



# BEYOND HABIT: THE RESILIENCE RITUALS WE LIVE BY

**Swati Prasad**

*Sharjah, United Arab Emirates*

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra24314>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra24314

## INTRODUCTION

### **Anchoring the Self in an Age of Uncertainty**

The sense of uncertainty that permeates the modern human experience is becoming more and more prevalent. Together with unrelenting technical advancement and sociopolitical instability, the post-pandemic era's significant socioeconomic changes have exacerbated a general state of flux. The World Health Organization (2022) reported a startling 25% increase in the prevalence of anxiety and depression worldwide within the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic alone, demonstrating the quantifiable effects of this environment on psychological well-being. People face an unrelenting need to adapt in this environment, frequently in the absence of the conventional social and cultural institutions that once offered a sense of security (Bauman, 2000). A basic human need—the pursuit of psychological techniques and methods that might stabilise the self, give one a sense of control, and develop the ability to overcome adversity—has been heightened by this contemporary situation.

The evolution of ritual is one of the most potent human reactions to stressful, uncertain situations, both historically and anthropologically. This is a deeply rooted coping strategy that arises from a psychological need to bring order to disorder rather than an arcane or illogical eccentricity. Anthropologist Bronislaw Malinowski (1948) noted in his seminal study of the Trobriand Islanders that while communities performed complex, organised magic rituals prior to dangerous open-sea fishing expeditions, they did not perform such rituals for the predictable and safe fishing that occurred in the quiet inner lagoon. This fundamental finding demonstrates that ritualistic behaviour flourishes at the edge of human control; it is a calculated reaction intended to produce areas of predictability just when the outside world provides none. These behaviours – repetition, structure, and symbolism – offer a framework for coping with the intense stress and anxiety brought on by the unknown. This natural human inclination to establish rituals provides a powerful, but frequently disregarded, tool for enhancing inner strength in the context of contemporary mental health.

### **The Missing Link: From Personal Ritual to Practiced Resilience**

In order to comprehend these anchoring practices, it is critical to distinguish between a "ritual" and a "habit". Habits are efficient, automatic activities that are carried out with little conscious thought (Wood & R nger, 2016). On the other hand, a ritual is an experience that one performs. This series of actions is characterised by the addition of symbolic meaning and intention, which lends it a psychological significance that goes well beyond its usefulness (Hobson et al., 2018). This distinction is crucial: preparing coffee mindfully as a conscious act of grounding is a ritual, whereas making coffee unconsciously is a habit. Personal ritual is positioned as a potent instrument for well-being because of this shift from habitual behaviour to meaningful experience.

A specific goal is achieved by the deliberate development of such rituals: the practice of psychological resilience. Resilience is currently recognised as a dynamic and flexible process of overcoming difficult life situations rather than a static attribute (American Psychological Association, 2020). Importantly, this adaptability is not fixed; it may be intentionally practised over time to actively develop and strengthen it (Luthar, Cicchetti, & Becker, 2000). Standardised interventions like mindfulness and cognitive behavioural therapy have been validated by a substantial amount of research, yet these methods frequently ignore the natural, self-made rituals that people construct on their own. This creates a significant knowledge vacuum.

The material that is now available can attest to the positive effects of some practices, but it provides little understanding of the phenomenology—the subjective, lived experience—of how an individual's particular, self-styled ritual serves as a source of strength. That gap is filled by this study. It goes beyond established methods to delve into the inner realm of personal rituals, using qualitative research to give the "thick description" (Geertz, 1973) required to comprehend not only how they function but also how those who depend on them experience and interpret them.


### **Aim and Research Questions**

The enormity of the global mental health burden and the gaps that formal providers cannot fill highlight the necessity of investigating these individualised approaches. Statistics from top international health organisations highlight the significance of this investigation. Take into account the following evidence for context:

- The Global Burden of Mental Disorders: The profound impact of these conditions is detailed in the World Health Organization's (2022) *World Mental Health Report*. As shown in its "Top ten leading causes of global years lived with disability (YLDs), 2019" (Figure 3.7), depressive disorders are the second leading cause of disability worldwide, with anxiety disorders also ranking in the top ten. This establishes that these are not peripheral issues but are central drivers of global disability.

**Figure 1**

*Top ten leading causes of global years lived with disability (YLDs), 2019.*




Source: WHO, 2019 (129).

Note. From *World Health Report: Global Health Estimates 2019*, by World Health Organization (WHO), 2019, <https://www.who.int/data/gho>. Copyright 2019 by the World Health Organization.

- Early Onset in Adolescence: The problem begins early and is highly concentrated. According to UNICEF's (2021) analysis in *The State of the World's Children 2021* (Figure 1.3), anxiety and depressive disorders collectively account for 42.9% of all diagnosed mental disorders among adolescents aged 10-19. This highlights that these specific conditions are the predominant mental health challenge faced by young people.

**Figure 2**

*Estimates of key mental disorders among adolescents globally, 2019.*





Note. From *The State of the World's Children 2021: On My Mind – Promoting, protecting and caring for children's mental health*, by the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF), 2021, <https://www.unicef.org/reports/state-worlds-children-2021> Copyright 2021 by UNICEF.

These statistics present a clear and pressing picture: the most prevalent illnesses affecting young people are also the ones that cause the greatest amount of disability worldwide. It is clear that many people are overcoming these major obstacles through their own, frequently unresearched techniques when paired with the realities of the global treatment gap. The purpose of this study is to shed light on these specific activities. Thus, the current study aims to respond to the following research questions: How is the lived experience and meaning of personal resilience rituals portrayed in the existing qualitative research? Based on a review of the literature, what are the primary psychological functions and outcomes attributed to these rituals in published case studies and ethnographic accounts?

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Constructing Order in Chaos: The Psychological Demand for Ritual

The basic human urge in the face of uncertainty is to find meaning rather than just survive (Frankl, 1985; Park, 2010). A fundamental element of psychological resilience, this meaning-making process turns passive pain into an active coping story. Personal rituals become an important tool within this paradigm. They serve as behaviourally enacted micro-narratives that enable people to impose a symbolic order on the disorder of their internal or external experiences. The psychological concepts of agency are directly addressed by this act of creation. For example, the conscious effort needed to carry out a ritual might set off a potent cognitive phenomenon called effort justification, in which the perceived worth and efficacy of an activity rise in direct proportion to the amount of effort involved. For example, the conscious effort needed to carry out a ritual might set off a potent cognitive phenomenon called effort justification, in which the perceived worth and efficacy of an activity rise in direct proportion to the amount of effort necessary to carry it out (Aronson & Mills, 1959). An individual can directly cultivate the self-efficacy that Bandura (1997) defined as necessary for exerting control over one's life by simply committing to and completing a selected ritual, which results in a "mastery experience" that offers concrete evidence of one's capacity.

### From Action to Affect: The Embodied Mechanisms of Ritualistic Practice

Ritual's psychological influence is profoundly embodied and not just cognitive. According to the notion of embodied cognition, bodily experiences and behaviours have a significant impact on mental and emotional states (Varela et al., 1991). Rituals are embodied practices by definition. The precise movement pattern in a yoga practice, the rhythmic motion of journaling, or the physical steadiness of a deep breathing exercise are all sensory inputs that directly control the nervous system. In addition to the top-down regulation provided by therapies such as cognitive behavioural therapy, this offers a useful, bottom-up approach to treating the physiological symptoms of anxiety (Beck, 2011). While standardised interventions like mindfulness meditation have successfully formalised this principle (Kabat-Zinn, 2003), their "one-size-fits-all" nature can lack personal resonance. The true therapeutic potency of a ritual often lies in its unique symbolic connection to the individual's life story and values. This synthesis of embodied action and personal meaning makes self-created rituals a uniquely powerful and sustainable tool, yet it is this subjective, phenomenological aspect that remains critically under-explored in the current literature.

### Methodology: A Narrative Review

This paper employs a narrative review methodology. Rather than collecting new empirical data, this study synthesises findings from existing, peer-reviewed qualitative studies, case reports, and ethnographic accounts that describe the use of personal rituals for psychological well-being. A systematic search was conducted in academic databases (including Google Scholar, PsycINFO, and JSTOR) for literature pertaining to personal rituals in the context of grief, work-life balance, and daily stress. The selected studies were analysed to identify recurring themes in the form, function, and meaning of the rituals described by their participants. This approach allows for the construction of a broader, thematic understanding of the phenomenon without requiring new human subjects research.

### Typologies of Resilience Rituals in Existing Research

Resilience rituals are highly individualised behaviours that can be categorised into many thematic purposes, according to an examination of published qualitative data. The literature regularly reveals the following themes, which show how people utilise ritual to deal with particular psychological issues.

### Rituals of Transition: Marking Boundaries Between Worlds

The use of rituals to establish psychological boundaries and indicate transitions—especially between the demanding demands of work and the calming space of personal life—is a recurring issue in the literature. Architects frequently created "private rituals" to escape the intensive, creative mindset needed at the studio, according to a qualitative study on identity work in design firms conducted by Stigliani and Ravasi (2012). At the conclusion of each day, one architect explained, he would carefully wipe his pens and set up his desk in a particular manner. This was more than just cleaning; it was a "symbolic act of closure" that let him detach himself from the stress of the project before he left for home. This illustrates how a simple, structured routine can be imbued with



meaning to function as a powerful psychological buffer, creating a clear boundary that protects an individual's personal life from the encroachment of professional pressures.

### **Rituals of Continuation: Maintaining Bonds in the Face of Loss**

Rituals have a very different but no less significant purpose in the context of grief: preserving a continuing, symbolic connection to the departed. These rituals, far from being about "letting go," offer a methodical approach to grieving while reaffirming a continuing bond. Romanoff and Terenzio (1998), in their seminal work on grief rituals, describe a case study of a lady who continued to make her husband's favourite coffee every morning after his death. After pouring two cups, she would sit where they usually sat and talk to him about her day's plans. This was a "transitional strategy" that helped her deal with the reality of his absence while maintaining his psychological presence in her life, not an indication of denial. This type of ritual provides a safe, predictable container for the expression of grief, allowing for a process of healing that does not require severing the emotional bond.

### **Rituals of Grounding: Re-anchoring the Self in the Present Moment**

The use of brief, frequently sensory-based rituals to centre oneself in the here and now and de-escalate escalating feelings of overwhelm or anxiety is a third type that emerges from the literature. These micro-rituals are intended to regulate emotions instantly. According to a study by Baime (2011) that examined the lived experience of mindfulness among long-time practitioners, people frequently create their own unofficial "mindfulness rituals." A teacher who participated described a routine she followed in between hectic classes: she would press her thumb and forefinger together, take three deep breaths, and concentrate only on the pressure's physical sense. She described this as a "circuit breaker" that could interrupt a spiral of anxious thoughts and re-anchor her in the present. This demonstrates how a simple, repeatable, and embodied act can be transformed into a potent psychological tool for managing acute moments of distress, functioning as an accessible, self-directed intervention for emotional regulation.

## **DISCUSSION**

This section expands on the topic findings by combining them with recognised psychological theories to provide insight into the basic mechanisms via which rituals build mental toughness. The discussion will demonstrate that rituals are not only linked to resilience; rather, they constitute a basic behavioural mechanism that gives the ethereal components of resilience a tangible and useable form. Projecting the "what" of the synthesised literature onto the "why" of theoretical frameworks creates a multi-layered understanding of the event.

### **Interpretation of Findings: Rituals as a Tangible Form of Self-Regulation and Agency**

Personal rituals are a tangible, performed type of self-regulation, according to the primary conclusion reached by synthesising the literature. People actively construct and employ rituals to influence their inner and outside worlds, as demonstrated by the research's primary themes, which include rituals of transition, continuance, and grounding. The creation of a controlled, predictable space is a direct declaration of agency in an otherwise unpredictable setting and a powerful cure for helplessness. The cathartic processing of difficult emotions, which demonstrates a purposeful engagement with psychological discomfort rather than an avoidance of it, enables emotional mastery. Taken together, this research suggests that resilience is not an abstract quality one possesses but a dynamic process that is actively practised. Rituals are the very architecture of that practice—the repeatable, behavioural technology that individuals use to build and maintain their own inner fortitude. They transform the abstract goal of "being resilient" into a concrete set of actions, making the cultivation of mental well-being an accessible, daily endeavour.

### **Connection to Existing Literature: How Personal Rituals Embody Therapeutic Principles**

The literature's case studies provide striking, practical illustrations of how self-made, organic rituals embody the core principles of popular therapeutic approaches such as cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and mindfulness. One traditional mindfulness-based technique that employs sensory input to de-escalate anxiety and focus attention in the present is the grounding rituals outlined by Baime (2011) (Linehan, 2014). The primary difference is that rather than being suggested by a therapist, these rituals were frequently developed by the people themselves. The idea that people are drawn to actions that mimic evidence-based treatment interventions is an example of how these regulatory notions are applied generally. Furthermore, the efficacy of these rituals is likely influenced by the fact that they are self-generated. According to the Self-Determination Theory, activities that foster individual values and a sense of autonomy have a higher chance of being maintained over time and producing greater well-being (Ryan & Deci, 2000). A ritual that is developed by the individual becomes a true expression of self-care, increasing commitment and internal drive, whereas a prescribed meditation may seem like a job.

### **Implications for Clinical Practice**

The findings of this study have significant implications for mental health practitioners. The need to move away from broad advice like "establish a routine" and towards a more customised and collaborative approach is one of the key lessons acquired. Counsellors can help clients create meaningful rituals that align with their particular symbolic language, values, and life circumstances, the data suggest. This process includes figuring out how to "ritualise" small, deeply rooted rituals by giving them significance and direction. For example, a therapist could help a client transform their rushed morning coffee into a five-minute mindfulness exercise as a low-barrier but effective intervention. In actuality, this may mean asking questions like "Is there a symbolic gesture that represents letting



go of the day's stress for you?" "What is one small part of your day that you could reclaim for yourself?" along with "What simple action would make you feel more grounded or in control?" By giving clients the freedom to design their own treatment resources, this person-centred approach has been shown to improve engagement and long-term outcomes (Cooper et al., 2013). It reframes the client as an active participant in their own recovery rather than as a passive user of standardised treatments.

### LIMITATIONS OF THIS REVIEW

It is important to recognise that this review has a number of inherent limitations. First, by synthesizing existing qualitative studies, this paper is dependent on the quality and focus of the original research. The themes identified are an interpretation of others' findings, not a direct analysis of primary data. Second, the reviewed studies themselves often rely on small, purposeful samples that are not statistically representative, and the retrospective nature of interviews in those studies can be subject to memory biases (Shiffman et al., 2008). Finally, the selected literature focused on the positive aspects of rituals; it did not explore the potential "shadow side", where a supportive ritual might become a rigid, maladaptive compulsion.

### Avenues for Future Research

The study's shortcomings suggest a number of interesting avenues for further research. Longitudinal studies that monitor the effects of resilience rituals on mental health outcomes over time are obviously needed. To support the causal effects implied by these findings, such research may integrate qualitative journaling with quantitative indicators of wellbeing and physiological stress markers (e.g., cortisol levels). In order to better understand the universal and culturally particular features of ritualistic coping, cross-cultural study is particularly crucial for examining how rituals vary between collectivist and individualistic societies.

The line separating maladaptive compulsions from adaptive rituals is an important subject for research. Cognitive inflexibility and danger overestimation are frequently highlighted in studies on the cognitive underpinnings of obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) (Gillan et al., 2016). In order to provide vital information for clinical application, future research might examine the psychological elements—such as motivation and adaptability—that distinguish a flexible, resilience-building ritual from a strict, anxiety-driven habit.

### CONCLUSION

This study examined the lived experience of self-created resilience rituals in the face of a world of growing uncertainty and a notable treatment gap in mental health. By synthesizing findings from existing qualitative research, this paper identified how these private practices are given purpose and operate in people's day-to-day lives. The analysis reveals that rituals are intentional creations of psychological space that fulfil three fundamental purposes: establishing boundaries to create control, processing painful emotions through structured continuation, and re-anchoring the self in the present moment through grounding.

This research's main contribution is the revelation of ritual as a concrete, approachable, and intensely personal self-regulation tool, showing how abstract therapeutic concepts are naturally expressed in self-styled, organic practices. This study highlights the significant importance of individualised coping mechanisms and concludes that the tiny, hallowed areas we regularly

### REFERENCES

1. American Psychological Association. (2020). *Building your resilience*. <https://www.apa.org/topics/resilience/building-your-resilience>
2. Aronson, E., & Mills, J. (1959). *The effect of severity of initiation on liking for a group*. *The Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*, 59(2), 177–181. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0047195>
3. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman.
4. Bauman, Z. (2000). *Liquid modernity*. Polity Press. <https://soztheo.com/sociology/key-works-in-sociology/zygmunt-bauman-liquid-modernity-2000/>
5. Beck, J. S. (2011). *Cognitive behavior therapy: Basics and beyond (2nd ed.)*. Guilford Press.
6. Cooper, M., Watson, J. C., & Hölldampf, D. (Eds.). (2010). *Person-centered and experiential therapies work: A review of the research on counselling, psychotherapy and related practices*. PCCS Books.
7. Frankl, V. E. (1985). *Man's search for meaning*. Washington Square Press.
8. Geertz, C. (1973). *The interpretation of cultures*. Basic Books.
9. Gillan, C. M., Fineberg, N. A., & Robbins, T. W. (2017). *A trans-diagnostic perspective on obsessive-compulsive disorder*. *Psychological Medicine*, 47(9), 1528–1548. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716002786>
10. Gollwitzer, P. M. (1986). *Striving for specific identities: The social reality of self-symbolizing*. In R. F. Baumeister (Ed.), *Public self and private self* (pp. 143–159). Springer-Verlag.
11. Hobson, N. M., Schroeder, J., Risen, J. L., Xygalatas, D., & Inzlicht, M. (2018). *The psychology of rituals: An integrative review and process-based framework*. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 22(3), 260–284. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868317734944>
12. Kabat-Zinn, J. (2003). *Mindfulness-based interventions in context: Past, present, and future*. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 10(2), 144–156. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy/bpg016>
13. Kay, A. C., Gaucher, D., Napier, J. L., Callan, M. J., & Laurin, K. (2008). *God and the government: Testing a compensatory control mechanism for the support of external systems*. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 95(1), 18–35.



<https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.95.1.18>

14. Legare, C. H., & Souza, A. L. (2012). Evaluating ritual efficacy: Evidence from the supernatural. *Cognition*, 124(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2012.03.004>
15. Leotti, L. A., Iyengar, S. S., & Ochsner, K. N. (2010). Born to choose: The origins and value of the need for control. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 14(10), 457–463. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.08.001>
16. Linehan, M. M. (2014). *DBT® skills training manual* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
17. Luthar, S. S., Cicchetti, D., & Becker, B. (2000). The construct of resilience: A critical evaluation and guidelines for future work. *Child Development*, 71(3), 543–562. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8624.00164>
18. Malinowski, B. (1948). *Magic, science and religion and other essays*. The Free Press.
19. Pennebaker, J. W. (1997). Writing about emotional experiences as a therapeutic process. *Psychological Science*, 8(3), 162–166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9280.1997.tb00403.x>
20. Pennebaker, J. W., & Beall, S. K. (1986). Confronting a traumatic event: Toward an understanding of inhibition and disease. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 95(3), 274–281. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.95.3.274>
21. Rauch, S. L., Kolb, L. C., & Foa, E. B. (1996). A symptom provocation study of posttraumatic stress disorder using positron emission tomography and script-driven imagery. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 53(5), 380–387. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8624181/>
22. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
23. Shiffman, S., Stone, A. A., & Hufford, M. R. (2008). Ecological momentary assessment. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 4, 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091415>
24. Wood, W., & Rünger, D. (2016). Psychology of habit. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67, 289–314. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033417>
25. World Health Organization. (2022, March 2). COVID-19 pandemic triggers 25% increase in prevalence of anxiety and depression worldwide. <https://www.who.int/news/item/02-03-2022-covid-19-pandemic-triggers-25-increase-in-prevalence-of-anxiety-and-depression-worldwide>
26. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
27. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage Publications.
28. Smith, J. A., Flowers, P., & Larkin, M. (2009). *Interpretative phenomenological analysis: Theory, method and research*. Sage Publications.