



DIMENSIONS OF FAITH-BASED LEADERSHIP: CENTRALIZED, DIALECTIC, DISTRIBUTED, AND SUSTAINED PRACTICES IN CATHOLIC EDUCATION

Sr. Celia M. Piala, OP

I. INTRODUCTION

Leadership in Catholic educational institutions is fundamentally rooted in spirituality, service, moral stewardship, and communal discernment rather than solely organizational management. Unlike secular leadership models that primarily focus on efficiency, productivity, and institutional performance, faith-based leadership in Catholic schools integrates Gospel values, ethical responsibility, and the mission of evangelization into everyday educational practices. Religious administrators are entrusted not only with operational governance but also with nurturing faith formation, preserving Catholic identity, and promoting holistic human development among students, faculty, and the wider school community (Brooks & Brooks, 2021).

Catholic educational leadership is deeply connected to the Church's mission of forming persons who are intellectually competent, morally grounded, spiritually mature, and socially responsible. According to contemporary studies, leaders in Catholic schools are expected to embody servant leadership, spiritual authenticity, compassion, humility, and commitment to community building (Greenleaf, 1977; Fry, 2003). Leadership is therefore viewed not merely as authority or administration but as a vocation of service guided by faith and discernment.

In recent decades, Catholic educational institutions have encountered significant challenges brought about by secularization, globalization, declining religious participation, financial instability, and rapid social change. These realities have intensified the need for leaders who can sustain Catholic identity while adapting to contemporary educational demands (Van der Zee, 2022). Religious administrators are increasingly called to balance institutional effectiveness with spiritual integrity, ensuring that Catholic schools remain faithful to their mission while responding to modern educational realities.

Furthermore, faith-based leadership emphasizes collaboration, shared responsibility, and participatory governance. The Church's understanding of communion and synodality encourages leaders to foster dialogue, inclusion, and collective discernment among teachers, parents, students, and stakeholders. This reflects the communal nature of the Church, where leadership is exercised not through domination but through service, empowerment, and stewardship (Heckman & Johnson, 2018).

This study examines four dimensions of faith-based leadership in Catholic education:

1. **Centralized Leadership** – mission-oriented authority and governance
2. **Dialectic Leadership** – dialogue and communal discernment
3. **Distributed Leadership** – shared responsibility and participation
4. **Sustained Leadership** – resilience and continuity of mission

Through these dimensions, the study seeks to understand how religious administrators embody faith-based leadership practices while preserving Catholic identity and sustaining institutional mission.

Research Questions

1. How do religious administrators practice faith-based leadership in Catholic educational institutions?
2. How are centralized, dialectic, distributed, and sustained leadership experienced by religious administrators?
3. How do these leadership dimensions contribute to the preservation of Catholic identity and mission?

II. METHODS

This study employed a qualitative phenomenological research design to explore the lived experiences of religious administrators in Catholic educational institutions. Phenomenology was considered appropriate because it focuses on understanding how individuals interpret and give meaning to their experiences within a particular context (Creswell & Poth, 2018).



The participants included principals, campus ministers, religious superiors, and academic administrators who had at least three years of leadership experience in Catholic schools and colleges. Purposive sampling was utilized to identify participants who possessed substantial experience and knowledge regarding faith-based leadership practices.

Data were gathered through semi-structured interviews, reflective conversations, and document analysis. Institutional documents such as mission statements, leadership manuals, formation programs, and policy guidelines were reviewed to support and triangulate interview findings. Interviews focused on participants' experiences of leadership, decision-making processes, spiritual practices, institutional challenges, and mission-centered governance.

Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring themes and patterns related to centralized, dialectic, distributed, and sustained leadership dimensions (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Responses were carefully coded, categorized, and interpreted to generate meaningful themes that reflected participants' lived experiences.

Ethical standards were strictly observed throughout the study. Participants provided informed consent prior to data collection. Confidentiality, anonymity, and voluntary participation were ensured, and participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time.

III. RESULTS

The findings revealed four major dimensions of faith-based leadership practiced by religious administrators in Catholic educational institutions.

1. Centralized Leadership: Authority Anchored in Mission

Participants described centralized leadership as necessary for preserving Catholic identity, institutional stability, and fidelity to mission. Leadership authority was viewed not as domination or control but as stewardship rooted in responsibility, discernment, and service to the community.

Religious administrators emphasized that strong leadership becomes especially important during institutional crises, policy transitions, financial concerns, and organizational change. Participants shared that centralized leadership provides clarity, direction, and coherence in decision-making processes.

However, participants also stressed that authority must be exercised prayerfully and ethically. Leadership decisions were often guided by Gospel values, communal consultation, humility, and spiritual discernment. Participants viewed obedience and accountability as essential elements of faith-based governance.

2. Dialectic Leadership: Dialogue and Discernment

Religious administrators consistently described leadership as relational and collaborative. Participants emphasized the importance of dialogue, active listening, consultation, and communal discernment when making institutional decisions.

Decision-making processes frequently involved faculty meetings, consultations with stakeholders, prayer gatherings, and collaborative discussions with teachers, parents, and students. Participants explained that dialectic leadership fostered openness, trust, unity, and mutual respect within the school community.

Communal prayer and discernment were described as essential practices that helped leaders align institutional decisions with the mission and values of Catholic education. Participants believed that listening to different perspectives strengthened institutional relationships and promoted shared ownership of the mission.

3. Distributed Leadership: Shared Responsibility

Participants emphasized that leadership responsibilities should not rest solely on administrators but should be shared among faculty members, staff, councils, committees, and institutional stakeholders.

Distributed leadership promoted collaboration, accountability, participation, creativity, and stronger commitment to institutional goals. Participants highlighted that empowering teachers and staff members encouraged innovation and strengthened organizational effectiveness.

Religious administrators also associated distributed leadership with the ecclesial nature of the Church as a community of shared gifts and responsibilities. Participants explained that collaborative leadership reflects the



Christian understanding of communion, where each member contributes to the common good through service and participation.

4. Sustained Leadership: Resilience and Mission Continuity

Participants viewed leadership as a lifelong vocation that requires perseverance, faith, resilience, and continuous spiritual formation. Religious administrators recognized numerous contemporary challenges facing Catholic educational institutions, including secularization, declining religious vocations, financial pressures, cultural changes, and shifting educational expectations.

Despite these difficulties, participants remained committed to sustaining Catholic identity and institutional mission. Prayer, retreats, mentoring, and ongoing faith formation were identified as important sources of strength and resilience.

Participants emphasized that sustained leadership involves nurturing future leaders, preserving institutional traditions, and ensuring continuity of mission across generations. Long-term commitment to faith formation and spiritual renewal was viewed as essential for sustaining Catholic education.

IV. DISCUSSION

The findings demonstrate that faith-based leadership in Catholic education is deeply spiritual, relational, collaborative, and mission-centered. Religious administrators understand leadership not simply as managerial authority but as a vocation grounded in service, discernment, and fidelity to Catholic identity.

Centralized leadership contributes to institutional coherence and mission fidelity by providing clear direction and stable governance. These findings support servant leadership theories, which emphasize ethical stewardship, responsibility, and service-oriented authority (Greenleaf, 1977).

Dialectic leadership highlights the importance of dialogue, communal discernment, and participatory decision-making. The findings align with synodal and collaborative leadership approaches within the Catholic tradition, emphasizing listening, inclusion, and shared reflection.

Distributed leadership strengthens institutional participation and shared accountability by empowering teachers, staff, and stakeholders to contribute actively to school governance and mission implementation. This collaborative approach enhances organizational commitment and community engagement.

Sustained leadership underscores the importance of resilience, spiritual formation, and continuity of mission amid contemporary educational challenges. Ongoing faith formation, prayer, and mentoring help leaders remain committed to Catholic identity despite institutional pressures and societal change.

Taken together, these four dimensions form a holistic framework for faith-based leadership in Catholic education. The integration of authority, dialogue, collaboration, and perseverance enables Catholic educational institutions to sustain their mission while responding effectively to modern realities.

V. CONCLUSION

Faith-based leadership in Catholic educational institutions integrates authority, dialogue, collaboration, and perseverance within a framework rooted in spirituality, service, and mission. Religious administrators practice leadership as a vocation guided by faith, ethical responsibility, communal discernment, and commitment to Catholic identity.

The study highlights the importance of balancing centralized governance with participatory leadership practices that empower stakeholders and strengthen institutional unity. Dialogue, shared responsibility, and spiritual formation contribute significantly to sustaining Catholic educational mission and organizational resilience.

Furthermore, the findings emphasize that leadership sustainability depends on continuous formation, mentoring, and fidelity to Gospel values amid contemporary social and institutional challenges. Catholic educational leaders play a crucial role in preserving the spiritual and moral foundations of Catholic education while fostering inclusive and collaborative school communities.



Future studies may further explore faith-based leadership practices in different cultural, educational, and ecclesial contexts to deepen understanding of how Catholic leadership evolves in response to changing global realities.

REFERENCES (APA 7th Edition)

1. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). *Using thematic analysis in psychology*. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
2. Brooks, J. S., & Brooks, M. C. (2021). *Leadership in faith-based educational institutions: Spirituality, ethics, and mission*. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 59(4), 455–470.
3. Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
4. Fry, L. W. (2003). *Toward a theory of spiritual leadership*. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 14(6), 693–727.
5. Greenleaf, R. K. (1977). *Servant leadership: A journey into the nature of legitimate power and greatness*. Paulist Press.
6. Heckman, D. R., & Johnson, C. E. (2018). *Leadership from a Catholic perspective: Communal discernment and mission-centered governance*. *Catholic Education Review*, 21(1), 15–29.
7. Van der Zee, K. (2022). *Catholic school leadership in contemporary society: Challenges of secularization and sustainability*. *International Studies in Catholic Education*, 14(2), 120–135.