SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

AI AND BLOCKCHAIN IN FINANCIAL AUDITING: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF FRAUD DETECTION TECHNIQUES AND THEIR IMPACT ON INVESTOR CONFIDENCE IN U.S MARKET

Olivia Larkwor Nartey [1], Sophia Aryeetey[2], Deborah Akuele Apaflo[3] Mary Magdalene Yeboah [4]

[1]*Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University, USA*
[2]*Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, IN, USA*
[3]*University of Ghana, Accra Ghana*
[4]*University of Ghana Business School, Ghana*

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.36713/epra26771
DOI No: 10.36713/epra26771

ABSTRACT

Persistent growth in the scale and complexity of financial fraud continues to challenge the effectiveness of traditional audit methodologies and threatens confidence in U.S. capital markets. This study provides a systematic review of academic and professional literature examining the role of artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technologies in financial auditing, with particular emphasis on fraud detection mechanisms and their implications for audit quality and investor confidence. The review synthesizes evidence on AI-based techniques including machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, and predictive analytics that enhance anomaly detection, enable continuous auditing, and improve risk assessment in complex financial environments. Findings from this study indicate that the joint application of AI and blockchain constitutes a complementary and reinforcing audit architecture in which advanced analytical intelligence is supported by secure, tamper-resistant data infrastructure. This integration improves audit efficiency, accuracy, and timeliness while reducing information asymmetry between firms and capital market participants. However, the review also identifies significant barriers to adoption, including data privacy and cybersecurity concerns, algorithmic opacity, skills shortages within the audit profession, scalability constraints, and regulatory uncertainty. Overall, the evidence positions AI and blockchain-enabled auditing as a structural shift from retrospective assurance toward proactive, continuous, and risk-oriented audit models. The study contributes to the accounting and finance literature by clarifying the mechanisms through which emerging technologies influence fraud detection and investor trust, and by outlining governance and regulatory priorities necessary for their responsible integration into the audit function.

KEYWORDS: *Artificial Intelligence; Financial Auditing; Fraud Detection; Investor Confidence; Audit Quality.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasing complexity of financial fraud schemes has necessitated the adoption of advanced data analytics, AI-driven fraud detection models, blockchain and forensic accounting tools to strengthen corporate fraud prevention and regulatory compliance. Hilal et al.,2022 defines financial fraud as an act of gaining financial benefits by using illegal and fraudulent methods. Financial fraud can be committed in different areas, such as insurance, banking, taxation, and corporate sectors (Albashrawi, 2016). Despite decades of regulatory reforms and advancements in auditing standards, financial fraud continues to escalate in scale and sophistication, costing organizations billions annually and undermining economic stability. Traditional fraud detection techniques have proven inadequate in identifying sophisticated financial crimes, prompting organizations to integrate predictive analytics, machine learning algorithms, and real-time transaction monitoring systems to mitigate fraud risks (Celestin, 2020).

To address these limitations, auditors and regulators are adopting emerging technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and blockchain which offer transformative solutions to these challenges. AI enhances audit quality through predictive analytics, anomaly detection, continuous monitoring, and automation of routine tasks, allowing auditors to focus on high-risk areas while improving accuracy and efficiency (Noordin et al., 2022; Almarafi et al., 2025; Sihombing et al., 2023). Blockchain complements AI by providing a decentralized, immutable ledger that ensures transaction integrity, traceability, and real-time auditing, while smart contracts automate compliance verification and reduce human error (Saheb et al., 2025). Together, these technologies create a synergistic framework, defined as an integrated approach in which combined technologies generate greater outcomes than the sum of their individual capabilities, thereby strengthening fraud detection, enhances transparency, and supports continuous, risk-focused auditing (Vance & Sharma,2025).

The integration of AI and blockchain also has profound implications for investor confidence. Reliable and timely audits reduce information asymmetry, improve trust in financial reporting, and support stable capital markets (Isotalo, 2024). Major U.S. audit firms have begun implementing these technologies to enhance audit quality and regulatory compliance, highlighting their strategic

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

necessity. This study reviews AI-driven fraud detection methods and the role of blockchain in financial auditing, providing insights into how these innovations improve audit reliability, mitigate fraud, and reinforce investor confidence in U.S. financial markets.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

AI and Blockchain in Modern Financial Auditing

The audit process begins with the examination of the accuracy and validity of the transactions records that are the source of the creation of financial information. Artificial Intelligence (AI) and blockchain are transforming financial auditing by enhancing fraud detection, audit quality, and investor confidence. AI techniques, including machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing, allow auditors to analyze large datasets, detect anomalies, and perform continuous auditing in real time (Suyono et al., 2025; Yan, 2024). These methods improve risk assessments, reduce human error, and enable auditors to focus on judgment-intensive tasks (Noordin et al., 2022; Almarafi et al., 2025).

Blockchain provides a decentralized, immutable ledger that ensures data integrity, traceability, and transparency (Bonsón & Bednárová, 2019; Qadir & Arab, 2023). Recent studies by Tušek et al. (2021) and Wang et al. (2019) suggest that blockchain implementation can enhance the speed and effectiveness of auditing procedures. By providing a permanent and unalterable record of transactions, blockchain can help auditors verify the accuracy of financial information and ensure document integrity (Li et al., 2020). Smart contracts automate compliance checks and financial reporting, further reducing errors and enhancing audit reliability (Desplebin et al., 2021).

The integration of AI and blockchain creates a robust framework for fraud detection, where AI identifies suspicious patterns while blockchain guarantees the authenticity of underlying data (Han et al., 2023). This synergy supports continuous auditing, strengthens accountability, and improves investor confidence by providing reliable and transparent financial information.

Empirical studies confirm that AI and blockchain improve audit efficiency, accuracy, and assurance, enabling auditors to detect risks proactively and reduce information asymmetry (Samuel et al., 2023; Kabir et al., 2022). Together, these technologies represent a fundamental change from reactive to proactive auditing, reinforcing trust in the U.S. capital markets.

Artificial Intelligence in Auditing and Fraud Detection

Fraud detection is one of the most significant areas where AI technologies have transformed modern auditing. Commonly applied techniques such as machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing enable auditors to detect irregularities, minimize human bias, and generate predictive insights into emerging fraud risks. These technologies are particularly effective in identifying suspicious behavior within large, complex, and dynamic financial data environments.

Machine Learning (ML), a core branch of artificial intelligence, allows systems to recognize patterns and improve decision-making based on historical data without explicit programming (Zhang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018). ML models iteratively refine their performance, enabling them to adapt to new fraud patterns and generalize across varied datasets (Kerr & McFarlane, 2023; Salman et al., 2023). Supervised learning models use labeled examples of fraudulent and non-fraudulent transactions to classify new cases, while unsupervised learning detects anomalies in datasets where fraud labels are limited or unavailable, especially a common challenge in financial auditing.

Natural Language Processing: While machine learning is effective for structured numerical data, Natural Language Processing (NLP) expands fraud detection into unstructured text sources such as annual reports, emails, audit notes, customer complaints, and regulatory filings. NLP models can uncover linguistic red flags such as deceptive tone, unusual sentiment patterns, vague disclosures, or inconsistencies in narrative reporting, offering insights into potential misrepresentation (Li, 2023). Modern NLP architecture includes recurrent neural networks (RNNs), gated recurrent units (GRUs), long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, and transformer-based models, capture contextual meaning across sentences and paragraphs, enabling auditors and regulators to automatically prioritize high-risk documents for deeper investigation.

Deep learning (DL) further strengthens fraud detection through its ability to identify nonlinear, high-dimensional relationships in financial data. DL models automatically learn meaningful features from both structured and unstructured data sources, enabling earlier and more accurate anomaly detection (Chen et al., 2025). Architectures such as deep neural networks (DNNs), convolutional neural networks (CNNs), RNNs, autoencoders, and transformers have demonstrated strong performance in detecting unusual transaction patterns, abnormal user behavior, and hidden financial manipulation schemes (Branco et al., 2020). Autoencoders, for instance, are especially useful when labeled fraud instances are scarce; they learn to reconstruct normal data and flag anomalies through high reconstruction error (Chen et al., 2025). Deep neural networks (DNNs) have gained prominence in fraud detection due to their capacity to learn hierarchical feature representations from raw data, eliminating the need for manual feature engineering. Their layered neural architecture can process large, complex datasets and identify intricate, non-linear patterns that traditional methods often miss. This enables them to uncover subtle anomalies and hidden relationships that signal potential fraud. Decision trees provide an interpretable approach to fraud detection by splitting data into decision nodes based on key features, ultimately

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

classifying transactions as fraudulent or legitimate. Algorithms such as CART and C4.5 generate transparent rules that help auditors understand why a transaction is flagged (SAMUEL, 2023). Hybrid systems that combine decision tree models with deep neural networks have been shown to improve both interpretability and predictive accuracy, addressing a common criticism of black-box AI systems (Chen et al., 2025).

Blockchain Technology in Auditing and Assurance

Blockchain's immutable ledger and automated smart contracts enhance verification, prevent unauthorized manipulation, and ensure complete audit trails. Smart contracts enforce audit rules and regulatory requirements, triggering automatic alerts when anomalies occur (Assiri & Humayun, 2023; Micheal, 2025). Recent developments show that integrating machine learning with smart-contract audit logs significantly improves anomaly detection in decentralized financial systems by identifying abnormal transactions and high-risk behavioral patterns more accurately (Gaikwad et al., 2023). Additionally, auditable smart-contract architectures enhance transparency, enabling auditors to trace manipulations with greater precision and verify the integrity of digital evidence (Liu et al., 2023). Emerging smart-contract forensics also offers automated mechanisms for detecting illicit flows and issuing early fraud alerts, creating highly resilient and fraud-aware audit ecosystems (Sarkhosh et al., 2025). These technologies strengthen transparency, support real-time monitoring, and reduce opportunities for fraud.

The Combined Role Of AI and Blockchain in Fraud Detection

AI and blockchain form a complementary framework: AI performs advanced analysis on large datasets, while blockchain guarantees data accuracy, immutability, and traceability. AI can analyze blockchain-based transaction histories to detect suspicious behavior, while blockchain ensures that underlying data cannot be altered (Han et al., 2023). Recent research indicates that blockchain further reinforces trust in audit processes by providing immutable, verifiable audit trails. Blockchain-integrated systems enhance transparency and allow auditors, regulators, and investors to access real-time, tamper-resistant financial records, thereby offering greater assurance that data has not been manipulated (Khaldi & Boufarh, 2024).

The Impact of AI and Blockchain on Audit Quality and Efficiency

DeAngelo (1981) defines audit quality as the probability that an auditor will detect and report material misstatements. Building on this foundation, recent research demonstrates that both artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain technologies are significantly enhancing audit quality and operational efficiency.

AI and Audit Quality

Extensive evidence shows that AI improves audit quality across multiple dimensions. AI enhances auditors' ability to detect fraud by analyzing large and complex datasets for anomalies and irregular patterns (Noordin et al., 2022). Studies also show that AI strengthens risk assessments and increases the overall reliability of financial reporting (Almarafi et al., 2025). Tools such as machine learning and data-mining algorithms enhance auditors' analytical capabilities, enabling deeper insights during audit procedures (Ribeiro et al., 2023).

AI-based analytics simultaneously improve audit effectiveness and efficiency, leading to measurable improvements in audit quality (Samuel et al., 2023). Automation of repetitive tasks—such as data entry, validation, and documentation reduces human error and allows auditors to devote more time to complex, judgment-oriented activities (Sihombing et al., 2023). This shift improves accuracy, boosts efficiency, and strengthens overall audit performance (Aljaaidi et al., 2023).

AI also supports continuous auditing and real-time monitoring, allowing auditors to quickly identify emerging risks or unusual patterns (Aitkazinov, 2023). These capabilities reduce information asymmetry and enhance the timeliness of audit insights. AI-driven predictive analytics further enhance risk assessment by leveraging historical and industry data to identify high-risk areas (Bonsu et al., 2023), improving audit planning, resource allocation, and ultimately audit quality (Lasanthi & Akther, 2023).

Blockchain and Audit Quality

Research consistently highlights the transformative impact of blockchain on audit quality. Its decentralized and tamper-resistant ledger improves the reliability, accuracy, and transparency of financial information (Qadir & Arab, 2023; Bonsón & Bednárová, 2019). Blockchain's real-time recording and verification capabilities support continuous auditing, reduce information asymmetry, and provide auditors with an up-to-date and comprehensive financial view.

Blockchain also strengthens audit trail integrity by generating immutable, traceable transaction histories, enabling auditors to verify sequencing and accuracy with greater precision (Kabir et al., 2022; Sujanto et al., 2022). Its automated and secure data-sharing functionalities streamline audit procedures, reduce manual effort, lower costs, and allow auditors to focus more on fraud detection and risk-based tasks.

Smart contracts, self-executing agreements encoded on the blockchain, further enhance audit quality by enabling automated verification of compliance and financial transactions. Studies demonstrate that auditing smart contracts improves transparency, reduces errors, and promotes higher levels of audit accuracy and assurance.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

3. WAYS BLOCKCHAIN AND AI-DRIVEN AUDITING IMPACTS INVESTOR CONFIDENCE

Investor confidence is fundamental to the stability and sustainability of U.S. capital markets and is heavily influenced by the perceived reliability of audited financial statements. Wang & Zhang (2024) note that when investors trust audit outcomes, they are more willing to commit capital, contributing to market growth and long-term stability. Conversely, major audit failures such as those witnessed during the Enron and Lehman Brothers scandals have historically eroded trust, triggered market volatility, and led to significant capital withdrawal.

Enhanced Audit Quality and Accuracy: AI technologies, including machine learning and anomaly detection algorithms, enable auditors to detect fraudulent activities and irregularities with greater precision and efficiency. This technical advancement significantly reduces the likelihood of misstatements or undetected errors in financial reports, reinforcing the reliability that investors associate with audited statements. These systems are particularly effective at Identifying subtle fraudulent patterns from high-volume transactional data, performing continuous auditing in real time, which enhances the timeliness and completeness of detected discrepancies and automating repetitive tasks, freeing auditors to concentrate on judgment-intensive areas such as risk and materiality assessment. As a result, the probability of identifying and reporting material fraud increases, addressing weaknesses observed in historical audit failures and fostering stronger investor confidence in financial reporting (Bou Reslan & Jabbour Al Maalouf,2024).

Reduction in Information Asymmetry: Investors often face challenges due to information asymmetry, the gap between what management and external stakeholders know regarding the financial health of a business. AI auditing reduces this asymmetry by providing quicker insights into financial data. Advanced analytics enhance the quality and transparency of financial disclosures while enabling earlier identification of potential risks, allowing investors to make more informed decisions. Predictive analytics plays a critical role in this process by revealing patterns and forward-looking risk indicators that are accessible to both institutional and retail investors, thereby improving market transparency and trust (Bin-Nashwan et al.,2025).

Improved Transparency and Accountability: AI strengthens transparency and accountability within the audit process by generating detailed audit trails through robust data analysis and systematic documentation. The application of explainable AI (XAI) techniques, such as SHAP and LIME, allows auditors, regulators, and investors to understand the rationale behind fraud alerts and audit judgments. This interpretability enhances regulatory oversight and reassures investors that corporate governance practices are well-monitored, ethical, and accountable (Zhang et al.,2022).

Fraud Detection and Prevention: Fraud detection and prevention are further reinforced by AI's ability to identify suspicious activities before they escalate into material financial losses. Techniques such as natural language processing (NLP) enable the analysis of financial narratives for deceptive language, while deep learning models detect abnormal transaction patterns that may signal manipulation. By extending fraud detection beyond conventional manual methods, AI reduces opportunities for financial misconduct and increases the dependability of financial records, thereby strengthening investor trust and market confidence (Moll & Yigitbasioglu,2019)

Blockchain further reinforces this trust by providing immutable and verifiable audit trails; blockchain-integrated audit systems allow real-time access to validated financial records, giving investors and regulators greater assurance that data has not been manipulated (Prokopenko et al., 2024).

Perception of Technological Sophistication

The perception of technological sophistication significantly shapes how audit firms are viewed by investors and other stakeholders. When audit firms adopt advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and data analytics tools, they signal a proactive commitment to innovation and quality improvement. This adoption enhances the firms' operational capabilities enabling faster, more thorough analysis of financial data, more accurate fraud detection, and broader audit coverage, which in turn fosters perceptions of higher organizational competence and enhanced governance quality (Jin et al., 2022).

Support for Market Stability: AI auditing contributes to financial market stability by preventing large-scale fraud, improving regulatory compliance, and reducing the likelihood of fines, restatements, or enforcement actions that could trigger investor uncertainty. Consequently, AI-driven auditing promotes confidence in the integrity and efficiency of U.S. capital markets, reinforcing their long-term stability (Leocádio et al.,2024).

4. CHALLENGES OF BLOCKCHAIN AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AUDIT

Despite their transformative potential, the deployment of AI and blockchain in financial auditing faces several interrelated challenges that limit widespread adoption and effectiveness.

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Data privacy and cybersecurity: Auditing applications processes highly sensitive financial and personal data, raising privacy and security risks when combined with cloud services and distributed ledgers. Scholars warn that blockchain's immutability can conflict with privacy rights, e.g., "right to be forgotten", and AI systems increase the attack surface for data breaches and model extraction. Addressing these risks requires privacy-preserving cryptographic techniques, e.g., zero-knowledge proofs, robust encryption, and clear data governance policies (Radanliev, 2025)

Algorithmic opacity and explainability: Many high-performing AI models behave as "black boxes," which refer to complex artificial intelligence systems whose internal decision-making processes are not transparent or easily interpretable by human users, thereby undermines legal defensibility, auditor trust, and stakeholder acceptance (Hassija et al,2024). The literature highlights the need for Explainable AI (XAI) methods and algorithmic auditing to produce interpretable, auditable decision logs that support regulatory review and courtroom admissibility. Without explainability, firms risk false positives/negatives and reduce confidence in automated findings (Zhang et al.,2022)

Skills gap and organizational readiness: Effective use of AI-blockchain systems requires auditors with data-science literacy, model validation skills, and domain knowledge. Studies report that limited technical expertise within many audit teams and smaller firms constrains adoption, leading to a reliance on third-party vendors and raising governance concerns. Continuous professional education and cross-disciplinary hiring are necessary to close this gap (Fırat et al.,2025).

Technical and operational limitations: Blockchain faces scalability, throughput, and interoperability challenges that complicate real-time, enterprise-scale auditing. Similarly, AI models depend on high-quality, representative training data; biased or incomplete datasets can produce unreliable outcomes. Solving these problems will require research into scalable ledger architecture, standardized data schemas, and robust model governance (Akter et al.,2024).

Regulatory uncertainty and governance: U.S. regulators have signaled interest in AI and distributed-ledger technologies, but currently lack unified, detailed standards for their auditing use. Regulatory uncertainty increases compliance risk and slows investment in experimental deployments. The literature and policy analyses recommend regulatory sandboxes, risk-based guidance, and collaboration between regulators, auditors, and technology providers to establish principled governance frameworks (Eulerich et al.,2025).

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations are proposed for auditors, policymakers, regulatory bodies, and academic institutions to facilitate effective and ethical adoption of AI and blockchain in financial auditing.

Strengthening Technology Training for Auditors: Audit firms should invest heavily in technological capacity building to ensure that auditors possess the necessary skills to work effectively with AI and blockchain systems. Continuous professional development programs, in collaboration with academic institutions and technology firms, can provide training in data analytics, algorithmic reasoning, and blockchain auditing. This will bridge the existing skill gap and prepare auditors for the digital future (Alaka et al., 2025).

Develop Transparent and Explainable AI Model: Developers and audit regulators should prioritize explainable AI (XAI) to address the black-box issue. Models should be interpretable, allowing auditors and regulators to understand how decisions or predictions are generated. This enhances accountability, supports regulatory compliance, and aligns with ethical auditing standards (Zhong & Goel, 2024).

Update Regulatory Frameworks for Digital Auditing: The PCAOB and SEC should update auditing and reporting standards to incorporate AI and blockchain technologies formally. Clear guidelines are needed regarding data governance, model validation, algorithmic transparency, and liability in cases of AI-related errors. Regulatory sandboxes could be established to test technological innovations under supervised conditions before full-scale adoption (Manheim et al.,2025).

Promote Integration Between AI and Blockchain Systems: Audit software developers should focus on integrating AI and blockchain into unified platforms that provide both analytical intelligence and data immutability. Such integration enhances continuous auditing and predictive assurance, enabling auditors to detect fraud in real time while ensuring the integrity of underlying records. Collaboration between audit firms and technology companies can accelerate the development of such integrated solutions (Khaldi & Boufarh, 2024)

Ensure Data Privacy and Cybersecurity Protection: Given the sensitivity of financial data, it is crucial to establish robust cybersecurity and privacy protocols when implementing AI and blockchain. Encryption, multi-factor authentication, and decentralized storage systems should be standard practice to safeguard client data. Regulators must also enforce compliance with data protection laws such as the U.S. Privacy Act and emerging AI governance frameworks (Abid, 2024).

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Encourage Ethical Auditing and Human Oversight: While automation enhances efficiency, human professional judgment remains indispensable. AI should serve as an assistive tool, not a replacement for auditors' ethical and professional reasoning. Ethical frameworks must guide how AI systems are used, ensuring fairness, transparency, and accountability. The inclusion of human oversight in algorithmic decision-making processes preserves the integrity and moral responsibility of the audit profession (Kashif & Khalid, 2025).

Support Further Research and Innovation: Academic researchers should continue investigating the intersection of AI, blockchain, and auditing to address unresolved issues such as algorithmic bias, interoperability, and scalability. Empirical studies using real-world audit data can offer insights into the effectiveness of AI models in detecting specific types of fraud. Furthermore, interdisciplinary collaboration among computer scientists, accountants, and legal experts is necessary to build sustainable technological auditing models (Celestin & Vanitha, 2019).

CONCLUSION

This systematic review finds strong and consistent evidence that artificial intelligence and blockchain technologies are fundamentally reshaping financial auditing by improving fraud detection capabilities, audit quality, and the credibility of financial reporting in the U.S. market. The reviewed literature demonstrates that AI-driven techniques such as machine learning, deep learning, and natural language processing, significantly enhance auditors' ability to identify complex fraud patterns, perform continuous auditing, and reduce human error. At the same time, blockchain technology strengthens audit assurance by providing immutable, transparent, and verifiable audit trails that safeguard data integrity and support real-time verification.

The findings further indicate that the combined application of AI and blockchain creates a synergistic auditing framework in which advanced analytical intelligence is reinforced by trusted and tamper-resistant data infrastructure. This integration reduces information asymmetry, improves audit timeliness and reliability, and enhances transparency and accountability factors that are shown to be critical in strengthening investor confidence and supporting market stability. As a result, AI- and blockchain-enabled auditing represents a shift from traditional, retrospective assurance toward proactive, risk-focused, and continuous audit models.
However, the review also highlights persistent challenges that constrain widespread adoption, including data privacy and cybersecurity risks, algorithmic opacity and explainability concerns, skills gaps among auditors, technical scalability limitations, and regulatory uncertainty. Addressing these challenges through explainable AI models, enhanced auditor training, robust data governance, and updated regulatory frameworks is essential to realizing the full benefits of these technologies while maintaining ethical and legal accountability.

Overall, the evidence suggests that AI and blockchain are not merely incremental tools but strategic innovations capable of elevating the integrity, efficiency, and trustworthiness of financial auditing. When responsibly governed and effectively integrated, these technologies have the potential to strengthen investor confidence, enhance audit credibility, and reinforce the long-term stability of U.S. capital markets.

REFERENCE

1. *Abid, N (2024). Securing Financial Systems with Block chain: A Comprehensive Review of Block chainand Cybersecurity Practices. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Sciences and Arts, 3(4), 193-205.*
2. *Aitkazinov, A. (2023): The role of artificial intelligence in auditing: opportunities and challenges. International Journal of Research in Engineering, Science and Management. 2023; 6:117-119*
3. *Akter, M., Kummer, T. F., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2024). Looking beyond the hype: The challenges of blockchain adoption in accounting. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 53, 100681.*
4. *Alaka, E., Akindayo, A. E., Ilemore, O., & Emmanuel, I. (2025). The integration of artificial intelligence in forensic auditing and its implications for real-time fraud detection in global financial institutions. International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, 10(9), 1688-1707. https://doi.org/10.38124/ijisrt/25sep1334*
5. *Albashrawi, M (2016). Detecting Financial Fraud Using Data Mining Techniques: A Decade Review from 2004 to 2015. J. Data Sci. 2016,14, 553–570.*
6. *Ali, A., Abd Razak, S., Othman, S. H., Eisa, T. A. E., Al-Dhaqm, A., Nasser, M., ... & Saif, A. (2022). Financial fraud detection based on machine learning: a systematic literature review. Applied Sciences, 12(19), 9637.*
7. *Aljaaidi, K. S., Alwadani, N. F., & Adow, A. H. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence applications on the performance of accountants and audit firms in Saudi Arabia. International journal of data and network science, 7(3), 1165-1178.*
8. *Almarafi, S. H., Amran, N. A., & Rasit, M. H. H. (2025). Big data analytics in auditing: A review of current applications and future directions. J. Account. Fin. Audit. Stud, 11(3), 186-200.*
9. *Assiri, M., & Humayun, M. (2023). A blockchain-enabled framework for improving the software audit process. Applied Sciences, 13(6), 3437.*
10. *Beltran, A. (2023). Fiscal data in text: Information extraction from audit reports using Natural Language Processing. Data & Policy, 5, e7.*
11. *Bin-Nashwan, S. A., Li, J. Z., Jiang, H., Bajary, A. R., & Ma'aji, M. M. (2025). Does AI adoption redefine financial reporting accuracy, auditing efficiency, and information asymmetry? An integrated model of TOE-TAM-RDT and big data governance. Computers in*

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Human Behavior Reports, 17, 100572.

12. *Bonsón, E., & Bednárová, M. (2019). Blockchain and its implications for accounting and auditing. Meditari Accountancy Research, 27(5), 725-740.*
13. *Bonsu, M. O. A., Wang, Y., & Guo, Y. (2023). Does fintech lead to better accounting practices? Empirical evidence. Accounting Research Journal, 36(2/3), 129-147.*
14. *Bou Reslan, F., & Jabbour Al Maalouf, N. (2024). Assessing the transformative impact of AI adoption on efficiency, fraud detection, and skill dynamics in accounting practices. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 17(12), 577.*
15. *Branco, B., Abreu, P., Gomes, A. S., Almeida, M. S., Ascensão, J. T., & Bizarro, P. (2020, August). Interleaved sequence RNNs for fraud detection. In Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD international conference on knowledge discovery & data mining (pp. 3101-3109).*
16. *Cai, C. W. (2021). Triple-entry accounting with blockchain: How far have we come? Accounting and Finance, 61(1), 71–93.*
17. *Celestin, M. (2020). HOW AUDIT FAILURES IN MAJOR CORPORATE SCANDALS HAVE LED TO STRICTER ASSURANCE STANDARDS AND REGULATIONS.*
18. *Celestin, M., & Vanitha, N. (2019). The future of auditing in the age of AI: How automation is reshaping the audit profession. International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research in Arts and Humanities, 4(2), 44-51.*
19. *Chen, Y., Zhao, C., Xu, Y., Nie, C., & Zhang, Y. (2025). Deep learning in financial fraud detection: Innovations, challenges, and applications. Data Science and Management.*
20. *Dai, J., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2017). Toward blockchain-based accounting and assurance. Journal of Information Systems, 31(3), 5–21.*
21. *Desplebin, O., Lux, G., & Petit, N. (2021). To be or not to be: Blockchain and the future of accounting and auditing. Accounting Perspectives, 20(4), 743-769.*
22. *Eulerich, M., Summers, S. L., & Wood, D. A. (2025). Artificial Intelligence, Audit Risk, and Regulatory Uncertainty: A Refined Analytical Model of Auditor and Client Decisions Under PCAOB Scrutiny. Audit Risk, and Regulatory Uncertainty: A Refined Analytical Model of Auditor and Client Decisions Under PCAOB Scrutiny (May 07, 2025).*
23. *Fırat, Z. (2025). ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN AUDITING: OPPORTUNITIES, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS. Muhasebe Bilim Dünyası Dergisi, 27(2), 77-95.*
24. *Gaikwad, V., Meher, K., Dass, R., Jonista, A. S., D'Souza, J., & Victor, R. (2023). Fraud detection using machine learning and blockchain. In International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication (Vol. 11, No. 6s, pp. 584-590). Auricle Technologies, Pvt., Ltd.*
25. *Gepp, A., Linnenluecke, M. K., O'neill, T. J., & Smith, T. (2018). Big data techniques in auditing research and practice: Current trends and future opportunities. Journal of Accounting Literature, 40(1), 102-115.Huang, F., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2021). Applying NLP in financial reporting: Detecting deceptive language. Accounting Horizons, 35(4), 99–117.*
26. *Gietzmann, M., & Grossetti, F. (2021). Blockchain and other distributed ledger technologies: where is the accounting?. Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 40(5), 106881.*
27. *Han, H., Shiwakoti, R. K., Jarvis, R., Mordi, C., & Botchie, D. (2023). Accounting and auditing with blockchain technology and artificial Intelligence: A literature review. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 48, 100598.*
28. *Hassija, V., Chamola, V., Mahapatra, A., Singal, A., Goel, D., Huang, K., ... & Hussain, A. (2024). Interpreting black-box models: a review on explainable artificial intelligence. Cognitive Computation, 16(1), 45-74.*
29. *Hilal, W., Gadsden, S. A., & Yawney, J. (2022). Financial fraud: a review of anomaly detection techniques and recent advances. Expert systems With applications, 193, 116429.*
30. *Isotalo, N. (2024). Emerging technologies transforming the auditing profession.*
31. *Issa, H., Sun, T., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2016). Research ideas for artificial intelligence in auditing: The formalization of audit and workforce supplementation. Journal of emerging technologies in accounting, 13(2), 1-20.*
32. *Jin, H., Jin, L., Qu, C., Xiao, W., & Fan, C. (2022, December). The role of artificial intelligence in the accounting industry. In 2022 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence, Internet and Digital Economy (ICAID 2022) (pp. 248-257). Atlantis Press.*
33. *Kabir, M. R., A Sobhani, F., Mohamed, N., & Ashrafi, M. (2022). Impact of integrity and internal audit transparency on audit quality: the moderating role of blockchain. Management & Accounting Review (MAR), 21(1), 203-233.*
34. *Kashif, H., & Khalid, U. (2025). AI-assisted auditing: navigating ethical risks and enhancing professional standards. Center for Management Science Research, 3(2), 231-239.*
35. *Kerr, W. T., & McFarlane, K. N. (2023). Machine learning and artificial intelligence applications to epilepsy: a review for the practicing epileptologist. Current neurology and neuroscience reports, 23(12), 869-879.*
36. *Khaldi, A., & Boufarh, A. (2024). AI & Blockchain Integration in Digital Audits.*
37. *Kokina, J., Mancha, R., & Pachamanova, D. (2021). Ethical implications of AI in accounting. Journal of Business Ethics, 171(4), 735–749.*
38. *Lasanthi, A. M., & Akther, S. (2023). Auditor incentives and audit quality: A qualitative study regarding influence of incentives on audit quality based on Sri Lanka and Bangladesh.*
39. *Leocádio, D., Malheiro, L., & Reis, J. (2024). Artificial intelligence in auditing: A conceptual framework for auditing practices. Administrative Sciences, 14(10), 238.*
40. *Li, Q. (2023). Textual data mining for financial fraud detection: A deep learning approach. arXiv preprintarXiv:2308.03800. https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.03800*
41. *Li, X., Jiang, P., Chen, T., Luo, X., & Wen, Q. (2020). A survey on the security of blockchain systems. Future generation computer systems, 107, 841-853.*
42. *Liu, Z., Feng, W., Zhang, Y., & Zhu, C. (2023). Research on the Architecture of Transactional Smart Contracts Based on*

SJIF Impact Factor (2026): 8.863| ISI I.F. Value: 1.241| Journal DOI: 10.36713/epra2016 ISSN: 2455-7838(Online)
EPRA International Journal of Research and Development (IJRD)
Volume: 11 | Issue: 3 | March 2026 - Peer Reviewed Journal

Blockchains. Electronics, 12(18), 3923.

43. *Manheim, D., Martin, S., Bailey, M., Samin, M., & Greutzmacher, R. (2025). The necessity of AI audit standards boards. AI & SOCIETY, 1-16.*
44. *Micheal, L. (2025). Smart Contracts in Trade Finance: Automating Compliance and Mitigating Fraud Risks.*
45. *Moll, J., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. The British accounting review, 51(6), 100833.*
46. *Moll, J., & Yigitbasioglu, O. (2019). The role of internet-related technologies in shaping the work of accountants: New directions for accounting research. The British accounting review, 51(6), 100833.*
47. *Noordin, N. A., Hussainey, K., & Hayek, A. F. (2022). The use of artificial intelligence and audit quality: An analysis from the perspectives of external auditors in the UAE. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 15(8), 339.*
48. *Prokopenko, O., Koldovskiy, A., Khalilova, M., Orazbayeva, A., & Machado, J. (2024). Development of blockchain technology in financial accounting. Computation, 12(12), 250.*
49. *Qadir, A. M. A., & Arab, H. R. (2023). Revolutionizing accounting and assurance: the untapped potential of blockchain. Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences, 10(3S), 5852-5874.*
50. *Radanliev, P. (2025). Privacy, ethics, transparency, and accountability in AI systems for wearable devices. Frontiers in Digital Health, 7, 1431246.*
51. *Ribeiro, C. V., Paes, A., & de Oliveira, D. (2023). AIS-based maritime anomaly traffic detection: A review. Expert Systems with Applications, 231, 120561.*
52. *Rodrigues, L., Pereira, J., da Silva, A. F., & Ribeiro, H. (2023). The impact of artificial intelligence on audit profession.*
53. *Rozario, A., & Thomas, C. (2019). Reengineering the audit with blockchain and AI. Accounting Horizons, 33(4), 79–99.*
54. *Saheb, S. S., Chinnapareddy, V. K. R., Devalla, D., Charugulla, S., Chakka, N. B., & Raja Sekhar, K. (2025). Factors leading to the adoption of blockchain technology in financial reporting. Frontiers in Blockchain, 8, 1491609.*
55. *Salman, S., Gu, Q., Sharma, R., Wei, Y., Dherin, B., Reddy, S., ... & Freeman, W. D. (2023). Artificial intelligence and machine learning in aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: future promises, perils, and practicalities. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 454, 120832.*
56. *SAMUEL, A. (2023). Enhancing financial fraud detection with AI and big cloud-based data analytics: Security implications. Available at SSRN 5273292.*
57. *Samuel, P., Reshmy, A. K., Rajesh, S., Kanipriya, M., & Karthika, R. A. (2023). AI-based big data algorithms and machine learning techniques for managing data in E-governance. In AI, IoT, and Blockchain breakthroughs in E-governance (pp. 19-35). IGI Global.*
58. *Sarkhosh, H., Maroof, U., & Barradas, D. (2025). The Dark Art of Financial Disguise in Web3: Money Laundering Schemes and Countermeasures. arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.21831.*
59. *Schmitz, J., & Leoni, G. (2019). Accounting and auditing at the time of blockchain technology. Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 16(1), 1–10.*
60. *Schmitz, J., & Leoni, G. (2019). Accounting and auditing at the time of blockchain technology. Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 16(1), 1–10.*
61. *Sihombing, R. P., Narsa, I. M., & Harymawan, I. (2023). Big data analytics and auditor judgment: an experimental study. Accounting Research Journal, 36(2/3), 201-216.*
62. *Sujanto, M., Lindawati, A. S. L., Zulkarnain, A., & Liawatimena, S. (2021). Auditor's perception on technology transformation: blockchain and CAATs on audit quality in Indonesia. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 12(8).*
63. *Suyono, W. P., Puspa, E. S., Anugrah, S., & Firnanda, R. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Auditing: A Systematic Review of Tools, Applications, and Challenges. RIGGS: Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business, 4(2), 3393-3401.*
64. *Tušek, B.; Tušek, B., Ježovita, A., & Halar, P. (2021). Critical Auditors' Expertise for Blockchain-Based Business Environment. Zagreb international review of economics & business, 24(SCI), 49-61.*
65. *Vance, J. C., & Sharma, A. (2025). Synergistic Integration of AI and Blockchain: A Framework for Decentralized and Trustworthy Systems. International Journal of Modern Computer Science and IT Innovations, 2(08), 1-6.*
66. *Wang, H., & Zhang, Y. (2024). Social trust, audit quality and institutional investor herd behaviour. Finance Research Letters, 63, 105363.*
67. *Wang, S. H., Cheng, H., Phillips, P., & Zhang, Y. D. (2018). Multiple sclerosis identification based on fractional Fourier entropy and a modified Jaya algorithm. Entropy, 20(4), 254.*
68. *Wang, Y., Han, J. H., & Beynon-Davies, P. (2019). Understanding blockchain technology for future supply chains: a systematic literature review and research agenda. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 24(1), 62-84.*
69. *Yan, Z. (2024). Audit Risk Assessment Model Construction by Incorporating Deep Learning Techniques [J]. Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences, 9(1).*
70. *Zhang, C. A., Cho, S., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) in auditing. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 46, 100572.*
71. *Zhang, C. A., Cho, S., & Vasarhelyi, M. (2022). Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) in auditing. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, 46, 100572.*
72. *Zhang, Y., Ji, G., Yang, J., Wang, S., Dong, Z., Phillips, P., & Sun, P. (2016). Preliminary research on abnormal brain detection by wavelet-energy and quantum-behaved PSO. Technology and Health Care, 24(2_suppl), S641-S649.*
73. *Zhong, C., & Goel, S. (2024). Transparent AI in Auditing through Explainable AI. Current Issues in Auditing, 18(2), A1-A14.*