionable Strategies for PCA's Institutional Development

The findings highlight that **legislative and policy reforms are essential for PCA's long-term sustainability**. Five informants emphasized the need for a strong legal mandate, updated regulations, and policy alignment to secure the academy's authority and continuity. Without formal legislation, PCA risks being undermined by administrative turnover, budget fluctuations, and limited institutional reach. Embedding PCA within a clear legal framework ensures operational stability, consistent funding, and inter-agency coordination. This aligns with Cheema and Rondinelli (2007) and Harrison and Schehr (2004), who argue that public sector reforms require statutory legitimacy to withstand political and fiscal pressures. Comparative policy learning, such as benchmarking against the Philippine National Police Academy or international correctional academies, was also identified as critical for aligning PCA with global standards. Formalizing PCA through a charter law, supported by a governance board drawn from government, academe, and civil society, would provide the oversight and legitimacy needed to institutionalize reforms. Legislative and policy reinforcement therefore transforms PCA from a program into a national institution capable of leading correctional professionalization and influencing broader criminal justice reforms.



In parallel, respondents underscored **curriculum structuring and institutional partnerships as transformative strategies** for enhancing PCA's performance and relevance. Six out of seven informants stressed that curriculum modernization must go beyond rote, lecture-based learning and incorporate problem-based, scenario-driven approaches that build critical thinking and adaptability. This perspective echoes Toch and Adams (2002), who argue for interdisciplinary correctional education, and Altbach and Knight (2007), who emphasize international collaboration as a driver of academic relevance. Partnerships with local universities, NGOs, and global agencies such as UNODC and ICRC were viewed as strategic enablers that provide access to resources, expertise, and innovative pedagogies. Knepper (2008) also highlights the role of collaboration in building resilient correctional systems through shared knowledge and policy alignment.

Mechanisms for Monitoring, Evaluation, and Adaptive Strategies

The findings emphasized the importance of establishing **multi-layered monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems** to track PCA's effectiveness at individual, programmatic, and organizational levels. Four informants underscored the need for outcome-based indicators such as trainee performance, supervisory feedback, career progression, and institutional outcomes like leadership retention. This approach aligns with Hatry's (2014) three-tiered evaluation model and Rossi et al. (2019), who stress combining quantitative and qualitative data to provide a holistic picture of training impact. By applying multi-level KPIs, PCA can move beyond compliance monitoring toward strategic learning, ensuring that training remains relevant and impactful. Such a system not only supports accountability and transparency but also enables evidence-based decision-making, curriculum refinement, and stronger justifications for funding and partnerships. Ultimately, multi-layered M&E fosters continuous institutional learning while enhancing PCA's credibility as a professional training academy.

Alongside M&E, respondents highlighted the need for **continuous improvement through adaptive curriculum design**. Six informants emphasized the importance of keeping training responsive to evolving correctional needs and aligned with global best practices. Adaptive frameworks, supported by Kolb and Kolb's (2005) experiential learning cycle, ensure that training incorporates reflection, experimentation, and real-world application, while ICPA (2021) stresses that correctional education must evolve alongside societal and technological changes. An adaptive curriculum enables PCA to quickly integrate new policies, address emerging challenges, and foster critical thinking among officers. This dynamic approach ensures that personnel are prepared for multifaceted roles involving security, rehabilitation, and human rights advocacy.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The institutionalization of the Philippine Corrections Academy (PCA) emerges as a crucial reform to professionalize correctional services in the Philippines. The findings indicate that current training programs under the Bureau of Corrections (BuCor) are fragmented, outdated, and misaligned with the Bureau's core mandate, resulting in uneven officer preparation and limited leadership development. Weak legislative backing, inadequate funding, and the absence of centralized governance further impede the establishment of a cohesive training system. Despite these challenges, the study confirms that promising opportunities exist, particularly through scenario-based learning, curriculum modernization, regional and international linkages, and research-driven approaches. These opportunities validate the need for PCA to be institutionalized through a strong legal foundation and supported by robust policy and financial frameworks to ensure long-term viability.

To address these gaps, several recommendations are advanced. Enabling legislation such as a Philippine Corrections Academy Act must be enacted to establish PCA's legal mandate, harmonize overlapping correctional laws, and affirm its role as the central training institution for correctional officers. A multidisciplinary task force composed of correctional practitioners, legal experts, educators, and international advisors should be organized to design a modular, globally aligned, and context-sensitive curriculum responsive to pressing correctional issues such as prison overcrowding and rehabilitation needs. Centralized administration under the Department of Justice (DOJ) or BuCor, combined with secured budget integration in the General Appropriations Act (GAA), is also necessary to guarantee policy continuity, operational stability, and fiscal sustainability.

Sustainability of PCA further requires investments in modern facilities, digital learning tools, and climate-resilient infrastructure, alongside a strategic hiring plan to attract qualified trainers with both academic expertise and field experience. A research and development unit should be embedded within the academy to institutionalize evidence-based curriculum updates, strengthen faculty development, and generate policy recommendations aligned with evolving correctional challenges. Public awareness campaigns can improve institutional legitimacy, attract recruits, and foster greater societal appreciation of correctional officers as vital contributors to public safety. A structured career development framework linking PCA training to promotion eligibility and leadership appointments will reinforce professionalism and incentivize participation. Long-term monitoring and evaluation mechanisms, such as tracking the career progression and retention rates of graduates, are also critical to assessing PCA's impact on staff competencies and correctional outcomes. Establishing these reforms ensures that PCA becomes not just a training facility but a national institution that strengthens correctional governance and advances both



domestic and global standards of justice.

REFERENCES

Published Literature and Materials

1. Alcaraz, J. R., & Rivera, L. M. (2022). Assessing correctional training models in the Philippines: A comparative analysis. *Philippine Journal of Criminology*, 15(2), 58-72.
2. Alvarez, J. M. (2020). Comparative analysis of correctional training academies: Lessons for the Philippine correctional system. *Philippine Journal of Criminology*, 18(2), 85-101.
3. Australian Corrective Services Training Academy. (2020). Best practices in correctional training: A report on NSW programs. Retrieved from <https://www.correctiveservices.nsw.gov.au>
4. Baker, J., Svensson, T., & Miettinen, K. (2022). Global best practices in correctional officer training academies: A comparative analysis. *International Journal of Corrections*, 14(2), 120-136.
5. Bayron, C. R. (2022). Human rights and ethics in correctional officer training: Addressing gaps in the Philippine system. *Philippine Journal of Criminology*, 29(2), 134-150.
6. Bayron, E. (2022). The role of ethics and human rights training in addressing overcrowding in Philippine correctional facilities. *Philippine Journal of Social Issues*, 35(2), 112-128.
7. Boin, A., & Van Duin, R. (2023). Correctional officer training in the Netherlands: Theories and practices for effective management. *European Journal of Criminology*, 16(4), 523-538.
8. Chan, S. (2024). Leadership training for correctional officers: The Singapore model. *Journal of Correctional Leadership*, 8(1), 45-58.
9. Correctional Service Canada. (2021). Learning and development for employees. Retrieved from <https://www.csc-scc.gc.ca>
10. Crawley, E., & Sparks, R. (2020). The role of dynamic security in managing correctional facilities: A global perspective. *Journal of Criminal Justice Studies*, 28(3), 145-162.
11. Dalangin, G. A. (2022). Professional development and training needs of correctional officers in the Philippines: A case study. *Asian Journal of Correctional Services*, 12(1), 56-72.
12. Dalangin, M. (2022). Specialized training for correctional officers: Addressing overcrowding and resource limitations. *Philippine Journal of Criminology*, 23(1), 45-67.
13. De Leon, A. C., & Cruz, M. S. (2023). Evaluating the effectiveness of correctional officer training programs in the Philippines. *Journal of Philippine Criminal Justice*, 10(1), 14-29.
14. Dignos, C. R. (2022). Challenges in the professionalization of correctional officers in the Philippines. *Asian Journal of Criminal Justice Research*, 4(1), 35-48.
15. École Nationale d'Administration Pénitentiaire (ENAP). (2020). Training correctional officers in France: Annual report. Retrieved from <https://www.enap.justice.fr>
16. Federal Bureau of Prisons. (2020). Training and professional development: Overview. Retrieved from <https://www.bop.gov>
17. German Federal Ministry of Justice. (2020). Overview of correctional training and practices in Germany. Retrieved from <https://www.bmj.de>
18. Gilbert, A., & Wilson, A. (2022). Cultural sensitivity training for correctional officers: A New Zealand case study. *International Journal of Corrections*, 17(4), 231-245.
19. Gilbert, M., & Wilson, H. (2020). Culturally sensitive correctional practices in New Zealand: Integrating Māori values in training programs. *Journal of Indigenous Justice*, 10(2), 101-120.
20. Her Majesty's Prison and Probation Service (HMPPS). (2020). Prison officer entry-level training (POELT) program: Annual report. Retrieved from <https://www.gov.uk/hmpps>
21. Howard, P., & Dixon, T. (2020). Continuous professional development in the UK prison service: Challenges and opportunities. *British Journal of Criminology*, 60(3), 355-371.
22. Jackson, P. L., White, R., & Hartman, S. (2020). Rehabilitation-focused training in correctional facilities: A Canadian case study. *Journal of Correctional Education*, 70(3), 45-58.
23. Jones, R., & Hughes, J. (2020). Resilience training for correctional officers: Preventing burnout in high-stress environments. *British Journal of Criminology*, 61(2), 290-303.
24. Jordan, P., & Reiss, A. (2023). De-escalation techniques in correctional settings: A review of international practices. *International Journal of Police Science and Management*, 21(3), 153-168.
25. Larsen, H. J., & Andersen, M. (2021). The role of rehabilitation in correctional officer training in Norway: Impacts on recidivism. *Scandinavian Journal of Criminology*, 17(1), 67-82.
26. Lee, D., & Kim, S. (2020). Hybrid correctional training in South Korea: Traditional methods meet modern management practices. *Asian Journal of Criminology*, 13(2), 187-204.
27. Lee, S., & Kim, Y. (2022). Hybrid training models in South Korean correctional facilities: Meeting the needs of a modern correctional workforce. *Korean Journal of Criminology*, 14(2), 111-125.
28. Livingston, J., Jones, S., & Carter, C. (2020). Mental health and substance abuse training for correctional officers: Insights from Canada. *Journal of Correctional Psychology*, 15(1), 70-85.
29. Livingston, K., et al. (2020). Rehabilitation-focused training for Canadian correctional officers: Impact on recidivism rates. *Canadian Journal of Criminology and Criminal Justice*, 62(1), 45-67.
30. Maruna, S., & Mann, R. (2021). Behavioral science in correctional officer training: The Australian experience. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Criminology*, 51(1), 23-37.
31. Moore, M., & O'Leary, R. (2020). Career-long professional development in corrections: Lessons from the United States and Finland. *Correctional Education Review*, 8(1), 25-39.
32. Morales, A. P. (2022). Challenges in standardizing correctional officer training: Insights from the Philippine Bureau of Corrections. *Philippine Criminology Review*, 17(3), 88-102.



33. Moyo, P., & Dube, F. (2021). Training correctional officers in non-violent de-escalation techniques: Lessons from South Africa. *African Journal of Corrections*, 6(2), 78-91.
34. Müller, G., & Schmidt, L. (2022). Leadership and ethics training in German correctional facilities: Impacts on officer performance. *European Journal of Criminology*, 18(3), 95-110.
35. Müller, R., & Schuler, A. (2022). Federalized correctional training in Germany: Balancing local needs with national standards. *European Correctional Review*, 14(1), 112-130.
36. Nakamura, Y., & Kato, M. (2020). Cultural competence in correctional officer training: The Japanese experience. *Journal of Asian Corrections*, 12(1), 33-47.
37. Narag, R. E. (2022). Understanding the Conditions of New Bilibid Prisons: Implications for Integrated Reforms. *University of the Philippines College of Law*.
38. Norwegian Ministry of Justice and Public Security. (2022). Rehabilitation and corrections in Norway. Retrieved from <https://www.regjeringen.no>
39. Parker, D., Stott, H., & Chandler, R. (2021). Technological innovations in correctional training: Virtual simulations and online education in the United States. *Journal of Criminal Justice Education*, 32(4), 204-219.
40. Pereira, L., & Oliveira, R. (2020). Reforming correctional training in Brazil: Shifting focus from punishment to rehabilitation. *Latin American Criminology Review*, 9(2), 231-252.
41. Pereira, R. L., & Oliveira, P. A. (2020). Human rights education in Brazilian correctional officer training programs: A critical analysis. *Latin American Corrections Review*, 9(4), 67-88.
42. Puno, C. V., & Cabral, S. J. (2020). Rehabilitation in Philippine prisons: The role of officer training in addressing substance abuse and mental health issues among inmates. *Philippine Journal of Correctional Services*, 15(2), 45-60.
43. Reyes, E. M., & Tolentino, D. L. (2021). Leadership training for correctional officers: Impacts on facility management in the Philippines. *Philippine Leadership Review*, 19(1), 23-38.
44. Reyes, F. J., Agbayani, E. L., & Santos, R. V. (2020). Perspectives of correctional officers on training and development: A qualitative study. *Philippine Journal of Criminology*, 14(3), 45-61.
45. Roberts, K. (2018). Restorative justice in correctional training: Lessons from Norway. *Scandinavian Journal of Criminology*, 22(1), 56-69.
46. Roberts, S., & Taylor, A. (2020). Mental health awareness and crisis intervention in Australian correctional officer training. *Journal of Correctional Studies*, 15(2), 44-63.
47. Santos, P. R., & Dela Cruz, A. J. (2024). Training programs for correctional officers: A study on inconsistencies and challenges in the Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Criminology*, 13(1), 22-37.
48. Smith, D., Brown, M., & Thompson, R. (2020). Addressing the needs of female prisoners: Best practices from Australia. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 42, 71-80.
49. Smith, J., & Wentz, T. (2023). The impact of correctional training academies on officer performance: A U.S. perspective. *Journal of Criminology and Correctional Services*, 13(1), 29-47.
50. Swedish Prison and Probation Service. (2021). Training programs for correctional officers in Sweden. Retrieved from <https://www.kriminalvarden.se>
51. Svensson, M., & Johansson, P. (2021). Correctional officer well-being: The Swedish approach to mental health in training. *Scandinavian Journal of Criminology*, 17(2), 177-191.
52. Thigpen, M., & Hunter, T. (2022). The role of the National Institute of Corrections in professionalizing the US correctional workforce. *Journal of Correctional Education*, 68(4), 19-34.
53. Thompson, J., & Reyes, B. (2020). Conflict resolution and psychological support in UK correctional officer training programs. *Journal of British Criminology*, 22(4), 105-120.
54. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2022). Handbook on dynamic security and prisoner classification. Retrieved from <https://www.unodc.org>

Bureau of Corrections Special Orders and Memorandum

1. Bureau of Corrections. (2021, November 15). Memorandum. Signed by USEC Gerald Q. Bantag.
2. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, April 14). Special Order No. 2022-0427. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
3. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, June 7). Special Order No. 2022-0577. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
4. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, June 7). Special Order No. 2022-0578. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
5. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, June 7). Special Order No. 2022-0579. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
6. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, June 7). Special Order No. 2022-0583. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
7. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, June 10). Special Order No. 2022-0606. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
8. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, June 10). Special Order No. 2022-0608. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
9. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, July 6). Special Order No. 2022-0739. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
10. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, July 13). Special Order No. 2022-0768. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
11. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, July 22). Special Order No. 2022-0810. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
12. Bureau of Corrections. (2022, October 5). Special Order No. 2022-1207. Signed by Acting Director John Paul O. Santos.
13. Bureau of Corrections. (2024, July 30). Special Order No. 2024-0378. Signed by CT/SUPT Elsa A. Alabado.