



ENHANCING FINANCIAL TRANSPARENCY AND EFFICIENCY IN U.S. PUBLIC INSTITUTIONS THROUGH DATA ANALYTICS: AN EMPIRICAL REVIEW

Issabella Ampofo ^a, David Amoako ^b, Mary Magdalene Yeboah ^c

^a Delta State University, Cleveland, USA

^b Pittsburg, CA, USA

^c University of Ghana Business School, Ghana

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36713/epra26181>

DOI No: 10.36713/epra26181

ABSTRACT

Financial transparency and efficient operations are key objectives of the United States public institutions. The current monitoring procedures such as paper-based reporting and manual auditing are not scalable and prompt to monitor intricate large volumes of financial transactions. This paper entails an empirical study on data analytics application on enhancing transparency and efficiency at federal and state agencies. Using peer-reviewed evidence, audit-analytics research, and government reports, it addresses applications like fraud detection, government spending supervision when compromised, auditors monitoring the adherence to published performance commitments; the comparison of full population conclusions in auditors interest bearing dollars. The review indicates that, analytic tools, e.g. supervised and unsupervised machine learning, anomaly detection, network analysis, and hybrid models are used to support proactive risk-based oversight by detecting outlier(s), ranking investigations, and reducing improper payments. Poor data quality, disjointed systems, the absence of metadata, inconsistent analytical information, and institutional governance weakness are some of the limitations mentioned in this paper. Results show that successful implementation will necessitate coordinated investments in data standardization, workforce expertise, computational infrastructure, and model-governance frameworks that incorporate human-in-the-loop review for transparency and accountability. With operationalization of the methodological improvements, data analytics may transform financial management in the public sector by replacing the retrospective audit with continuous, evidence-based regulation. The paper identifies the transformative quality of analytics as a technology and governance instrument, and the need to reformulate policy and institutional framework to be able to realize its full potential.

KEYWORDS: Data Analytics, Financial Transparency, Operational Efficiency, U.S. Public Institutions, Fraud Detection

INTRODUCTION

Transparency and efficiency in the financial and operational fronts remain to be the major forces of modern-day governance in the public sector. The conventional oversight systems, including the manual audit, cycle-based review approach, and paper-based reporting, struggle to support the size and complexity of the current public expenditure stream (Colclough, Feehan, Kahn, Singh, & Yau, 2022). With the increasing amount of data that is gathered by governments in smaller granularities, it becomes evident that the old methods of audit might not be sufficient to identify fraud, wastage, and inefficiency when it occurs (Colclough, Feehan, Kahn, Singh, & Yau, 2022).

Traditional forms of oversight such as periodic manual audits or paper-based reviews will increasingly have low bandwidth to manage the growth and complexity of financial systems across public sectors (Oyeyemi et al, 2025). The expansion of entitlement programs, large-scale procurements and grant programs, and large-volume financial transactions exceed the ability of manual audit (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024). In that regard, data analytics is becoming a common trend in many governments. Data analytics are defined as the procedure and tools used to derive insights and knowledge out of data. In the financial context in the public sector, analytics can refer to descriptive dashboards, diagnostic reporting, unsupervised and



supervised machine-learning models, anomaly detection, network analysis, and predictive forecasting (Gkegkas, Kydros, & Pazarskis, 2025). The use of analytic techniques is also a control mechanism that is capable of deterring and detecting fraud. They can entail any appropriate means such as data matching. As an illustration, the matching of data can confirm core data elements that are applied to establish the rights of a contractor to undertake federal contracts. If an organization declares itself as a small business to get federal contracts, the Department of Defense can use third-party databases to verify the eligibility (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024)

The defining affordances of analytics in government finance are scale (of processing very high numbers of transactions), speed (in near real-time monitoring), and risk-based prioritization (allocating scarce enforcement resources to the highest-risk items) (World Bank, 2023); (Colclough, Feehan, Kahn, Singh, & Yau, 2022).

Investment in data infrastructure and maturity of analytics are growing at both the federal and state levels in the United States (Ogunsola et al, 2025 a, Ogunsola et al, 2025 b). Public-spending portals, including USA spending, provide data in machine-readable form; new audits highlight the levels of opportunities that analytics still present in large contracting organizations like the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024). Driven by these factors, the demand for analytics at U.S. public institutions has grown significantly. The initial force is the increase in government financial flows volume and complexity. With federal entitlement and grant programs, complex procurement portfolios, and high-volume transactional systems that generate datasets too large to be reviewed manually by auditors (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024). The 2018-2022 fraud risk report by GAO revealed that the estimation of annual losses because of fraud at the federal level are between 233 billion and 521 billion, based on the 2018-2022 data, indicating the scope of the integrity crisis and the inefficiency of the applying traditional sampling methods to audit (Government Accountability Office U. S., Fraud Risks Management, 2024)

Second, emergency cases and huge expenditure. The speed and volume of predominantly COVID-19 relief programs revealed the weaknesses in the unwanted payments, risk, and fraud, and illustrated the importance of central analytic management. The PRAC characterized the role of the analytics center in identifying and recovering fraud in pandemic relief and indicated that police should widen its application of such services outside of the emergency programs (Pandemic Response Accountability Committee, 2024).

Third, society requires more transparency and information in real time. The presence of machine-readable federal portals, including USAspending and FiscalData, has created a demand among journalists, researchers, and civic technologists to have data that can be analyzed and reused, which puts pressure on agencies to implement analytic practices to support internal control and external visibility (Government Accountability Office U. S., Open data: Treasury could better align USAspending.gov with key practices and search requirements (GAO-19-72)., 2019); (World Bank, 2023).

These trends have peer-reviewed research. Systematic reviews of audit-analytics and procurement-fraud detection evidence of the ability of modern analytic methods, supervised classifiers, unsupervised outlier-detection methods and network analysis, to expand considerably the scope and timing of fraud-detection in the presence of appropriate-structured data (Gkegkas, Kydros, & Pazarskis, 2025). Practitioner and policy research also imply that analytics have the potential to make auditing more of a continuum than a check, a risk-based approach to auditing requires agencies to address core problems of data quality, interoperability, and control (World Bank, 2023); (Colclough, Feehan, Kahn, Singh, & Yau, 2022).

As the pressure to be held responsible builds up, as well as the mass of data to be examined and the complexity of the transactions that take place in financial institutions, there is a need of analytics in U.S. public organizations which is increasing exponentially. Nonetheless, adoption remains inconsistent. Improper implementation is frequently ineffective due to the institutional barriers, such as disjointed data and IT systems, insufficient staffing capacity, and the poor mechanisms of governance of algorithmic transparency (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024); (World Bank, 2023).



Based on these empirical and policy literatures, this article provides an empirical description of the way data analytics have been implemented to improve financial transparency and efficiency in U.S. public institutions. It offers conceptual clarity in data analytics, financial transparency, and efficiency; summarizes peer-reviewed evidence, authoritative reports on the application of analytics (fraud detection, open data reuse, and internal fiscal monitoring), specifies the enabling conditions and limitations, and makes policy recommendations and research requirements. The aim is to give a baseline on which scholars, auditors, and policymakers can develop institutionalized analytics to enhance financial transparency and efficiency.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Data Analytics, Financial Transparency, and Efficiency

Data Analytics. In the context of public finance, data analytics refers to the implementation of computational and statistical tools to analyze the review of large volumes of financial, procurement, and transactional data. It aims to detect trends and behaviors, deviations or alerts, predict what will happen in the future, and support ongoing audit and surveillance (Gkegkas, Kydros, & Pazarskis, 2025). More recent developments of advanced data-analytic methods have been a major step forward with regard to fraud detection and prevention (Boateng et al, 2025 a; Boateng et al, 2025 b). Data analytics provides a strong and accurate means of examining large and heterogeneous data volumes, and it is much more rigorous and specific than traditional audit procedures (Islam, et al., 2024). In modern practice, organizations also create and store large amounts of big-data samples, structured and unstructured data, such as sales data, customer data, supply-chain data, digital data and operational data (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, ankole, & Lateefat, 2020). Big data business growth is driven by the growing size of online transactions, cloud computing development, mobile projects, and interconnected enterprise systems (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, ankole, & Lateefat, 2020). In this regard, institutional trading companies and individual businesses have generated imbalanced, voluminous records in accounting records, electronic records, logistical records, market patterns, and customer records (Amoako et al, 2025 a, Amoako et al, 2025 b). With the implementation of big-data technologies, these organizations will be able to capture, process, and analyze large sets of data in a way that would be previously impossible with the previous methods (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, ankole, & Lateefat, 2020).

Analytics is a strategic governance tool allowing a shift in the paradigm of reactive auditing to proactive and data-driven financial control. Three main reasons underlie the growing use of analytics in the U.S. public institutions, namely:

1. The size and complexity of financial flows, where the large procurement contracts, entitlement programs, and stimulus disbursement create the volume of transactions that cannot be effectively managed using traditional audit techniques (Government Accountability Office, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025).
2. The continuous occurrence of improper payments and fraud, which, as the frequency of such mistakes is significant and sustained, requires the use of data-driven guidelines to protect taxpayer dollars (Government Accountability Office, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025).
3. Technological preparedness and infrastructure: Advances in data integration, machine readable records, and cross-agency data sharing make the use of analytics tools for ongoing monitoring feasible (U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2024).

Financial Transparency: Financial transparency is the extended disclosure of revenues, spending, transactions, debts, and tenders in a timely machine-readable format. Such transparency will allow the general population, accountability centers, civil societies, and researchers to hold governments accountable, expose malpractices, and build trust (Narteh-Kofi et al, 2025). Open financial data is another foundation of internal management as it facilitates evidence-based decisions and resource distributions (World Bank, 2023). Financial transparency, in its broadest usage, refers to the act of releasing the financial information of an organization, as well as all other information that may have a bearing on its valuation, to the open or to be known by others (Meng & Jin, 2025).

Operational Efficiency. Operational efficiency refers to the effective management of government money, as compared to waste, time, and risk against policy returns. Analytics will be able to facilitate the process of budgetary execution, reveal wasteful or fraudulent transactions in advance, and optimize the distribution of resources. Efficiency is the careful spending of the public purse; limiting waste, mistakes, and delays and also means that the desired program results are achieved (Government Accountability Office, U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025).



The ongoing high rates of inappropriate payments, which are projected to be 162 billion dollars in FY 2024 in 68 programs and 2.8 trillion since 2003, indicate the need to have strong monitoring measures. (Government Accountability Office, 2025). The magnitude and persistence of these errors underscore the reason agencies are turning to data analytics increasingly to uncover gaps and allocate resources most effectively.

Applications of Data Analytics in Public Institutions

Advanced analytic tools can help the public agencies to detect anomalies in data sets, including duplicate payments, ineligible beneficiaries, and claims that provide high-quality investigative leads, as they focus on high-risk indicators and prioritize the use of investigative and enforcement resources through risk-based triage. Analytics can also be used to prevent improper payments and to increase oversight through payment-integrity programs (such as mapping matches between databases, like death records, debarred vendor lists, or income data) and reduce other improper payments (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024).

The Government Accountability Office, 2025 notes that continuous data-driven oversight produces a better rate of detection and enables agencies to proactively prioritize high-risk transactions for investigation. The U.S. Treasury Department states analytics-based intervention prevented and/or recovered over \$4 billion for improper payments/fraud in FY24 (U.S. Department of the Treasury, 2024). These findings show that analytics has practical, quantifiable value for both financial integrity and resource efficiency. (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024).

These approaches are commonly used to predict accounts receivable with machine-learning algorithms, to build early warning mechanisms employed to predict financial risks using deep-learning technology, and to improve the management of financial records using blockchain technology (Meng & Jin, 2025). By enhancing the quality of auditing through enhanced accuracy, minimizing human error, and ensuring that the risk and fraud are identified in time, big data analytics increase the quality of auditing. It has more developed financial abilities, exposing the drivers of performance, the emergent trends, and the unknown correlations that may provide valuable information to strategic planning and investment decisions. It also promotes consistency of compliance by performing an uninterrupted audit and automation of checks, as well as by maintaining adherence to complex regulatory requirements in different jurisdictions (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, ankole, & Lateefat, 2020). The overall knowledge of the big-data applications in auditing requires an interaction with theoretical viewpoints underlying audit quality and compliance; these theoretical perspectives have been attributed to minimizing information asymmetry between managers and stakeholders, which is similar to the transparency ensured by big-data analytics in the framework of the agency theory (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, ankole, & Lateefat, 2020).

The second notable effect of big-data analytics on the quality of audit is that it will enable real-time audit and continuous audit. The nature of traditional audits is retrospective, and, in most cases, they are forensic analysis of events which took place more than six months ago. This delay is expensive in regard to the effectiveness of fraud prevention or detection after it has already occurred. Through the application of the big-data technologies, auditors will be able to create systems that allow them to monitor transactions daily and be notified about the presence of anomalous transactions (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, ankole, & Lateefat, 2020). Just as critical is the impact of big-data analytics on the reduction of auditor bias and human error. Despite the fact that human auditors have important skills, they are limited by cognitive biases, exhaustion, and subjectivity. Evidence of interpretation may be affected by confirmation of bias, anchoring, and recollections of previous experience, which may result in inconsistent or erroneous conclusions. One can significantly minimize the risk of bias in data collection, as well as system-related errors, when the big-data systems offer objective and data-driven data analysis on large data sets (Dako, Onalaja, Nwachukwu, ankole, & Lateefat, 2020).

Case Studies of Data Analytics in Enhancing Financial Transparency and Efficiency in the U.S. Public Institutions

Case Study 1: Government Accountability Office (GAO) Analytic & Fraud-Risk Reports

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) offers official, government-wide information relating to improper payment of errors and fraud risk in the entire system of the United States federal In *GAO's 2024 report Fraud Risk Management: 2018-2022 Data* show Federal Government Losses between an estimated \$233 billion and \$521 billion annually due to fraud, the GAO estimates that between US\$233 billion and US\$521 billion (Government



Accountability Office U. S., Fraud Risks Management, 2024) in fraud-related losses occurs across federal programs each year. Those findings highlight the scale and continuity of the financial integrity issues in the U.S. governmental organizations.

To compile its data, the GAO used three prongs of data-gathering to combine data presented in investigative case files, semiannual reports submitted by Offices of Inspectors General (OIGs), and reports of fraud to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The data were categorized into audited fraud, identified possible fraud, and unidentified possible fraud. Monte Carlo simulation techniques were used in estimating the probability of fraud losses on an annual basis (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025). The GAO identified several limitations. Different definitions and reporting standards across authorities result in non-comparable data. Inconsistent fraud detection and reporting are compounded by fragmented data systems and lack of analytic infrastructure. Furthermore, the sweeping government-wide estimates are not program specific, reducing the ability to focus interventions (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025).

As a reaction, the GAO suggests standardization of data collection and reporting practices; institutionalization of using data analytics, risk scoring, and anomaly detection in fraud prevention operations; and investment in the growth of analytic infrastructure, such as the development of personnel (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025).

Case Study 2: Audit-Analytics Research Preprint: Unsupervised Outlier Detection Using USA Spending Data

Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 unsupervised machine-learning techniques were used to examine anomalies in U.S. federal expenditure through data derived from the publicly available USAspending database. The study is aware of the insufficiencies of conventional audit methods that rely on manual sampling, which are incapable of providing affirmative detection of anomalies in a government-wide expenditure. The researchers employed multiple unsupervised outlier-detection techniques, such as Histogram-Based Outlier Score (HBOS), Robust Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Minimum Covariance Determinant (MCD), and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) to name a few. Apart from that, the dataset has been prepared for use, including steps like cleaning, normalization, and feature extraction. Measures like precision, recall, and F1-score were used to quantify the algorithm's performance in locating fraudulent transactions (Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025). The authors also found that models combining different detection strategies could better balance the chances of false positives with their accuracy. The results suggest that unsupervised methods can be successful in illuminating unusual patterns of behavior that may indicate the misuse or inefficiency of federal spending. Importantly, these techniques permit analysis to be carried out on a scale that is not possible with conventional audit methods.

Data quality and heterogeneity were two of the largest obstacles. Information on federal spending is often lacking in uniform metadata (field names) and context that would assist in the classification of anomalies that are not only fraudulent but also legitimate outliers. Also, similar to other preprints, this research is yet to be peer-reviewed and therefore does not have immediate academic validation.

The authors recommend that hybrid outlier-detection models be used in federal audit activities together with the standardization of spending data and the supply of rich metadata to make results more understandable. They further suggest the use of a human-in-the-loop system for confirmation of anomalies to ensure that the automatically detected ones correspond to actionable oversight (Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025).

Empirical Review

During the last ten years, empirical research concerning data-driven supervision, fraud detection, and audit analytics in PSFMC has been in the spotlight. Besides a talked-about movement from conventional methods to the usage of machine learning algorithms, anomaly detection, and structured fraud-risk methodologies, there is also a perspective of a supplementary policy instrument by the Government Accountability Office (GAO), which performs government-wide, evidence-based assessments of fraud, improper payments, and oversight capability.

Firstly, GAO's analyses of fraud risks, particularly, its 2024-2025 estimations of U.S. federal fraud losses, are based on structured data gathering and probabilistic model strategies (e.g., Monte Carlo simulations) to provide figures for adjudicated, detected, and undetected fraud in the whole range of U.S. federal programs. GAO's analysis highlights systemic problems that have persisted over time: incomplete data systems, incomparable reporting definitions, and



limited analytical capability in agencies. These inherent limitations in systems have led to inconsistencies and comparability of measuring fraud risks (Opoku et al, 2025). While GAO is advocating for the consistent (instead of varied) use of risk-scoring tools, standardization of data collection, and the raising analytical infrastructure to that of a radar of an empirical literature that is increasingly moving toward analytics-driven, fraud proactive approaches versus mere reactive audits that rely on laborious hindsight, these trends are also validated by computational audit analytics publications.

The preprint article by Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 is the exploration of the chance of the application of unsupervised anomaly-detection models in finding anomalies in the expenditure data of the U.S. government. The new integrated model of several methods the authors introduce, by means of several algorithms including HBOS, Robust PCA, Minimum Covariance Determinant and K-Nearest Neighbors, allows for greatly enhancing the detection effectiveness in comparison with the performance of a single algorithm. Their findings confirm the potential of macro-scale algorithmic scanning to reveal misuse or waste within federal spending. At the same time, the research points out ongoing limitations such as the influence of missing metadata, different data fields used, and limited geographical context found in USAspending datasets. These issues currently prevent the definitive classification of anomalies and require human-in-the-loop scrutiny to achieve interpretability and practical audit relevance.

Collectively, these data tell a consistent story: data-driven analytics have increasingly become a powerful tool to enhance financial management through payment and federal spending operations. However, the effectiveness of these instruments depends largely on the availability of clear and reliable information and the ability of public institutions to make practical use of their analytic outputs. Machine learning and anomaly detection methods in regular oversight, formalization of workflow analytical risk frameworks, enhancement of data governance, as well as improvements in the technical infrastructure and analytic capabilities of the institution are consistently recommended by the literature. In fact, based on the current empirical landscape, one might suggest that analytics-enabled oversight can significantly contribute to fraud detection and financial control; provided that systemic data constraints and capacities are solved.

Discussion

The synthesis of the empirical evidence presented in this review leads to a convergent conclusion: data-driven analytics offer a range of significant opportunities to bring about financial transparency and cost-saving in U.S. public institutions. However, it is necessary to address a set of empirical, technical, and institutional constraints specific to these organizations. Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 demonstrate through an applied example that hybrid unsupervised techniques can be used to identify anomalies in USA spending data chapters on a large scale; however, these effective outcomes also delineate the limits of what can be achieved due to data heterogeneity and lack of contextual metadata. Cross-government reviews by GOA (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024); (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025) locate such methodological changes in the policy environment of continued high levels of improper payments and fragmented agency-level data systems, and urge for the dedicated investment in analysis infrastructure and data standardization. All these sources taken together point to the existence of both the promise and the limitation.

Firstly, the issue of data quality and availability was identified as a recurring phenomenon throughout the research. The academic audit-analytics preprint reveals that the performance of analytics is greatly influenced by the structure, completeness, and metadata richness of the source datasets (Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025). Reports from GAO verify the problem on a large scale by pointing to the fact that agency data systems have been fragmented, and reporting practices have been inconsistent which in turn have caused challenges for program cross-comparability and analytic interoperability to be achieved at the level of the entire government (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025). This triple evidence points to the fact that if there is no systematic attention towards the standardization of data, identifiers, and machine-readable formats, then it will not matter how sophisticated analytical models are because they will not be useful and accurate in practice.

Secondly, the studies emphasize the difference between methodological advancements and their practical application. Algorithmic flags, as per Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 need to be supported by context and validated by humans. The viewpoint of GAO supports this idea by stating that for analytics to be useful in activities of prevention, detection, and recovery with a high level of certainty, they should be implemented with audit-wise activities and governance arrangements (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025). Thus, the empirical record not only shows



algorithmic effectiveness but also points to the significance of organizational pipelines that convert analytic outputs into actionable investigations and interventions.

Thirdly, the issue of methodological trade-offs and model management frequently recurs as the authors' concerns. Hybrid and ensemble methods improve the detection of metrics, (Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025) but they usually also complicate the matter and reduce the interpretability. For auditing purposes, however, it is essential to have clear trails of evidence and explainability. The literature points to the necessity of balancing accuracy and transparency as well as moving towards human-in-the-loop workflows where auditors are able to verify and support automated inferences. GAO's advocacy for algorithmic governance along with the explicit wish for explainability indicates the need from the policy perspective (Government Accountability Office, Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks, 2024).

Fourthly, the pieces of evidence bring into focus the limitations of capacities and resources. GAO points to the uneven analytic capacity across agencies (U.S. Government Accountability Office, 2025). Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 corroborate this constraint by pointing out that the processes of preprocessing, feature engineering, and interpretation require constant technical work; the kind of work that audit offices will need to budget for and recruit staff to carry it on.

Lastly, the sources identify the shortfall evaluation issue. Several evaluations have shown detection performance improvements for certain studies in the prototype phase, but there is a scarcity of rigorous examinations on the downstream effects (e.g., levels of validated recoveries or variability of improper-payment rates) happening after direct implementation into operations, such as continuous deterrents. GAO's probabilistic government-wide estimates are instrumental in comprehending the scale of loss but cannot substitute program-level casual evaluations of analytic interventions. Therefore, a major part of the empirical task is to not only follow detection capabilities but also operational effectiveness, cost-benefit balances, and transparency impacts.

The evidence foundation is strong enough to recommend changes that would improve the role of data analysis in the oversight of U.S. public institutions. First, changes in data standardization and metadata quality are a prerequisite for any further developments. The methodological achievements documented in the articles reviewed by Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 were largely dependent on datasets that were well-structured and contained clearly defined identifiers and variables with good data cleanliness and that also included proper contextual details. Without standardization, analytic models are less accurate and less transparent, so federal agencies should be the ones leading the way in implementing uniformed data architectures or shared data dictionaries.

Secondly, research evidences the need for embedding analytics into regular monitoring activities. Government Accountability Office, 2025 points out the fragmented analysis of duties, reporting inconsistencies, and lack of analytical skills that impede the successful application of said skills. Empirical studies show that structures such as the Centers of Excellence for analytics or fraud-risk units responsible for maintenance, training, and ongoing assessment of models that are the result of cross-agency collaboration are the solution when analytical functions are deeply integrated. Institutionalization ensures that analytical tools are not just short-term pilot projects, but they are routinized and thus become decision-making activities.

Thirdly, the related works widely argue for the incorporation of hybrid analytic frames together with human-in-the-loop supervision. The study of Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 shows that hybrid models enhance the detection performance, but auditors are still needed to interpret flagged anomalies and identify false positives. Humans in the loop provide transparency and solve problems related to algorithm opacity; in addition, they facilitate defensible decision-making. Therefore, departments ought to set up systems that link automated detection with planned human review and reporting.

Fourth, there is empirical proof of the worth of heightened analytic capability and continuous resourcing. The studies broadly agree with the data engineering skills; the recruiting of analytic staff and provision of computational infrastructure are almost always mentioned as areas where there is lack. Building analytic maturity is a matter of long-term, not short-term, grant or temporary task force intervention. This endeavor will include training public-sector



auditors in machine learning, acquiring adequate computational resources, and financing improvements in data management (Government Accountability Office, 2025).

Fifth, there is a lesson from empirical work in the literature which emphasizes the need to assess operational results. While studies have shown improvements in analytic accuracy, including Li, Kaplan, Lazirko, & Kogan, 2025 demonstrate analytic accuracy improvements, there is limited research on real-world metrics such as reduced fraud or more cost recovery or efficiency. Agencies need to use systematic evaluation techniques, such as longitudinal studies and quasi-experimental designs, to quantify and confirm the impact of analytics-based oversight.

Finally, good governance, transparency mechanisms, and legal safeguards are required for analytics if analytics should contribute to building public trust (Government Accountability Office U. S., Fraud Risks Management, 2024); (Government Accountability Office, 2025) stress the need for audit trails, documentation of analytic decisions and clear governance. Such structures promote accountability, back up due-process protections, and instill confidence in data-driven oversight tools.

CONCLUSION

The current paper highlights the increasing relevance of data analytics, which continues to enhance financial performance and operational efficiency of publicly funded U.S. institutions. The results show that the high volumes of transactions, in combination with the increased imperatives of public accountability, have surpassed the performance of traditional audit tools in use in modern public financial institutions. Traditional overseeing processes that are still manual-based, sample-based, and paper-based are seen as central elements of governance by the stakeholders, but they are not effective in identifying cases of fraud, waste, and inefficiencies in time. The data indicate that analytics is one of the core facilitators of increased transparency and efficiency via such capabilities as real-time anomaly detection, risk scoring, expenditure monitoring, and cross-database validation. However, the analysis reveals organizational barriers to successful implementation such as poor data quality reliability, insufficient analytical power, and insufficient governance structures to address model reliability and interpretability. It is confirmed that without investments made in data normalization, the strengthening of institutional capacity, and policy coordination, analytics cannot provide systemic improvement. Data analytics can actually serve as a game-changer, creating financial integrity, evidence-based decision-making, and the ability to be accountable to the people, if appropriately aligned with current oversight systems.

REFERENCES

1. Colclough, G., Feehan, E., Kahn, J., Singh, S., & Yau, B. (2022, November 21). *Accelerating data and analytics maturity in the US public sector*. Retrieved from McKinsey & Company: <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/public-sector/our-insights/accelerating-data-and-analytics-maturity-in-the-us-public-sector>
2. Dako, O. F., Onalaja, T. A., Nwachukwu, P. S., ankole, F. A., & Lateefat, T. (2020). *Big data analytics improving audit quality, providing deeper financial insights, and strengthening compliance reliability*. *Journal of frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research*, 64-80.
3. Gkegkas, M., Kydros, D., & Pazarskis, M. (2025). *Using Data Analytics in Financial Statement Fraud Detection and Prevention: A Systematic Review of Methods, Challenges, and Future Directions*. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 598.
4. Government Accountability Office. (2025, March 11). *U.S. Government Accountability Office*. Retrieved from U.S. Government Accountability Office : <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-25-107753>
5. Government Accountability Office. (2024). *Enhanced Data Analytics Can Help Manage Fraud Risks*. United States Government Accountability Office.
6. Government Accountability Office. (2025, March 11). *U.S. Government Accountability Office*. Retrieved from U.S. Government Accountability Office: <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-25-107753>
7. Government Accountability Office, U. S. (2019). *Open data: Treasury could better align USA Spending.gov with key practices and search requirements (GAO-19-72)*. United States Government Accountability Office.
8. Government Accountability Office, U. S. (2024). *Fraud Risks Management*. U.S. Government Accountability Office .
9. Islam, T., Islam, S. M., Sarkar, A., Khan, A. O., Paul, R., & Bari, M. S. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence in Fraud Detection and Financial Risk Mitigation: Future Directions and Business Applications*. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research (IJFMR)*, 1-23.
10. Li, B., Kaplan, B., Lazirko, M., & Kogan, A. (2025, September 19). *Unsupervised Outlier Detection in Audit Analytics: A Case Study Using USA Spending Data*. Retrieved from Cornell University: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.19366>



11. Meng, X., & Jin, Y. (2025). *Enhancing Corporate Financial Transparency and Performance Assessment through Big Data and Machine Learning*. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, 6893--6908.
12. *Pandemic Response Accountability Committee, P.* (2024). *Semiannual Report to Congress. Pandemic Response Accountability Committee, PRAC.*
13. *U.S. Department of the Treasury.* (2024, October 17). Retrieved from U.S. Department of the Treasury: <https://home.treasury.gov/news/press-releases?title=Treasury+Announces+Enhanced+Fraud+Detection+Processes%2C+Including+Machine+Learning+AI%2C+Prevented+and+Recovered+Over+%244+Billion+in&publication-start-date=&publication-end-date=>
14. *U.S. Government Accountability Office.* (2025, March 11). Retrieved from U.S. Government Accountability Office: <https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-25-108172>
15. *World Bank, P.* (2023). *Government Analytics Handbook: Leveraging data to improve governance*. World Bank Publisher.
16. Amoako, E. K. W., Boateng, V., Ajay, O., Adukpo, T. K., & Mensah, N. (2025). *Exploring the role of machine learning and deep learning in anti-money laundering (AML) strategies within US financial industry: A systematic review of implementation, effectiveness, and challenges*. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 7(1), 22-36.
17. Amoako, E. K., Okeke, P. T., Boateng, V., Bonsu, M. A., & Oman-Amoako, M. (2025). *Enhancing risk management and fraud detection in the U.S. financial industry through machine learning algorithms: Applications, challenges, and future directions*. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 28(3), 134-144.
18. Antwi, B. K. & Nnaji, B. C. "Advancing Data-Driven Scheduling Frameworks for Infrastructure Modernization: A Comprehensive Review of United States Construction Efficiency Models." *Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management* 5.1 (2026): pp 16-22
19. Boateng, N. V., Amoako, N. E. K., Ajay, N. O., & Adukpo, N. T. K. (2025). *Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for combating money laundering and fraud in the US financial industry: A comprehensive analysis*. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 7(1), 37-49.
20. Boateng, V., Amoako, E. K., Bonsu, M. A., & Oman-Amoako, M. *Assessing the Impact of Machine Learning Model on Identifying Terrorism Financing Patterns within the US Financial Industry.*
21. *Comprehensive Review of United States Construction Efficiency Models." Sarcouncil Journal of Economics and Business Management* 5.1 (2026): pp 16-22
22. Narteh-Kofi, E., Raji, Y. M., Asamoah, E., & Adukpo, T. K. *The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Decision-making and Efficiency in Mergers and Acquisitions: A Case Study Approach within the US Capital Market.*
23. Ogunsola, O., Adikorley, I. J. N., & Opoku, J. A. *Mitigating Cognitive Load in Supply Chain Decision-Making: An AI-Driven Framework for Enhanced Operational Efficiency.*
24. Ogunsola, O., Serh, L. Z. and Hutchful, N. "Adaptive AI Systems for Intelligent Decision Optimization in Supply Chain Management: A Machine Learning Approach." *Sarcouncil Journal of Engineering and Computer Sciences* 4.12 (2025): pp 10-18.
25. Opoku, E. K., Okumu, F., & Buabin, W. K. A. (2025). *The role of financial inclusion in strengthening AML/CFT frameworks: Balancing access and security in the U.S. financial system*. *EPRA International Journal of Economic and Business Review*, 13(10),
26. Oyeyemi, D.O., Okosieme, O.O., Idowu-Kunlere, T., Okosieme, O., Moussa, A.H., & Julius, E.A. (2025). *AI-Driven Credit Risk Models for Small-Scale Lending: A Business Analytics Framework for Predictive Performance and Responsible Deployment*. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*.