



# DECODING PATHOLOGICAL CONDITIONS USING BOERICKE REPERTORY; A CLINICAL APPROACH

**Dr. Ganapati MD(HOMP)**

Assistant Professor, Department of Homeopathic Case Taking and Repertory,  
Shri Bhagwan Homoeopathic Medical College and Hospital, Cidco, Aurangabad

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra25977>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra25977

## BACKGROUND

The art of repertorization in Homoeopathy has evolved through the works of stalwarts who provided structured approaches to clinical prescribing. Among them, **William Boericke** occupies a significant place through his *Pocket Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica with Repertory*. Boericke's repertory, though comparatively concise, is distinctive in its clinical orientation. Unlike Kent's philosophical structure or Boger's analytical method, Boericke's repertory emphasizes **pathological conditions, clinical rubrics, and diagnostic groupings** that directly relate to disease expressions.

In daily clinical practice, a homoeopath often encounters cases where the individuality of the patient is less pronounced, and the pathology predominates. In such situations, Boericke's repertory offers a practical tool, guiding the physician to remedies based on pathological generals, disease names, and characteristic modalities. This approach bridges the gap between the patient's disease diagnosis and the remedy selection, making it particularly useful in acute, pathological, and therapeutic prescribing.

Decoding pathological conditions using Boericke's repertory provides a **clinical lens** to homoeopathic practice. It enables practitioners to translate pathological findings, diagnostic terms, and therapeutic hints into a repertorial framework. This strengthens both the accuracy of prescription and the physician's confidence in handling acute and chronic pathological cases.

## OBJECTIVE

The objective of this article is to explore and analyze the **clinical utility of Boericke's repertory** in decoding pathological conditions, with a focus on its practical application in case management. It aims to highlight how the repertory's clinical rubrics, pathological generals, and therapeutic groupings can serve as an effective tool for remedy selection, particularly in cases where **pathology predominates over individual symptomatology**.

## METHODOLOGY

This study employs a **descriptive and analytical approach** to evaluate the clinical applicability of Boericke's repertory in decoding pathological conditions. The methodology includes the following steps:

1. **Literature Review:** Comprehensive analysis of Boericke's repertory and relevant Homoeopathic materia medica, focusing on pathological rubrics, disease-specific generals, and therapeutic indications.
2. **Case Selection:** Collection of representative clinical cases from routine practice where pathology was predominant over individualizing symptoms. Cases included acute and chronic conditions with clearly defined pathological findings.
3. **Repertorization Process:** Each case was analyzed using Boericke's repertory. Pathological rubrics relevant to the patient's condition were identified and repertorized to determine the most suitable remedies.
4. **Analysis:** The correspondence between the repertory-guided remedies and clinical outcomes was examined. Particular attention was given to the **accuracy, efficiency, and practicality** of Boericke's pathological rubrics in real-world case management.
5. **Synthesis:** Findings were synthesized to highlight patterns, advantages, and limitations of using Boericke's repertory for pathological conditions.

## RESULTS

The analysis of clinical cases using Boericke's repertory demonstrated several key findings:

1. **Effectiveness in Pathological Cases:** Boericke's repertory provided accurate guidance for remedy selection in cases where pathology was the dominant factor, such as respiratory, gastrointestinal, and dermatological disorders.
2. **Efficiency in Repertorization:** The use of clearly defined pathological rubrics allowed for faster and more focused repertorization compared to repertories with broader or more philosophical rubrics.
3. **Correlation with Clinical Outcomes:** In the majority of cases, the remedies selected through Boericke's pathological rubrics led to significant symptomatic improvement, suggesting a strong correlation between repertory guidance and therapeutic efficacy.
4. **Limitations Identified:** In cases with highly individualized or psychosomatic presentations, the repertory showed limitations, as its primary focus is on disease-specific symptoms rather than subtle mental or constitutional characteristics.
5. **Practical Insights:** The study highlighted that Boericke's repertory is particularly useful for practitioners seeking a **clinical-pathology oriented approach**, bridging the gap between conventional diagnosis and homoeopathic prescription.



## IMPLICATIONS

1. **Enhanced Clinical Utility:** Boericke's repertory can serve as a practical tool for homoeopathic practitioners, especially in cases where pathology predominates, enabling faster and more accurate remedy selection.
2. **Bridging Diagnosis and Prescription:** By focusing on pathological rubrics and disease-specific generals, the repertory helps integrate conventional diagnostic findings with homoeopathic prescribing, making it useful in both acute and chronic conditions.
3. **Educational Value:** The repertory can be employed as a teaching aid for students and practitioners to understand the relationship between pathology and remedy selection, promoting a structured clinical approach.
4. **Limitations and Cautions:** While effective for pathology-oriented cases, Boericke's repertory should be complemented with detailed case analysis and consideration of individualizing symptoms for holistic prescribing.
5. **Future Research:** The findings encourage further clinical studies to validate and refine the use of Boericke's repertory in varied pathological contexts, potentially enhancing its scope in modern homoeopathic practice

## CONCLUSION

Boericke's repertory proves to be a valuable clinical tool for decoding pathological conditions in homoeopathic practice. Its focus on disease-specific rubrics and pathological generals allows practitioners to efficiently translate diagnostic findings into effective remedy selection. While it is particularly useful in pathology-dominated cases, integration with individualizing symptoms ensures a holistic and patient-centered approach. Overall, the repertory bridges the gap between conventional pathology and homoeopathic therapeutics, reinforcing its relevance in both clinical practice and education.

**KEYWORDS :** BOERICKE REPERTORY, CBDC, NCH, BBCR

## INTRODUCTION

### Methods

**1. Pathology :** This is the observable, physical manifestation of disease (e.g., inflammation, degeneration, growths, specific lab findings). In homeopathy, pathology is the end result of the disturbed vital force. It's the "what" of the disease.

**Pathological Correction/Material Cause:** This refers to the homeopathic principle that a medicine capable of producing a similar pathology in a healthy person (via a proving) can cure that same pathology in a sick person. We look for remedies that have a known affinity for specific organs, tissues, and disease processes.

### 2. Boericke Repertory

9<sup>th</sup> Edition Published 1927

Total remedies are 1414-05=1409

William Boericke is written Materia Medica part and Oscar E Boericke is written repertory part there is a one more author Garth Boericke is written on basic and determinative symptoms.

### How to Use Boericke's Repertory

Boericke's Repertory is particularly useful for this task because it is organized in a way that often mirrors a medical textbook. It has extensive chapters dedicated to specific organs and systems, making it easier to find rubrics related to pathological conditions.

Boericke's New Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica with Repertory - includes Indian drugs, nosodes, uncommon rare remedies, mother tinctures, relationships, sides of the body, drug affinities and list of abbreviations.

First MM part second repertory part third is miscellaneous part Alphabetical arrangement - rubrics, rubrics are given in capital bold letter and sub rubrics are given in bold roman. There is a limitation like rubrics are given in capital bold but one format which follows like in some chapter cause, type, location, character of pain, concomitants, modalities these are the things also its given in capital bold letter supposed to be given in bold roman as it consider as a sub rubric so it will be difficult to make out whether its rubrics are sub rubrics. Synonyms are given just

after the rubrics in bracket, synonyms for rubrics and sub rubrics its given. cross reference are given in two types comparative and confirmatory, cross reference are given for rubrics and sub rubrics also, and both given as see at end of the rubrics all the remedies. Two different varieties of cross reference is given if its given in bold roman means need to search in same chapter if its given in capital bold means need to search in different chapter. Many single remedy rubrics and single remedy sub rubrics are given. Many technical terms is used old terminologies are used. Two grades of remedies are given italics-2m, roman-1m. remedies in general has been given in many places. 7 different system has been given like-respiratory, circulatory, male genital, female genital, nervous, loco motor, urinary. 4 different stages of fever has been given - chill, heat, sweat, chilliness. Different types of fever has been given.

Boericke's new manual of HMM with REPERTORY – 3<sup>rd</sup> revised & augmented edition based on 9<sup>th</sup> edition.

By willium boericke includes Indian drugs, nosodes, uncommon rare remedies, mother tinctures, relationships, sides of the body, drug affinities & list of abbreviations.

- **NAME OF THE AUTHOR:** OSCAR EUGENE BOERICKE
- **YEAR OF PUBLICATION:** 1906
- **NUMBER OF CHAPTERS:** 25
- **EXCLUDING 5 SYNONYMS, THE TOTAL NUMBER OF REMEDIES ARE 1414-5=1409.** Examples like actea racemosa- cimicifuga
- **TYPE OF REPERTORY:** GENERAL CLINICAL REPERTORY
- 1<sup>st</sup> edition- 1901(without repertory part)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> edition- 1903(without repertory part)
- 3<sup>rd</sup> edition- 1906 published by boericke and runyan repertory part added
- 5<sup>th</sup> edition- 1912 published by boericke and tafel
- 6<sup>th</sup> edition -1916 published by boericke and runyan
- 8<sup>th</sup> edition -1922 published by boericke and runyan
- **9<sup>th</sup> edition – 1927 published by B. Jain publishers - published**
- 1<sup>st</sup> indian edition-1969



- **GRADATION**
- *ITALICS*- 2MARKS
- ROMAN-1MARKS
- **INTRODUCTION**
- A repertory appended to be classed under the group of general clinical repertory covering whole symptomatology.
- This repertory is based on clinical findings and verifications, and major source of which is willium boerickes MM.
- Boerickes and runyon, 1049 pages published this repertory.
- The MM by willium boericke issued in 1901.
- The repertory was added to the 3<sup>rd</sup> edition in 1906.
- The repertory is constructed differently than that of either kent or boenninghausens, and takes a bit of work to become familiar with.
- Current is the 9<sup>th</sup> edition of this repertory that was published in june 1927.
- **PREFATORY NOTES**
- 1.old hahnemannian method mind-generalites
- 2.Headings and sub-headings
- 3.Cause, type, location, character of pain, concomitant, modalities.
- 4. technical terms/ word meaning
- 5. remedies arranged in alphabetical
- 6.one repertory
- **CONSTRUCTION OF BOOK**
- 1st part of the book is MM
- 2<sup>nd</sup> part is repertory
- 3rd part miscelleneous .....
- Index to the repertory
- Therapeutic index
- List of remedies with common and latin names
- The repertory has 25 chapters
- **HUNTING OF RUBRICS FROM BOERICKES REPERTORY:**
- For hunting of rubrics after the case taking one must be sure that one has correctly picked up the basic and determinative symptoms and have converted in to proper rubrics.
- Next he will think of the chapters where these rubrics may have.

**Macro construction**

introduction  
 prefatory notes  
 hunting rubrics  
 25 chapters in hahnemann anatomical schema

**Micro construction**

- **MATERIA MEDICA**
- CHAPTER-CAPITAL BOLD
- HEADING- BOLD ROMAN
- SUB HEADING - ORDINARY ROMAN
- IMPORTANT SYMPTOMS - ITALICS
- ( ) MEANING TECHNICAL TERMS

- **REPERTORY PART**
- chapter-capital bold
- rubric - capital bold
- sub rubric - bold roman
- cross reference see bold roman(same chapter) capital bold (different chapter)
- remedy italics and roman
- sub rubric appetite increased ravenous (bulging)
- MIND ( remedies in general) first page
- next page onwards ordinary roman

**CHAPTERS IN HAHNEMANN ANATOMICAL SCHEMA**

MIND	THROAT
HEAD	STOMACH
EYES	ABDOMEN
EARS	URINARY SYSTEM
NOSE	MALE SEXUAL SYSTEM
FACE	FEMALE SEXUAL SYSTEM
MOUTH	LOCOMOTOR SYSTEM
TONGUE	RESPIRATORY SYSTEM
TASTE	CIRCULATORY SYSTEM
GUMS	SKIN
TEETH	FEVER
	NERVOUS SYSTEM
	GENERALITIES
	MODALITIES:<>

Many clinical rubrics are given in Boericke repertory as well as pathological rubrics also given many. And rare rubrics also found in this repertory.

**Correlates Boericke with Pathology**

Boericke's Repertory is:

- **Clinical + pathological**
- Based on **diagnosed disease states**
- Rich in **structural and tissue changes**

That makes it ideal for:

- Using **Pathology (Robbins/Harsh Mohan concepts)** in homoeopathic prescribing
- Cases with **advanced or established lesions**

In contrast to Kent (functional), Boericke works well when **pathology dominates the case.**

Pathological rubrics in Boericke

- **Definite tissue change**
- **Structural damage**
- **Cellular degeneration**
- **Inflammatory destruction**

Examples of pathological terms used:

- Inflammation (acute / chronic)
- Degeneration
- Sclerosis
- Ulceration
- Necrosis
- Suppuration
- Fibrosis
- Hypertrophy / Atrophy



**Correlation with General Pathology**

**(A) Inflammation**

**Pathology:** acute / chronic inflammation

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Inflammation*
- *Inflammation, chronic*
- *Suppuration*
- *Abscess*
- *Exudation*
- Used in pneumonia, nephritis, arthritis, etc.

**Degeneration**

**Pathology:** fatty, amyloid, hyaline degeneration

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Degeneration*
- *Fatty degeneration*
- *Atrophy*
- *Indurations*

Seen in liver, kidney, heart diseases.

**Necrosis & Death of tissue**

**Pathology:** necrosis, gangrene

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Necrosis*
- *Gangrene*
- *Caries*

**Ulceration**

**Pathology:** ulcer formation

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Ulcer*
- *Ulcer, indolent*
- *Ulceration*

Skin, stomach, intestines.

**Repair & fibrosis**

**Pathology:** healing, fibrosis

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Indurations*
- *Cicatrix*
- *Fibroid*

**Correlation with Systemic Pathology (Organ-wise)**

**Liver**

**Pathology topics:** fatty liver, cirrhosis

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Fatty degeneration of liver*
- *Cirrhosis*

**Kidney**

**Pathology:** nephritis, degeneration

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Nephritis*
- *Albuminuria*
- *Kidney degeneration*

**Lung**

**Pathology:** pneumonia, tuberculosis

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Consolidation*
- *Tuberculosis*
- *Abscess of lung*

**Heart**

**Pathology:** valvular disease

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Valvular disease*
- *Myocardial degeneration*

**Bone**

**Pathology:** osteomyelitis, caries

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Caries*
- *Necrosis*
- *Exostosis*

**Correlation with Special Pathology- Tumours**

**Pathology:** benign & malignant tumors

**Boericke rubrics:**

- *Tumors*
- *Cancer*
- *Scirrhous*
- *Epithelioma*

Metabolic disorders

**Pathology:** gout, diabetes

**Boericke rubrics**

- *Gout*
- *Diabetes*
- *Uric acid diathesis*

Infections

**Pathology:** septicemia, tuberculosis

**Boericke rubrics**

- *Septicemia*
- *Pyemia*
- *Tuberculosis*

How pathological rubrics help in clinical prescribing

- When **diagnosis is clear**
- When **subjective symptoms are few**
- When disease has **progressed structurally**
- In **hospital / emergency cases**

**Pathology Term -Boericke Pathological Rubric**

Inflammation -Inflammation, Suppuration

Degeneration -Fatty degeneration, Atrophy

Necrosis -Necrosis, Gangrene

Repair -Indurations, Fibrosis

Tumor -Tumors, Cancer

Infection -Septicemia, Tuberculosis



<p><b>Pathological rubrics</b>  <b>What “pathological rubrics” mean (in Boericke’s sense)</b>        They describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Structural damage</li> <li>• Degeneration</li> <li>• Inflammation with tissue change</li> <li>• Growths, deposits, destruction, or suppuration</li> </ul> <p>So they’re especially useful in <b>advanced, chronic, or well-defined diseases</b> where diagnosis is clear.</p> <p><b>Common pathological rubric categories in Boericke</b></p> <p><b>1. Degenerative conditions</b>        Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sclerosis</li> <li>• Atrophy</li> <li>• Degeneration, fatty</li> <li>• Ossification</li> <li>• Indurations</li> </ul> <p><b>2. Inflammatory pathology</b>        Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inflammation, chronic</li> <li>• Ulceration</li> <li>• Suppuration</li> <li>• Abscess</li> <li>• Gangrene</li> </ul> <p>These rubrics imply <b>destructive inflammation</b>, not just pain or heat.</p> <p><b>3. Growths &amp; proliferative changes</b>        Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Tumors</li> <li>• Fibroid</li> <li>• Polypi</li> <li>• Warts</li> <li>• Cancer, scirrhous / epithelioma</li> </ul> <p>Very characteristic of Boericke—he gives strong clinical emphasis here.</p> <p><b>4. Deposits &amp; infiltrations</b>        Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Calculi</li> <li>• Gouty deposits</li> <li>• Tubercular deposits</li> <li>• Exudation</li> <li>• Infiltration</li> </ul> <p>Helpful in metabolic and chronic miasmatic states.</p> <p><b>5. Destructive lesions</b>        Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Necrosis</li> <li>• Caries</li> <li>• Ulcer, indolent</li> <li>• Perforation</li> </ul> <p>These rubrics suggest <b>loss of tissue vitality</b>.</p> <p><b>6. Organ-specific pathology</b>        Boericke often lists pathology directly under organs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Liver</b> – Cirrhosis, fatty liver</li> <li>• <b>Kidneys</b> – Nephritis, degeneration</li> <li>• <b>Heart</b> – Valvular disease</li> <li>• <b>Lungs</b> – Consolidation, tuberculosis</li> </ul> <p><b>Skin</b> – Eczema chronic, ulcers, lupus</p>	<p><b>Clinical rubrics</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Named after <b>diseases, syndromes, or medical conditions</b></li> <li>• Based on <b>pathological + clinical experience</b></li> <li>• Less dependent on subjective sensations</li> </ul> <p>Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appendicitis</li> <li>• Pneumonia</li> <li>• Nephritis</li> <li>• Migraine</li> <li>• Diabetes</li> <li>• Epilepsy</li> </ul> <p>They act as <b>shortcuts</b>, not substitutes for full case analysis.</p> <p><b>Where clinical rubrics are found in Boericke</b></p> <p><b>1. Separate “Clinical” section</b>        Boericke includes a <b>distinct Clinical Index</b> (towards the end).        Examples from this section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anemia</li> <li>• Asthma</li> <li>• Bronchitis</li> <li>• Cancer</li> <li>• Dysentery</li> <li>• Eclampsia</li> <li>• Malaria</li> <li>• Rheumatism</li> <li>• Septicemia</li> <li>• Tuberculosis</li> </ul> <p>Each condition lists a <b>small group of clinically verified remedies</b>.</p> <p><b>2. Clinical rubrics under organs</b>        Many clinical rubrics are embedded under organs:</p> <p><b>Lungs</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pneumonia</li> <li>• Broncho-pneumonia</li> <li>• Tuberculosis</li> </ul> <p><b>Stomach</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Gastritis</li> <li>• Ulcer of stomach</li> </ul> <p><b>Kidneys</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nephritis</li> <li>• Albuminuria</li> </ul> <p><b>Nervous system</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Epilepsy</li> <li>• Paralysis</li> <li>• Neuritis</li> </ul> <p><b>3. Surgical &amp; emergency conditions</b>        Boericke is very practical here:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appendicitis</li> <li>• Hernia strangulated</li> <li>• Peritonitis</li> </ul>
--	--



	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Septic conditions</i></li> <li>• <i>Shock</i></li> <li>• <i>Hemorrhage</i></li> </ul> <p><b>4. Miasmatic &amp; chronic diseases</b>          Examples:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Syphilis</i></li> <li>• <i>Tuberculosis</i></li> <li>• <i>Cancer</i></li> <li>• <i>Gout</i></li> <li>• <i>Diabetes</i></li> </ul>
--	--

**The Process**

1. Identify the Clear Pathology: Be specific. Instead of "liver problem," think "hepatitis with jaundice" or "cirrhosis with ascites."
2. Locate the Relevant Chapter: Go to the chapter that corresponds to the affected organ system (e.g., "Liver," "Kidneys," "Respiratory System").
3. Find the Rubric for the Pathology: Look for a rubric that describes the pathological condition. Boericke often has direct rubrics like "Cirrhosis of liver" or "Bronchitis."
4. Analyze the Sub-rubrics and Remedies: These rubrics are often divided into sub-rubrics (e.g., "acute," "chronic," "with pain," "with haemorrhage"). The remedies listed are typically those with a strong known affinity for that condition.
5. Cross-Reference with the Mental/Generals: This is the most critical step. The pathology rubric gives you a list of candidate remedies. You must then cross-reference this list with the patient's unique mental state, peculiar symptoms, and general modalities (like thirst, thermals, food desires/aversions) to find the single most similar remedy—the Simillimum.

**Examples of Pathology, Rubrics, and Single-Grade Remedies from Boericke's Repertory**

**Example 1: Pathology - Acute Tonsillitis**

- Boericke Chapter: THROAT
- Main Rubric: TONSILS, Inflammation of (Tonsillitis)
- Sub-rubrics and Single-Grade Remedies:
- Acute, right side: MERCURIUS SOLUBILIS (often the first remedy thought of for acute tonsillitis with swollen, painful, red tonsils, possibly with white exudates).
- Chronic, enlarged: BARYTA CARBONICA (the prime remedy for chronically enlarged, swollen tonsils, especially in children).
- With stitching pain extending to ears on swallowing: HEPAR SULPHURIS (For extremely painful, suppurative tonsillitis where the patient is hypersensitive, chilly, and feels splinters in the throat).
- With dark redness, burning pain: PHYTOLACCA (Tonsils are dark red or bluish-red, pain feels like a hot ball, and is worse with empty swallowing).
- With ulcers: MERCURIUS IODATUS FLAVUS (Typically for right-sided onset) and MERCURIUS IODATUS RUBER (Typically for left-sided onset).

**Example 2: Pathology - Acute Bronchitis**

- Boericke Chapter: RESPIRATORY SYSTEM
- Main Rubric: BRONCHITIS
- Sub-rubrics and Single-Grade Remedies:
- Acute: ACONITUM NAPELLUS (Sudden onset after

- exposure to dry, cold wind; high fever, dry, painful cough, anxiety).
- With loose rattling cough but difficulty raising phlegm: ANTIMONIUM TARTARICUM (Loud rattling in the chest, but only a little mucus is coughed up; patient is weak, sleepy, and irritable).
  - With stitching chest pain, must hold chest: BRYONIA ALBA (Worse from any movement; dry, painful cough; desires to lie still).
  - Spasmodic, constrictive feeling: SPONGIA TOSTA (Dry, barking, "sawing" cough; feels a dryness in the air passages).

**Example 3: Pathology - Cystitis (Inflammation of the Bladder)**

- Boericke Chapter: URINARY ORGANS
- Main Rubric: BLADDER, Inflammation of (Cystitis)
- Sub-rubrics and Single-Grade Remedies:
- Acute, with frequent, painful urging: CANTHARIS (The classic remedy for violent cystitis; intense burning pain before, during, and after urination; constant, unbearable urging).
- With tenesmus (ineffectual straining): MERCURIUS CORROSIVUS (Constant, painful urging with only a few drops passed; feels as if the bladder is never empty).
- In old people, with inability to retain urine: CAUSTICUM (Involuntary leakage when coughing or sneezing; a feeling of weakness in the bladder).
- With red-brick sediment: LYCOPodium (Often for chronic issues; pain is worse before urination).

**Critical Final Step: Individualization**

The lists above are pathological starting points. The single-grade remedy in the repertory indicates its strong affinity for that condition. However, it may not be the correct remedy for your specific patient.

**Example: Two patients have acute tonsillitis.**

- Patient A is restless, anxious, has a high fever, and developed it after a sudden chill. The remedy is likely Aconite, even if it's not the only single-grade remedy under "Tonsillitis."
- Patient B has tonsillitis that started on the right side, has thick yellow discharge, excessive salivation, and is worse at night. The remedy is clearly Mercurius Solubilis.

**CONCLUSION**

Using Boericke's Repertory for pathological correction is a powerful method. The steps are:

1. Repertorize the Pathology: Use the organ-specific chapters to find the rubric.
2. Generate a Candidate List: Note the remedies listed,



especially those in single grade.

3. Individualize the Case: Take the complete symptom picture (mental, generals, particulars) and repertorize those symptoms. The correct remedy will be the one that covers both the pathology and the unique characteristics of the patient. Boericke's *Materia Medica* section should then be studied to confirm the final choice.

## REFERENCES

1. Boericke W. *Pocket Manual of Homoeopathic Materia Medica & Repertory: Comprising the Characteristic and Guiding Symptoms of All Remedies (Clinical and Pathogenetic) Including Indian Drugs*. 7th ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2002.
2. Rastogi DP. Utility of Boenninghausen's repertory. *Homeopathic Links*. 1999;12(2):99-103.
3. Phatak SR. *Concise Repertory of Homoeopathic Medicines*. 6th ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2005.
4. Tiwari SK. *Essentials of Repertorization: A Comprehensive Textbook on Case Taking and Repertorization for Practitioners and Students*. 1st ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2000.
5. Katarmal D. Boenninghausen's Therapeutic Pocket Book and musculoskeletal pain. *Homeopathic Links*. 2019;32(2):88-94.
6. Close S. *Boenninghausen's Therapeutic Pocket Book: A Clinical Repertory*. 5th American ed. New Delhi: B. Jain Publishers; 2009.
7. Rastogi DP. Use of Boenninghausen's Repertory. *Homeopathic Links*. 1999;12(2):99-103.
8. Mallangi J. Multimorbidity in a 35-year-old female patient treated with individualized homeopathy. *Homeopathy*. 2023;112(4):234-238.
9. Rani P, Bidwalkar S. Boger-Boenninghausen's approach to ringworm: Insights from a clinical case report. *Int J Homoeopathic Sci*. 2025;9(1):534-537.
10. Rastogi DP. Utility of Boenninghausen's Repertory. *Homeopathic Links*. 1999;12(2):99-103.