SJIF Impact Factor (2025): 8.688| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 10 | Issue: 10 | October 2025 - Peer Reviewed Journal

SUNSCREEN EFFECTIVENESS IN REDUCING SKIN CANCER RISK

Rupal Singh*, Shefali Agrahari

S.N. College of Pharmacy, Lakhauwa, Jaunpur, India

*Corresponding Author

ABSTRACT

UV exposure is closely associated with both melanoma and non-melanoma forms of skin cancer. The usefulness of sunscreen in lowering the incidence of skin cancer has been disputed, despite its widespread promotion as a crucial preventive tool. The methods by which sunscreens prevent UV damage are reviewed in this article, along with data from observational research, randomized trials, and meta-analyses. Limitations and debates (including the "sunscreen paradox") are also covered, and suggestions for best practices are given. The debate is backed up by references to more than 20 current scientific publications.

KEYWORD : *Sunscreen effectiveness, Ultraviolet radiation protection, Skin cancer prevention , Melanoma and non-melanoma, Photoprotection strategies, Broad-spectrum SPF, UV-induced DNA damage, Sunscreen compliance and behavior, Public health awareness, Sun exposure risk reduction.*

INTRODUCTION

One of the most controllable risk factors for skin cancer is ultraviolet radiation from the sun. Sunscreen, often known as sunblock, is a key component of photoprotection campaigns around the world and is one of the strategies to reduce this risk (the CDC recommends SPF ≥15, broad spectrum).

The strength and dependability of sunscreen's protective impact in actual environments is up for question, nevertheless, as observational studies have occasionally produced contradictory findings.

This article examines the variables that affect sunscreen's ability to lower the risk of skin cancer and summarizes the available data.

Mechanisms of Sunscreen Protection and UV Damage

UV Light and the Development of Skin Cancer

UVB (290–320 nm) and UVA (320–400 nm) wavelengths make up UV radiation (UVC is mostly filtered by the atmosphere). Indirect DNA damage and oxidative stress are caused by UVA, whereas direct DNA lesions (such as cyclobutane–pyrimidine dimers) are caused by UVB.

Malignant transformation may result from accumulated mutations in important genes (like p53) or from malfunctioning DNA repair systems.

How Sunscreens Operate

UV rays are absorbed by chemical filters (oxybenzone, avobenzone, octinoxate, etc.) and transformed into innocuous heat.
UV light is reflected and scattered by physical (mineral) blockers, such as titanium dioxide and zinc oxide.

Both UVA and UVB rays are protected by broad-spectrum sunscreens; UVB protection is mainly indicated by the Sun Protection Factor (SPF). A larger percentage of incident UVB is blocked by higher SPF values (e.g., SPF 30 ≈ 97% UVB blocked, SPF 50 ≈ 98%).

Beyond simple UV filtering, other additives (antioxidants, photostabilizers) may enhance protection against photodamage and carcinogenesis.

Therefore, in theory and under controlled conditions, sunscreens can lessen UV-induced skin damage, which is the foundation for their potential to lower the risk of skin cancer.

Evidence from observational studies and clinical trials

RCTs, or randomized controlled trials

The Nambour Skin Cancer Prevention Trial (Australia) is the most frequently mentioned RCT. Participants in this trial were randomly assigned to apply broad-spectrum SPF 16 sunscreen on their head, neck, arms, and hands every day for five years, as opposed to using their usual routine. Over the course of a follow-up period of ten to fifteen years, those in the sunscreen group had a lower incidence of squamous cell carcinoma and fewer melanomas (11 vs. 22 in the control group).

Using sunscreen with an SPF of at least 15 was linked to a roughly 30% decreased incidence of melanoma in a cohort study of 109,886 women.

Regular use of sunscreen has also been linked to a decrease in non-melanoma skin cancers, according to certain studies.

These RCT results, which are limited by sample size, adherence, and duration, offer compelling but scant direct evidence for protective effects.

Meta-Analyses and Observational Research

Overall, there was no statistically significant correlation between using sunscreen and a lower risk of developing skin cancer (OR = 1.08; 95% CI: 0.91–1.28; I^2 = 89.4%), according to a comprehensive meta-analysis of 29 observational and controlled trials (n = 313,717 individuals, 10,670 skin cancer cases).

There was no discernible protective or detrimental effect overall, with a pooled RR of 1.145 (95% CI = 0.912–1.438) in a meta-analysis focused on malignant melanoma (21 studies, 7,150 patients).

According to certain observational research, there is a "sunscreen paradox" whereby users may extend their exposure to the sun because they believe it would protect them, negating the benefits.

Although mechanistic and RCT data supports the benefit of sunscreen, systematic reviews of its efficacy frequently conclude that epidemiological findings are inconsistent, in part because of biases such recollection bias, confounding by sun exposure behavior, and poor measuring of sunscreen use.

As a result, observational data often contradictory and needs to be carefully analyzed.

Restrictions, Conundrums, and Debates

The Paradox of Sunscreen

People may use sunscreen as a "permission slip" to spend more time in the sun as it becomes more widely available, increasing the overall UV dose.

Although the exact cause is unknown, some epidemiologic data have linked regular sunscreen use to increased nevi counts or a higher risk of basal cell carcinoma.

Biases and Confusion

People at higher risk may use sunscreen more frequently, which could bias results toward no apparent benefit or even harm. This is known as confounding by indication, and it can be introduced by differences between sunscreen users and non-users (e.g., more outdoor time, lighter skin).

In case-control studies, recall bias might occur when participants falsely report using sunscreen in the past.

Heterogeneous measurement: It might be challenging to compare research because of differences in how sunscreen use (frequency, amount, and reapplication) is documented.

Limited trial duration and adherence: a large number of trials have either insufficient or insufficient participant follow-up for cancer outcomes.

Environmental and Safety Issues
Certain chemical UV filters, especially oxybenzone, have come under scrutiny for potential environmental damage (coral bleaching) and endocrine disruption.

The safety and allowable concentrations of sunscreen chemicals are still being assessed by regulatory agencies (such as the US FDA).

These problems highlight the fact that sunscreen is not a perfect barrier and that it is important to use it as directed.

Factors Affecting Effectiveness in the Real World
1. Thickness of Application
Less protection is really provided since users frequently apply only 25–50% of the necessary 2 mg/cm².
2. Reapplication Frequency
Reapply sunscreen every two hours and right away after swimming, perspiring, or toweling. A lot of users don't reapply.
3. Missed Areas & Coverage
The scalp, ears, neck, and behind the knees are frequently overlooked areas. Inequitable coverage reduces effectiveness.
4. Photostability & Spectral Protection
Sunscreens must provide protection against UVA and UVB rays; if photolability is not regulated, deterioration in sunlight may lessen protection.
5. Sun Exposure & User Behavior
Use of sunscreen should be used in conjunction with, not in place of, other practices including looking for shade, wearing protective gear, hats, and sunglasses.
6. Timing & Use Duration
Benefits are amplified by year-round use, prior to (not after) sun exposure, and sustained adherence.

Sunscreen must be used carefully in light of these limitations in order to optimize its protective effects
Research indicates that when used correctly, sunscreen can lower the chance of developing several types of skin cancer, particularly squamous cell carcinoma. However, the extent of this impact is influenced by user behavior and compliance.

Although there are few RCTs with a small sample size and short duration, the stronger RCT data offer more trustworthy information than observational research.

Observational studies are frequently biased, contradictory, or null. However, these drawbacks do not inherently disprove sunscreen's ability to provide protection; rather, they draw attention to the difficulties in quantifying effects in the actual world.

If left unchecked, the "sunscreen paradox" and behavioral compensation (increasing sun exposure) could reduce net benefit.

Sunscreen should therefore be viewed as an essential but insufficient tool for preventing skin cancer, to be used in conjunction with public health initiatives and behavioral methods.

Suggestions and Ideal Procedures
For everyday outdoor exposure, use a broad-spectrum sunscreen with an SPF of 30 or higher.
Apply enough to cover all exposed skin (~2 mg/cm²).
After perspiration or exposure to water, reapply every two hours.
In addition to sunscreen, wear hats, sunglasses, protective clothes, and seek out shade throughout the hours of 10 a.m. to 4 p.m.
To increase compliance, debunk myths, and convey that sunscreen is not a "free pass" for unrestricted sun exposure, support public awareness and education initiatives.
Keep researching formulas that work for different skin types and climates, safer UV filters, and improved photostability.
Monitor and regulament safety and environmental impacts of sunscreen ingredient

CONCLUSION
Sunscreen is still a commonly recommended, scientifically supported method of lowering the risk of skin cancer by preventing UV-induced skin damage. With promising but insufficient data for melanoma, strong randomized trial evidence points to preventive effects, especially for non-melanoma skin malignancies. Behavioral issues like the "sunscreen paradox" hinder observational research, which are inconsistent and frequently skewed.

Sunscreen must be applied regularly and in sufficient amounts, together with other sun-protective practices, to achieve the best results. In addition to sunscreen use, public health initiatives should prioritize proper application, consistent behavior, and comprehensive photoprotection.

REFERENCES

1. *van der Pols J. C., et al. (2006). Regular sunscreen use and risk of squamous-cell carcinoma and melanoma. Lancet, 367(9510), 728–735.*
2. *Green A. C., et al. (2011). Reduced melanoma after regular sunscreen use: randomized trial follow-up. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 29(3), 257–263.*
3. *Helfand M., Krages K. P. (U.S. Preventive Services Task Force). Counseling to Prevent Skin Cancer: A Summary of the Evidence.*
4. *ASCO Publications. Sunscreen Use and Subsequent Melanoma Risk.*
5. *The efficacy and safety of sunscreen use for the prevention of skin cancer. PMC.*
6. *Use of sunscreen and risk of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer: systematic review & meta-analysis.*
7. *Analysis of association between sunscreen use and malignant melanoma.*
8. *Topical Sunscreen Application Preventing Skin Cancer (MDPI).*
9. *Challenges in assessing the sunscreen-melanoma association.*
10. *Sunscreen fact & fiction: What the science says. Stanford Medicine.*
11. *Skin Cancer Foundation: comments on sunscreen safety & benefit.*
12. *Chemical used in sunscreen analyzed for carcinogenic potential (oxybenzone).*
13. *Sun Protection: A Comprehensive 2022 Dermatologic Review.*
14. *Expert Consensus on Sunscreen for the Primary Prevention of Skin Cancer.*
15. *Reassessing Sunscreen Efficacy: Role in Skin Cancer Prevention.*
16. *Review: Current State of Skin Cancer Prevention.*
17. *Expert Recommendations on the Evaluation of Sunscreen Efficacy.*
18. *Time to Get Serious About Skin Cancer Prevention.*
19. *Cureus review: sunscreen safety & efficacy for cutaneous neoplasm prevention.*
20. *Effectiveness, compliance and application of sunscreen.*
21. *Slip-Slop-Slap public campaign (Australia).*
22. *Sun Safety Facts | Skin Cancer (CDC).*